

An Examination of Hearing Loss on Memory Formation: A Conductance Model of the Hippocampal CA3 Network

James Tsaggaris^{1, 5}, Shelly Yang^{2, 5}, Shu Qing Zhang^{3, 5}, Xinran Song^{4, 5} Archbishop Mitty High School, CA¹; Davidson Academy of Nevada, NV²; Independent Schools Foundation Academy, Hong Kong³; Las Vegas Academy Of The Arts, NV⁴; Boston University, Boston, MA⁵

Introduction

Background

- **Dementia** is a debilitating condition that ruins the well-being of more than 55 million people worldwide.
- Hearing loss may be a risk factor for dementia, as reduced auditory input to the hippocampus (a brain region responsible for memory) impacts the firing pattern of neurons in the CA3



Results

Hearing loss group shows less synchronized and weaker responses to stimuli than control group



- network.
- The CA3 network relies on synchronized firing of the excitatory pyramidal cells (PCs), which is modulated by interneurons: basket cells (BCs) and oriens-lacunosum moleculare cells (OLMs).
- The neuronal firing contributes to **theta and gamma oscillations**, which are necessary for memory encoding.



Figure 1: Pathway from audio input to oscillations in the CA3 network

Goal

 Use a conductance-based neuron model to simulate various stages of hearing loss by altering the amplitude Figure 3a: Raster plot with 10 pA input current



Figures 4a & 4b: Spiking clusters (periods of high neuronal firing) of 10 pA (left) and 90 pA (right) input current





of auditory input and investigating **neuronal firing synchronicity**.

Methods

- Statistical analysis of PC spikings:
 Determines the magnitude and time distribution of multi-neuron synchrony events.
 - 1200 neurons: 800 PCs, 200 BCs, 200 OLMs.
- Raster plots: Display neuronal activity over time to assess synchronicity and efficacy of memory formation.
- **3. Spike analysis**: Histograms track the number of neuronal spikes per millisecond. To identify **spike clusters** and their **durations**, we extended the

Figure 3b: Raster plot with 90 pA input current

Discussion

Analysis

- Spike cluster durations are shorter with more inputted current (Figures 4a, 4b, and 5), indicating higher synchronicity of neuronal firing.
- Lack of input resulted in more ground activity (consistent, non-synchronized firing); current input resulted in synchronized bursts of activity.
- Negative linear relationship (R² = 0.90) between input current and synchronicity of neurons.

Applications

• Demonstrates how reduced hearing impairs formation of auditory memories.

Figure 5: Average spiking cluster duration vs. input current

Limitations

- Scale-down: our model contains only 1200 cells.
- **Time-scale**: our simulation only runs for **500 ms**, whereas memory formation occurs on the scale of **seconds**.
- Rather than occurring in spikes to simulate sustained signals from another neuron, our input current is continuous over time.
- **Plasticity**: our model does not account for changes in synaptic plasticity during memory formation.

Future Research

• Expand the network to encapsulate the auditory pathway to

timescale before and after the peak spike count until the spike count was under 10% of the peak.



Figure 2: Algorithm used to identify spike clusters

- Highlights the synchronicity of neuronal firing as closely dependent on **strength of signal** from the auditory cortex.
- Reveals importance of **sensory input** in cognitive functions such as memory.

elucidate the impacts of different types of auditory stimulus on memory formation.

- Explore the role of **neuroplasticity** in mitigating the effects of hearing loss.
- Investigate how varying levels of sensory information alters how auditory memory is encoded in engrams.

References

- 1. Lin, F. R., Ferrucci, L., An, Y., Goh, J. O., Doshi, J., Metter, E. J., ... & Resnick, S. M. (2014). Association of hearing impairment with brain volume changes in older adults. Neuroimage, 90, 84-92.
- Griffiths, T. D., Lad, M., Kumar, S., Holmes, E., McMurray, B., Maguire, E. A., Billig, A. J., & Sedley, W. (2020). How Can Hearing Loss Cause Dementia? Neuron, 108(3), 401–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.08.003
- Duigou, C. L., Simonnet, J., Teleñczuk, M. T., Fricker, D., & Miles, R. (2014). Recurrent synapses and circuits in the CA3 region of the hippocampus: an associative network. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2013.00262
- 4. Lisman, J. (2010). Working memory: The importance of theta and gamma oscillations. Current Biology, 20(11), R490–R492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.04.011
- 5. Neymotin, S., Lytton, W., & Dura-Bernal, S. (2024, January 25). CA3 network. NetpyNE. https://www.netpyne.org/models/ca3-network

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Karla Montejo, Ryan Senne, Steven
Brandt, Patrick Bloniasz, Krish Asija, and Shahin Roozkhosh
for offering their expertise and guidance throughout this project.
We would also like to thank our families for their support and
Boston University for this incredible opportunity.