Estimated Emergency and Observational/Quarantine Capacity Need for the US Homeless Population Related to COVID-19 Exposure by County; Projected Hospitalizations, Intensive Care Units and Mortality

Dennis Culhane, Dan Treglia & Ken Steif University of Pennsylvania Randall Kuhn University of California Los Angeles Thomas Byrne Boston University

March 24, 2020

Estimated Emergency and Observational/Quarantine Capacity Need for the U.S. Homeless Population Related to COVID-19 Exposure by County; Projected Hospitalizations, Intensive Care Units, and Mortality

Dennis Culhane, Dan Treglia, Ken Steif, Randall Kuhn, & Thomas Byrne

The rapid progression of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic has raised concerns about the potential impact of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) on the homeless population. According to official reports, 575,000 people in the United States meet the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) definition of homelessness – living in a homeless shelter or a place not fit for human habitation.¹ On any given night New York City and Los Angeles County, two areas heavily impacted by COVID-19, are estimated to have 70,000 and 58,000 homeless individuals, respectively.

In recent days, both the State of California and the City of Los Angeles have made substantial funding allocations to support rapid emergency shelter for unsheltered individuals, outreach for early detection, and quarantine space. Policing reforms have also been put in place to ensure that homeless individuals are able to shelter in place should the need arise. The federal government is in the process of considering additional funding allocations aimed at protecting homeless populations from COVID-19. This report aims to establish the potential mortality and hospitalization costs of inaction along with estimating the funding needs associated with a comprehensive plan of action.

Potential impacts of COVID-19 on the homeless population

We estimate the potential impact of COVID-19 on the homeless population and the homeless and healthcare systems caring for them. We model our estimates on a variety of severity and fatality scenarios informed by the unique health burdens facing the homeless population, applied to the age distribution of the homeless population. Concern has been raised around the potential for widespread transmission of COVID-19 within the homeless population due to inadequate access to hygiene and sanitation and the difficulty of early detection among a population isolated from health care. Yet, given limited understanding around the exact parameters of the virus' transmission, it is difficult to explicitly model the potential transmission, and so we simply model a range of infection rate scenarios.

Less widely known—but considerably more important—is the extraordinarily high susceptibility to symptomatic infection, hospitalization, and fatality among the homeless population due not only to their advanced age, but also the accelerated physical decline and mental weathering that frequently results from repeat exposure to harsh elements. For decades, the single adult homeless population has been dominated by members of the late baby boom cohort (e.g., those born between 1955-1965, approximately), and the age distribution of homeless populations has shifted upwards as this birth cohort phenomenon has persisted into older ages.^{2,3} A recent study of aging trends among homeless populations in New York City, Los Angeles County, and Boston observed that the modal age of homeless clients in all cities was between 50 and 55 years. Studies of COVID-19 severity and case fatality suggest that older populations face risk orders of magnitude higher than those facing younger populations.^{4,5}

Concerns over chronological age are magnified by concerns over accelerated physical decline among homeless populations.⁶ Homeless individuals are admitted to the hospital with medical-surgical conditions 10-15 years earlier than comparable, housed individuals,⁷ and with age-related impairments

typical of housed individuals 20 years older.⁸ Early studies of COVID-19 risk factors point to comorbidities relating to hypertension, diabetes, cardiac disease, chronic respiratory disease, and cancer.⁹ In Los Angeles and other communities on the West Coast, concerns about physical susceptibility are further heightened by high rates of unshelteredness, which are thought to carry both a generalized risk of accelerated age-related decline and specific exposures to poor hygiene and respiratory distress.¹⁰ Existing studies of homeless populations have observed obstructive pulmonary disease prevalence between 20 and 30%,^{8,11} compared to 10% for the general adult population. ¹²

This study serves two distinct purposes. First, we model potential scenarios of COVID-19 severity, hospitalization and fatality among homeless populations. Second, we estimate additional homeless system capacity – through private units as quickly feasible and scalable and otherwise through current infrastructure that incorporates tenets of social distance and safety - required to manage the acute impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the existing homeless population. We perform this exercise for all unsheltered persons and homeless individuals in emergency shelter or transitional housing, which are generally congregate in nature (no private rooms or partitions) and highly dense. We have excluded families with children, who tend to be sheltered in private rooms or apartments. This exercise outlines the additional homeless system capacity required for a sufficient response to safely care for the existing homeless population; it is not intended to prescribe specific solutions, which should incorporate local conditions and guidance from the CDC and other public health experts.

Modeling Health Impacts of COVID-19 on the Homeless Population

Data and Methods

Because detailed national age distribution data are not available, we drew on aggregate age distributions for the adult homeless population (age 25+) from the Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS) of New York City (NYC) for 2017 and Los Angeles County (LAC) for 2018, two municipalities with robust social service data infrastructures for which we could readily access data. (Previous research by this team has found that the age structure of adult homelessness is fairly robust across states).^{2,3,13}

The most granular geography at which official homelessness estimates are available is the Continuum of Care (CoC), which is the geographic unit at which federal homeless assistance grants are awarded and local homeless services are coordinated. These geographies do not map uniformly onto county boundaries, and we thus interpolate county estimates of these outcomes from CoC-level data mirroring a process described by Almquist and colleagues.¹⁴

We built estimates of the distribution of hospitalization, critical care and fatality for five-year age groups by modifying the estimates included in the widely publicized Imperial College report published March 16, 2020.⁴ We used nonlinear regression techniques to approximate rates for five-year age groups instead of ten-year groups. To estimate potential impacts on the homeless population, we built on the intuition of existing studies that older homeless populations bear health risks comparable to those of individuals 15 to 20 years older.^{7,8} To be conservative, we focused primarily on a 15-year accelerated aging shift while also reporting 10-year and 20-year shift models. A future scientific report will explore a wider range of assumptions.

Figure 1 compares the risk curves for homeless populations to the general population using a model that assumes infected homeless individuals would be twice as likely to be hospitalized, two to four times as likely to require critical care, and two to three times as likely to die.



Figure 2 shows the age distribution of the homeless clients of LAC and NYC. The total number of clients age 25+ was 44,914 in NYC and 44,054 in LAC. The modal age group in both areas was 50-54, while the

mean age was 45.6 in NYC and 46.7 in LAC. The proportion over age 65 was 5.8% in NYC and 7.2% in LAC, lower than the national average among the general population. The proportion over age 50, and thus potentially heavily affected by accelerated aging was 39.7% in NYC and 42.5% in LAC.



Table 1 shows the projected number of hospitalizations and critical care and fatality episodes anticipated among the homeless population of the United States. These projections are imposed on the estimated 493,000 single adults experiencing homelessness on a given night (unsheltered PIT counts adjusted *1.4, see later section on unit estimation method), which creates a conservative estimate of COVID-19's impact on the homeless population given that the total number of people experiencing homelessness over the course of a year is likely 3-4 times that. Our estimates assume that 40% of the homeless population will be infected at any given time at the peak of the crisis, and that the U.S. homeless population would have the same age distribution as NYC and LAC grounded in work from a prior study of aging homelessness.

Findings

- We estimate that 21,295 people experiencing homelessness, or 4.3% of the U.S. homeless population, could require hospitalization at the peak infection rate of 40%, with a potential range from 2.4% to 10.3% hospitalizations.
- Critical care needs could range from 0.6% to 4.2%, with the midpoint scenario seeing 7,145 in critical care nationally.
- Finally, we estimate a wide range--0.3% to 1.9%-- of potential fatality rates, with the central estimate of 0.7% implying 3,454 homeless deaths. We believe that the true likely fatality outcome would be on the higher end of this range given the challenge of actually getting

homeless clients to the hospital, especially when they are unsheltered, as well as the unusually high mortality risks that prevail among the homeless population.

	Number of cases	Percent of total population	Range across scenarios
Hospitalization	21,295	4.3%	2.4%-10.3%
Critical Care	7,145	1.4%	0.6%-4.2%
Fatality	3,454	0.7%	0.3%-1.9%

Table 1: Projected COVID-19 outcomes for U.S. homeless population at a given time assuming peak 40% infection rate

Map 1 depicts the proportionate distribution of hospitalizations, ICU admissions, and mortality among homeless individuals across the United States as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is largely reflective of the distribution of the homeless population generally, with cases concentrated in urban areas and most regions seeing very few COVID-19 cases and low mortality.

Map 1

Proportionate distribution of hospitalizations, ICU, and mortality among homeless individuals due to COVID-19 pandemic



Estimates based on 40% infection rate and 15-year accelerated aging

Source: Age distribution from Los Angeles Homeless Services Agency HMIS 2018; New York City Department of Social Services HMIS 2017; CoC data from U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 2019 Point-in-Time Estimates of Homelessness; U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 2019 CoC GIS Geodatabase. Grey areas indicate counties where no data is available.

Estimating Additional Capacity

Data and Methods

We use data from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's 2019 Annual Homelessness Assessment Report (AHAR) to create assessments of additional capacity required to manage the acute effects of the COVID-19 crisis on the homeless population. The following assumptions were used to develop bed estimates:

- Adult shelters operate at high density. To reduce density sufficient to enable social distancing by allotting at least 100 square feet per bed, we assume a 50% bed reduction in existing facilities, which would require an offsetting increase in beds or private units.
- The 2019 AHAR unsheltered count reflects persons observed during the PIT count, which is an estimated 40% undercount due to unobserved persons, based on Glynn and colleagues¹⁵.
- New units are estimated to cost \$25,000 per year, or \$68.50 per night based on current national shelter expenditures¹⁶, although accommodations may be made in other facilities.
- We estimate a peak infection rate of 40% and keep that constant for our estimates and maintain that rate which we know will vary over time -- for our analyses.
- Finally, for those who are infected or under observation we estimate an additional \$7,500 per unit for more private accommodations, including rooms in hotels and motels.

Findings

Our estimates suggest the need for an additional 400,000 units to manage the COVID-19 pandemic for the current, estimated homeless population. This includes:

- Approximately 200,000 single adults were sheltered on a given night in January, 2019 (199,531; US HUD, 2019). To reduce density by 50% while maintaining current capacity would require the addition of 100,000 units.
- 211,293 adults and persons in families were enumerated as unsheltered in 2019. Assuming a 40% undercount, approximately 300,000 beds are needed to provide accommodations to all unsheltered persons.
- Of the projected 500,000 total beds needed (300,000 for unsheltered, 200,000 for sheltered), at a 40% infection rate at a given time, 200,000 of these beds should be suitable for observation of symptomatic persons or persons under quarantine.
- At a cost of approximately \$25,000 per unit per year, we estimate the annual cost of meeting this need at \$10 billion.
- Assuming a premium of \$7,500 per unit per year for more private accommodations for persons who are infected or under observation, including placement in hotels and motels, this would require an additional \$1.5 billion for those 200,000 beds.
- The total estimated cost to meet the additional need is approximately \$11.5 billion annually.

Map 2 shows the need for these additional capacity by U.S. county. This map reflects the geographical heterogeneity in unsheltered and single adult homelessness across the country, with need concentrated in a handful of areas and municipalities. Ten percent of all counties will need only one, single unit or bed to accommodate the additional need, and half will need fewer than 10 additional spaces. The counties in the top 1% of need will each require a minimum of 2,100 additional spaces, with Los Angeles County facing far and away the greatest need at 65,000 new units.





Additional capacity required during the COVID-19 pandemic County-level need estimated from 2019 HUD Point-in-Time counts

Source: Author calculations based on CoC data from U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development 2019 Point-in-Time Estimates of Homelessness; U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 2019 CoC GIS Geodatabase Grey areas indicate counties where no data is available.

Options for emergency accommodation and coordination of care

Given the high transmission rate of COVID-19, concerns have been expressed about the potential risk of congregate shelters, or shelters without private sleeping and bathing facilities. On March 22, the Centers for Disease Control issued Interim Guidance for responding to COVID-19 among people experiencing unsheltered homelessness along with guidelines for homeless shelters and other service providers.

Decisions about the appropriate mix of rapid housing options must be made by individual jurisdictions based on local population needs, capacity for emergency accommodations, including vacant hotels and motels, and local government resources, but it is helpful to consider the basic principles of such an effort:

- 1) Emergency accommodations with private sleeping and bath space should be the preferred option for all clients and would be especially beneficial for individuals with known risk factors for COVID-19 complications.
- Individuals in urgent need of protection including the elderly, those with severe risk factors for COVID-19 complications, and those already presenting with respiratory symptoms – must be accommodated with considerable haste

 Individuals with known or suspected coronavirus infections must be accommodated in private sleeping and bathing quarters, and such spaces should be made available well in excess of the likely number of infected individuals

This means that most jurisdictions will need to use all potential emergency accommodation options to protect homeless populations from disease risk. We review the specific options individually before suggesting some technologies that would assist in the broader effort.

Managing Encampments and Unsheltered Homelessness

The recently posted CDC guidance discourages the clearance of encampments that frequently occurs under normal conditions and can lead to temporary or permanent disruptions that could lead to more harm than benefit. It recommends basic prevention measures for encampments:

- 1) encampments members should be encouraged to sleep with 12x12 feet of space per individual tent
- 2) public restrooms with water taps, soap and sanitizer should be made available 24 hours per day, and
- 3) portable toilets and handwashing facilities should be made available for encampments with encampments with 10 or more people.

The report does not recommend strategies for encampments where these prevention measures cannot be implemented. Nor does it make specific prevention recommendations for individuals living outside of large encampments. We note that in Los Angeles, the city with by far the largest unsheltered population, only 24% of unsheltered homeless individuals actually live in encampments of any size, with a much smaller share living in large encampments. A recent study also found that individuals with longer exposure to homelessness, and thus potential greater health risks, were actually more likely to live in a sidewalk or alley and less likely to live in an encampment.¹⁷ In other words, in many cities, the vast majority of unsheltered homeless individuals, and an even greater share of the most vulnerable, are alone, unprotected, difficult to reach, highly dependent on services that may be unsustainable through the crisis, and unlikely to receive sanitation access.

Those living in encampments or even in individual unsheltered spaces who have the ability and the desire to shelter in place should be encouraged to do so, because this will reduce demand for other emergency accommodations. But concerns about the ability to detect disease, maintain sanitation and meet basic needs – particularly if outreach capacity were to diminish – means that the bar for sheltering in place would ideally be set high. Building on the CDC guidelines, the following conditions should ideally be met for any encampment sheltering in place

- Ability to maintain social distance among encampment residents and from others outside the encampment
- Ability to maintain adequate handwashing and sanitation for a long duration of delayed service
- Ability to assess and report suspected infections, meaning either regular access to outreach workers or to mobile phones with reliable service and electrical power
- Ability to maintain adequate food, water and medicine supplies through local stores or service providers

Encampments or individuals failing to meet these criteria may face severe risk of infection and indirect consequences in the event of a more severe emergency.

Congregate shelters: Congregate shelters are the predominant form of emergency accommodation within homelessness assistance systems. Bed densities are often high, and can include bunk beds in many cases. Per the CDC guidelines¹⁸ and FEMA recommendations, 100-110 square feet of space should be allocated per bed, aligned in head-to-toe formation. For many facilities, this will require a reduction in bed capacity in a given location, and the establishment of additional offsetting bed capacity elsewhere to sustain current supply. Consideration should be given to the provision of adequate hygiene and toilet facilities, and frequent, routine cleaning.

Private accommodations: The ideal scenario would involve private accommodations for all clients. Private accommodations would dramatically reduce the likely transmission of disease relative to congregate shelters. The problem lies with the supply and the speed at which options can be mobilized. In the initial stages of the pandemic, some municipalities have sought to use campers and a variety of temporary disaster shelters that could be placed in empty areas. This approach is both slow and costly, and also potentially depends on people moving to isolated areas with open space. More recently, a number of cities have begun to scale up the use of hotels. Hotels have the advantage of already existing. Under usual conditions, hotel rooms would be not available. But in the current crisis, the use of hotels for emergency accommodation offers the added benefit of generating revenue for empty hotels and the often low-wage workers who operate hotels. Cities such as San Francisco, San Diego and Philadelphia have already mobilized hotel space for isolate of confirmed or suspected cases. In San Francisco, a group of city Supervisors have mobilized a more ambitious plan to enroll hotels accounting for 8,500 rooms into a proposed program, with buy-in from both owners and worker's unions. This approach may offer a more scalable alternative to constructing shelters.

Finally, we note that a sizable share of unsheltered individuals live in vehicles, some already parked in safe parking sites and others scattered throughout cities. Additional safe parking facilities should be opened, and individuals living in vehicles should be encouraged to move to these locations for safety and security.

Emergency coordination of care: Regardless of the exact mix of emergency accommodations, the COVID-19 response would be greatly enhanced by the mobilization of better information and communication technology, evidence, and disease transmission models. In particular, we recommend the rapid adoption of SMS/mobile alert systems that would allow a broad spectrum of emergency outreach activities with relatively simple technologies. Prior research shows that nearly 95% of persons experiencing homelessness own cell phones and the vast majority make use of text messaging,¹⁹ thus highlighting the feasibility of this approach in terms of reach. We also note some specific advantages of this approach, all of which would serve both to enhance the reach of existing methods while also reducing burdens on outreach worker and freeing up their time to support high-need cases:

- 1) An alert system could remind people of new emergency opportunities as they come onstream, and allow people to link to location-based maps of the nearest facilities.
- 2) Alert systems could update both the sheltered and unsheltered of any sudden changes in rules or procedure, for instance if lockdown restrictions are tightened, eased or reimplemented.
- 3) For those who remain unsheltered, surveys pushed out over an alert system would serve as a valuable real-time tool for early detection tool, for monitoring social distancing patterns, and for delivering hygiene, cleanups and medical care to those who request it.
- 4) For those moving into emergency accommodations, whether shelters or private rooms, the alert system could disseminate surveys to monitor social distancing, personal security and threat perception among those who have received shelter.

5) Over time, needs assessments could be adapted to assess whether unsheltered and sheltered clients have lost access to essential treatments and medicines, in order to avoid indirect consequences of the pandemic.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic is creating a severe and emergent health crisis for the homeless population across the United States, a crisis that our shelter and health systems are simply not adequately prepared to meet. The current virus, when scaffolded on top of the already present crisis of aged homelessness, as well as a myriad of other factors impacting this population, is likely to wreak havoc on this already highly vulnerable group.

For the 500,000 single adults who experience homelessness on a given night, the current crisis is likely to cause upwards of 21,000 hospitalizations and 3,400 deaths. Given an annual shelter turnover rate of at least 3 – meaning that over the course of a year at least three times the PIT-estimate will experience homelessness and the confined and harsh conditions that come with it, the infection, critical care, and fatality rates presented here are almost certainly lower bound estimates. Compounding this, we model these rates and solutions for current (2019) levels of homelessness. While economists are only beginning to quantify the short-and long-term economic impacts of this pandemic, we are almost certain to see a recession resulting from the infection itself, resultant social distancing, and general market uncertainty. Predictions vary widely, but the current record unemployment claims will be followed by additional housing instability and homelessness that will further stretch an already taxed homelessness assistance system.

There are limitations to this study that should be noted. We apply homogenous rates of infections, hospitalizations, critical cases, and mortality across the country, though they will certainly vary by region and county in ways that we were not able to model. Second, we model those rates based on a single Point-in-Time estimate of homelessness though, as noted above, households enter and exit homelessness over the course of a year. Third, this study is notably limited in its scope. It is intended to model potential infection rates and the capacity required to provide every homeless person safe accommodation. It is not meant to prescribe the specifics of those facilities, and policymakers and practitioners are advised to take their cues from research more specifically devoted to that cause, with a particular emphasis on the aforementioned CDC guidelines and the public health community more generally.

There are obvious and immediate steps that we can take to mitigate this situation. By creating adequate and humane accommodations for people living unsheltered, leveraging existing private units like hotels while creating new ones as feasible, and reconfiguring existing facilities to accommodate social distancing and isolate symptomatic individuals, lives can be saved. Federal, state and local governments will need to collaborate around the funding, staffing and siting of facilities. But the urgency is clear, as is the moral imperative to act.

References

- 1. Development, U. S. D. of H. and U. *The 2019 Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) to Congress: PART 1 Point-in-Time Estimates of Homelessness*. (2019).
- 2. Culhane, D. P., Metraux, S., Byrne, T., Stino, M. & Bainbridge, J. The age structure of contemporary homelessness: Evidence and implications for public policy. *Anal. Soc. Issues Public Policy* **13**, 228–244 (2013).
- 3. Culhane, D. et al. The emerging crisis of aged homelessness: Could housing solutions be funded by avoidance of excess shelter, hospital, and nursing home costs? (Actionable Intelligence for Social Policy, 2019).
- 4. Verity, R. *et al.* Estimates of the severity of COVID-19 disease. *medRxiv* 2020.03.09.20033357 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.03.09.20033357
- 5. Riou, J., Hauser, A., Counotte, M. J. & Althaus, C. L. Adjusted age-specific case fatality ratio during the COVID-19 epidemic in Hubei, China, January and February 2020. *medRxiv* 2020.03.04.20031104 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.03.04.20031104
- Fazel, S., Geddes, J. R. & Kushel, M. The health of homeless people in high-income countries: Descriptive epidemiology, health consequences, and clinical and policy recommendations. *Lancet* 384, 1529–1540 (2014).
- Adams, J., Rosenheck, R., Gee, L., Seibyl, C. L. & Kushel, M. Hospitalized younger: a comparison of a national sample of homeless and housed inpatient veterans. *J. Health Care Poor Underserved* 18, 173–184 (2007).
- 8. Brown, R. T., Kiely, D. K., Bharel, M. & Mitchell, S. L. Geriatric Syndromes in Older Homeless Adults. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* **27**, 16–22 (2012).
- 9. Caramelo, F., Ferreira, N. & Oliveiros, B. Estimation of risk factors for COVID-19 mortality preliminary results. *medRxiv* 2020.02.24.20027268 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.02.24.20027268
- 10. Kuhn, R., Richards, J., Roth, S. & Clair, K. *Homelessness and Public Health in Los Angeles*. (UCLA Fielding School of Public Health).
- 11. Snyder, L. D. & Eisner, M. D. Obstructive lung disease among the urban homeless. *Chest* **125**, 1719–1725 (2004).
- 12. Landis, S. H. *et al.* Continuing to Confront COPD International Patient Survey: methods, COPD prevalence, and disease burden in 2012–2013. *Int. J. Chron. Obstruct. Pulmon. Dis.* **9**, 597 (2014).
- 13. Byrne, T. & Miller, D. P. Health care utilization and costs among older homeless adults: Implications and opportunities for intervention. in *Association of Public Policy and Management Annual Research Conference* (2018).
- Almquist, Z. W., Helwig, N. E. & You, Y. Connecting Continuum of Care point-in-time homeless counts to United States Census areal units. *Math. Popul. Stud.* (2020). doi:10.1080/08898480.2019.1636574
- 15. Glynn, C. & Fox, E. B. Dynamics of homelessness in urban America. *Ann. Appl. Stat.* **13**, 573–605 (2019).

- 16. Culhane, D. & An, S. A statistical profile of the "homelessness industry" in 2015: Revenues, expenditures, employment, volunteers, fundraising and executive compensation. (2020).
- 17. Flaming, D., Burns, P. & Carlen, J. Escape routes: Meta-analysis of homelessness in LA. (2018).
- 18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Interim Guidance for Responding to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) among People Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness*. (2020).
- 19. Rhoades H, Wenzel SL, Rice E, Winetrobe H, Henwood B. No digital divide? Technology use among homeless adults. *J Soc Distress Homeless*. 2017;26(1):73-77. doi:10.1080/10530789.2017.1305140

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
AK-500, Anchorage	136	702	351	487	\$12,170,000.00	\$2,513,400.00	\$14,683,400.00
AK-501, Alaska Balance of State	246	416	208	454	\$11,360,000.00	\$1,987,200.00	\$13,347,200.00
AL-500, Birmingham/Jefferson, St. Clair, Shelby Counties	456	466	233	689	\$17,235,000.00	\$2,767,200.00	\$20,002,200.00
AL-501 Mobile City & County/Baldwin County	283	150	75	358	\$8,945,000.00	\$1,298,400.00	\$10,243,400.00
AL-502 Florence/Northwest Alabama	281	182	91	372	\$9,310,000.00	\$1,390,200.00	\$10,700,200.00
AL-503 Huntsville/North Alabama	122	253	127	248	\$6,207,500.00	\$1,124,400.00	\$7,331,900.00
AL-504 Montgomery City & County	80	171	86	165	\$4,132,500.00	\$752,400.00	\$4,884,900.00
AL-505 Gadsden/Northeast Alabama	209	62	31	240	\$5,990,000.00	\$811,800.00	\$6,801,800.00
AL-506 Tuscaloosa City & County	0	27	14	14	\$337,500.00	\$81,000.00	\$418,500.00
AL-507 Alabama Balance of State	237	68	45	281	\$7,027,500.00	\$976,800.00	\$8,004,300.00
AR-500 Little Rock/Central Arkansas	802	355	178	980	\$24,492,500.00	\$3,471,600.00	\$27,964,100.00

Appendix: Additional Capacity Need and Cost, by Continuum of Care

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
AR-501 Fayetteville/Northwest Arkansas	332	223	112	443	\$11,082,500.00	\$1,664,400.00	\$12,746,900.00
AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State	763	232	116	879	\$21,975,000.00	\$2,985,000.00	\$24,960,000.00
AR-505 Southeast Arkansas	0	35	18	18	\$437,500.00	\$105,000.00	\$542,500.00
AR-508 Fort Smith	84	127	64	148	\$3,687,500.00	\$633,000.00	\$4,320,500.00
AZ-500 Arizona Balance of State	1,376	682	341	1,717	\$42,930,000.00	\$6,174,600.00	\$49,104,600.00
AZ-501 Tucson/Pima County	505	639	320	825	\$20,622,500.00	\$3,433,200.00	\$24,055,700.00
AZ-502 Phoenix, Mesa/Maricopa County	4,463	1,718	859	5,322	\$133,055,000.00	\$18,543,600.00	\$151,598,600.00
CA-500 San Jose/Santa Clara City & County	11,091	1,089	545	11,635	\$290,882,500.00	\$36,539,400.00	\$327,421,900.00
CA-501 San Francisco	7,252	2,232	1,116	8,368	\$209,200,000.00	\$28,452,000.00	\$237,652,000.00
CA-502 Oakland, Berkeley/Alameda County	8,837	1,185	593	9,429	\$235,732,500.00	\$30,065,400.00	\$265,797,900.00
CA-503 Sacramento City & County	5,460	1,096	548	6,008	\$150,200,000.00	\$19,668,000.00	\$169,868,000.00
CA-504 Santa Rosa, Petaluma/Sonoma County	2,740	741	371	3,110	\$77,757,500.00	\$10,442,400.00	\$88,199,900.00

\$14,734,200.00	\$1,849,200.00	\$12,885,000.00	515	101	202	414	CA-515 Roseville, Rocklin/Placer County
\$84,421,800.00	\$9,331,800.00	\$75,090,000.00	3,004	107	214	2,897	CA-514 Fresno City & County/Madera County
\$33,294,000.00	\$3,819,000.00	\$29,475,000.00	1,179	94	188	1,085	CA-513 Visalia/Kings, Tulare Counties
\$39,535,200.00	\$4,600,200.00	\$34,935,000.00	1,397	136	272	1,261	CA-512 Daly/San Mateo County
\$69,660,600.00	\$8,205,600.00	\$61,455,000.00	2,458	277	554	2,181	CA-511 Stockton/San Joaquin County
\$50,384,100.00	\$6,066,600.00	\$44,317,500.00	1,773	250	499	1,523	CA-510 Turlock, Modesto/Stanislaus County
\$23,445,600.00	\$2,715,600.00	\$20,730,000.00	829	76	152	753	CA-509 Mendocino County
\$70,840,500.00	\$7,953,000.00	\$62,887,500.00	2,516	136	271	2,380	CA-508 Watsonville/Santa Cruz City & County
\$30,791,600.00	\$3,561,600.00	\$27,230,000.00	1,089	86	196	991	CA-507 Marin County
\$83,622,600.00	\$9,417,600.00	\$74,205,000.00	2,968	171	342	2,797	CA-506 Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties
\$71,171,900.00	\$8,264,400.00	\$62,907,500.00	2,516	239	477	2,278	CA-505 Richmond/Contra Costa County
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
CA-516 Redding/Shasta, Siskiyou, Lassen, Plumas, Del Norte, Modoc, Sierra Counties	1,128	363	182	1,310	\$32,747,500.00	\$4,474,200.00	\$37,221,700.00
CA-517 Napa City & County	210	125	63	273	\$6,812,500.00	\$1,005,000.00	\$7,817,500.00
CA-518 Vallejo/Solano County	1,305	148	74	1,379	\$34,470,000.00	\$4,358,400.00	\$38,828,400.00
CA-519 Chico, Paradise/Butte County	1,173	247	124	1,297	\$32,417,500.00	\$4,260,600.00	\$36,678,100.00
CA-520 Merced City & County	403	144	72	475	\$11,880,000.00	\$1,641,600.00	\$13,521,600.00
CA-521 Davis, Woodland/Yolo County	556	124	62	618	\$15,445,000.00	\$2,039,400.00	\$17,484,400.00
CA-522 Humboldt County	1,963	190	95	2,058	\$51,445,000.00	\$6,458,400.00	\$57,903,400.00
CA-523 Colusa, Glenn, Trinity Counties	210	ω	2	212	\$5,287,500.00	\$639,000.00	\$5,926,500.00
CA-524 Yuba City & County/Sutter County	727	105	53	779	\$19,477,500.00	\$2,494,800.00	\$21,972,300.00
CA-525 El Dorado County	672	114	57	729	\$18,225,000.00	\$2,358,000.00	\$20,583,000.00
CA-526 Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, Tuolumne Counties	962	56	28	066	\$24,745,000.00	\$3,053,400.00	\$27,798,400.00
CA-527 Tehama County	301	43	22	323	\$8,062,500.00	\$1,032,000.00	\$9,094,500.00

CA-609 San Bernardino City & County 2.688	CA-608 Riverside City & 2,863	CA-607 Pasadena 449	CA-606 Long Beach 1,785	CA-604 Bakersfield/Kern County 1,127	CA-603 Santa Maria/Santa Barbara County 1,586	CA-602 Santa Ana, Anaheim/Orange County 5,545	CA-601 San Diego City and 6,266	CA-600 Los Angeles City & 59,459 County 59,459	CA-531 Nevada County 351	CA-530 Alpine, Inyo, Mono Counties 276	CA-529 Lake County 535	CoC Number and Name Unsheltered Ind
269	490	144	471	348	344	1,745	2,361	6,851	119	9	10	Sheltered Homeless Individuals
135	245	72	236	174	172	873	1,181	3,426	60	сл	ъ	Density Reduction Need
2,823	3,108	521	2,021	1,301	1,758	6,418	7,447	62,885	411	280	540	Total New Units Required
\$70,562,500.00	\$77,700,000.00	\$13,035,000.00	\$50,512,500.00	\$32,525,000.00	\$43,955,000.00	\$160,447,500.00	\$186,172,500.00	\$1,572,122,500.00	\$10,272,500.00	\$7,007,500.00	\$13,495,000.00	Cost of New Capacity
\$8,871,000.00	\$10,059,000.00	\$1,780,200.00	\$6,768,000.00	\$4,425,000.00	\$5,790,600.00	\$21,871,200.00	\$25,882,200.00	\$198,931,200.00	\$1,411,200.00	\$854,400.00	\$1,634,400.00	Cost of Quarantine Units
\$79,433,500.00	\$87,759,000.00	\$14,815,200.00	\$57,280,500.00	\$36,950,000.00	\$49,745,600.00	\$182,318,700.00	\$212,054,700.00	\$1,771,053,700.00	\$11,683,700.00	\$7,861,900.00	\$15,129,400.00	Total Additional Cost

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
CA-611 Oxnard, San Buenaventura/Ventura County	1,761	221	111	1,872	\$46,792,500.00	\$5,946,600.00	\$52,739,100.00
CA-612 Glendale	206	30	15	221	\$5,520,000.00	\$707,400.00	\$6,227,400.00
CA-613 Imperial County	1,715	96	48	1,763	\$44,075,000.00	\$5,433,000.00	\$49,508,000.00
CA-614 San Luis Obispo County	1,641	173	87	1,727	\$43,182,500.00	\$5,441,400.00	\$48,623,900.00
CO-500 Colorado Balance of State	1,117	1,085	543	1,660	\$41,492,500.00	\$6,606,600.00	\$48,099,100.00
CO-503 Metropolitan Denver	1,324	3,390	1,695	3,019	\$75,485,000.00	\$14,143,200.00	\$89,628,200.00
CO-504 Colorado Springs/El Paso County	622	718	359	981	\$24,515,000.00	\$4,018,800.00	\$28,533,800.00
CT-503 Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk, Danbury/Fairfield County	137	378	189	326	\$8,155,000.00	\$1,545,600.00	\$9,700,600.00
CT-505 Connecticut Balance of State	501	1,265	633	1,134	\$28,342,500.00	\$5,298,600.00	\$33,641,100.00
DC-500 District of Columbia	851	3,267	1,634	2,485	\$62,117,500.00	\$12,354,600.00	\$74,472,100.00
DE-500 Delaware Statewide	133	489	245	378	\$9,437,500.00	\$1,866,000.00	\$11,303,500.00
FL-500 Sarasota, Bradenton/Manatee, Sarasota Counties	560	584	292	852	\$21,300,000.00	\$3,432,000.00	\$24,732,000.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
FL-501 Tampa/Hillsborough County	941	508	254	1,195	\$29,870,000.00	\$4,346,400.00	\$34,216,400.00
FL-502 St. Petersburg, Clearwater, Largo/Pinellas County	1,168	1,133	567	1,734	\$43,352,500.00	\$6,901,800.00	\$50,254,300.00
FL-503 Lakeland, Winterhaven/Polk County	160	260	130	290	\$7,240,000.00	\$1,258,800.00	\$8,498,800.00
FL-504 Deltona, Daytona Beach/Volusia, Flagler Counties	710	68	45	754	\$18,857,500.00	\$2,396,400.00	\$21,253,900.00
FL-505 Fort Walton Beach/Okaloosa, Walton Counties	328	114	57	385	\$9,615,000.00	\$1,324,800.00	\$10,939,800.00
FL-506 Tallahassee/Leon County	127	669	335	462	\$11,547,500.00	\$2,389,200.00	\$13,936,700.00
FL-507 Orlando/Orange, Osceola, Seminole Counties	470	932	466	936	\$23,410,000.00	\$4,207,200.00	\$27,617,200.00
FL-508 Gainesville/Alachua, Putnam Counties	697	198	66	796	\$19,905,000.00	\$2,685,600.00	\$22,590,600.00

FL-520 Citrus, Hernando, Lake, Sumter Counties 463	FL-519 Pasco County 963	FL-518 Columbia, Hamilton, Lafayette, Suwannee Counties 595	FL-517 Hendry, Hardee, Highlands Counties 490	FL-515 Panama City/Bay, Jackson Counties 497	FL-514 Ocala/Marion County 251	FL-513 Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County 556	FL-512 St. Johns County 280	FL-511 Pensacola/Escambia, Santa Rosa Counties 248	FL-510 Jacksonville-Duval, Clay Counties 711	FL-509 Fort Pierce/St. Lucie, Indian River, Martin Counties 1,883	Estimated Total CoC Number and Name Unsheltered
<u>184</u>	33 74	55	0	116	188	230	30 52	18 304	1 857	52	4 Sheltered Homeless
92	37	29	0	58	94	115	26	152	429	26	Density Reduction Need
555	1,000	624	490	555	345	671	306	400	1,140	1,909	Total New Units Required
\$13,885,000.00	\$25,005,000.00	\$15,600,000.00	\$12,250,000.00	\$13,875,000.00	\$8,615,000.00	\$16,770,000.00	\$7,650,000.00	\$9,995,000.00	\$28,492,500.00	\$47,725,000.00	Cost of New Capacity
\$1,942,200.00	\$3,111,600.00	\$1,959,000.00	\$1,470,000.00	\$1,839,000.00	\$1,315,800.00	\$2,357,400.00	\$996,000.00	\$1,655,400.00	\$4,704,600.00	\$5,805,000.00	Cost of Quarantine Units
\$15,827,200.00	\$28,116,600.00	\$17,559,000.00	\$13,720,000.00	\$15,714,000.00	\$9,930,800.00	\$19,127,400.00	\$8,646,000.00	\$11,650,400.00	\$33,197,100.00	\$53,530,000.00	Total Additional Cost

\$4,595,900.00	\$713,400.00	\$3,882,500.00	155	83	165	73	GA-505 Columbus- Muscogee
\$8,899,700.00	\$1,177,200.00	\$7,722,500.00	309	84	167	225	GA-504 Augusta-Richmond County
\$3,799,000.00	\$549,000.00	\$3,250,000.00	130	53	106	77	GA-503 Athens-Clarke County
\$8,094,200.00	\$1,309,200.00	\$6,785,000.00	271	165	330	106	GA-502 Fulton County
\$101,054,900.00	\$11,897,400.00	\$89,157,500.00	3,566	400	799	3,167	GA-501 Georgia Balance of State
\$59,200,300.00	\$9,022,800.00	\$50,177,500.00	2,007	1,001	2,001	1,007	GA-500 Atlanta
\$7,817,800.00	\$1,177,800.00	\$6,640,000.00	266	127	254	139	FL-606 Naples/Collier County
\$40,103,000.00	\$4,578,000.00	\$35,525,000.00	1,421	105	210	1,316	FL-605 West Palm Beach/Palm Beach County
\$12,331,300.00	\$1,678,800.00	\$10,652,500.00	426	134	267	293	FL-604 Monroe County
\$8,349,900.00	\$1,067,400.00	\$7,282,500.00	291	65	129	227	FL-603 Ft Myers, Cape Coral/Lee County
\$3,618,400.00	\$473,400.00	\$3,145,000.00	126	32	64	94	FL-602 Punta Gorda/Charlotte County
\$68,203,000.00	\$8,628,000.00	\$59,575,000.00	2,383	493	986	1,890	FL-601 Ft Lauderdale/Broward County
\$59,152,100.00	\$8,034,600.00	\$51,117,500.00	2,045	634	1,267	1,411	FL-600 Miami-Dade County
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
GA-506 Marietta/Cobb County	178	190	95	273	\$6,820,000.00	\$1,103,400.00	\$7,923,400.00
GA-507 Savannah/Chatham County	323	347	174	497	\$12,422,500.00	\$2,011,200.00	\$14,433,700.00
GA-508 DeKalb County	276	64	32	308	\$7,695,000.00	\$1,019,400.00	\$8,714,400.00
GU-500 Guam	1,070	28	14	1,084	\$27,090,000.00	\$3,292,800.00	\$30,382,800.00
HI-500 Hawaii Balance of State	1,732	251	126	1,857	\$46,432,500.00	\$5,948,400.00	\$52,380,900.00
HI-501 Honolulu City and County	3,364	983	492	3,856	\$96,392,500.00	\$13,041,600.00	\$109,434,100.00
IA-500 Sioux City/Dakota, Woodbury Counties	10	155	78	87	\$2,182,500.00	\$494,400.00	\$2,676,900.00
IA-501 lowa Balance of State	125	762	381	506	\$12,640,000.00	\$2,659,800.00	\$15,299,800.00
IA-502 Des Moines/Polk County	129	452	226	355	\$8,870,000.00	\$1,742,400.00	\$10,612,400.00
ID-500 Boise/Ada County	85	507	254	339	\$8,472,500.00	\$1,777,200.00	\$10,249,700.00
ID-501 Idaho Balance of State	1,294	324	162	1,456	\$36,390,000.00	\$4,852,800.00	\$41,242,800.00
IL-500 McHenry County	_	92	46	47	\$1,185,000.00	\$280,200.00	\$1,465,200.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
IL-513 Springfield/Sangamon County	35	179	90	125	\$3,112,500.00	\$642,000.00	\$3,754,500.00
IL-514 DuPage County	14	175	88	102		\$567,000.00	\$3,104,500.00
IL-515 South Central Illinois	49	32	16	65	\$1,625,000.00	\$243,000.00	\$1,868,000.00
IL-516 Decatur/Macon County	15	108	54	69	\$1,735,000.00	\$370,200.00	\$2,105,200.00
IL-517 Aurora, Elgin/Kane County	63	290	145	208	\$5,200,000.00	\$1,059,000.00	\$6,259,000.00
IL-518 Rock Island, Moline/Northwestern Illinois	22	127	64	86	\$2,147,500.00	\$448,200.00	\$2,595,700.00
IL-519 West Central Illinois	ω	34	17	20	\$495,000.00	\$110,400.00	\$605,400.00
IL-520 Southern Illinois	77	134	67	144	\$3,600,000.00	\$633,000.00	\$4,233,000.00
IN-502 Indiana Balance of State	752	2,095	1,048	1,799	\$44,982,500.00	\$8,540,400.00	\$53,522,900.00
IN-503 Indianapolis	147	1,032	516	663	\$16,575,000.00	\$3,537,000.00	\$20,112,000.00
KS-502 Wichita/Sedgwick County	80	369	185	264	\$6,607,500.00	\$1,346,400.00	\$7,953,900.00
KS-503 Topeka/Shawnee County	95	264	132	227	\$5,680,000.00	\$1,077,600.00	\$6,757,600.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
KS-505 Overland Park, Shawnee/Johnson County	55	70	35	00	\$2,240,000.00	\$373,800.00	\$2,613,800.00
KS-507 Kansas Balance of State	328	558	279	607	\$15,165,000.00	\$2,656,800.00	\$17,821,800.00
KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State	910	996	498	1,408	\$35,200,000.00	\$5,718,000.00	\$40,918,000.00
KY-501 Louisville-Jefferson County	165	691	346	511	\$12,767,500.00	\$2,568,600.00	\$15,336,100.00
KY-502 Lexington-Fayette County	15	665	333	348	\$8,697,500.00	\$2,041,200.00	\$10,738,700.00
LA-500 Lafayette/Acadiana	217	122	61	278	\$6,950,000.00	\$1,017,000.00	\$7,967,000.00
LA-502 Shreveport, Bossier/Northwest Louisiana	84	158	79	163	\$4,075,000.00	\$726,000.00	\$4,801,000.00
LA-503 New Orleans/Jefferson Parish	602	588	294	896	\$22,400,000.00	\$3,570,000.00	\$25,970,000.00
LA-505 Monroe/Northeast Louisiana	24	70	35	59	\$1,470,000.00	\$281,400.00	\$1,751,400.00
LA-506 Slidell/Southeast Louisiana	106	131	66	172	\$4,297,500.00	\$712,200.00	\$5,009,700.00
LA-507 Alexandria/Central Louisiana	27	68	34	61	\$1,515,000.00	\$283,800.00	\$1,798,800.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
LA-509 Louisiana Balance of State	304	286	143	447	\$11,170,000.00	\$1,769,400.00	\$12,939,400.00
MA-500 Boston MA-502 Lynn	169 7	2,243 73	1,122 37	1,291 44	\$32,272,500.00 \$1,087,500.00	\$7,237,200.00 \$240,000.00	\$39,509,700.00 \$1,327,500.00
MA-503 Cape Cod Islands	53	138	69	122	\$3,055,000.00	\$573,600.00	\$3,628,600.00
MA-504 Springfield/Hampden County	59	324	162	221	\$5,520,000.00	\$1,148,400.00	\$6,668,400.00
MA-505 New Bedford	74	178	68	163	\$4,080,000.00	\$756,600.00	\$4,836,600.00
MA-506 Worcester City & County	237	487	244	480	\$12,002,500.00	\$2,170,800.00	\$14,173,300.00
MA-507 Pittsfield/Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire Counties	48	328	164	212	\$5,290,000.00	\$1,126,800.00	\$6,416,800.00
MA-508 Lowell	22	205	103	125	\$3,122,500.00	\$682,200.00	\$3,804,700.00
MA-509 Cambridge	81	326	163	244	\$6,105,000.00	\$1,221,600.00	\$7,326,600.00
MA-510 Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County	71	203	102	173	\$4,322,500.00	\$823,200.00	\$5,145,700.00
MA-511 Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and County	18	356	178	196	\$4,905,000.00	\$1,122,600.00	\$6,027,600.00
MA-515 Fall River	6	62	31	37	\$915,000.00	\$202,800.00	\$1,117,800.00

\$209,700.00	\$37,200.00	\$172,500.00	7	6	11	_	MD-510 Garrett County
\$5,134,600.00	\$729,600.00	\$4,405,000.00	176	67	134	109	MD-509 Frederick City & County
\$6,514,800.00	\$874,800.00	\$5,640,000.00	226	66	132	160	MD-508 Charles, Calvert, St.Mary's Counties
\$1,776,900.00	\$269,400.00	\$1,507,500.00	60	30	59	31	MD-507 Cecil County
\$1,893,600.00	\$288,600.00	\$1,605,000.00	64	32	64	32	MD-506 Carroll County
\$13,356,800.00	\$1,741,800.00	\$11,615,000.00	465	116	232	349	MD-505 Baltimore County
\$3,674,900.00	\$467,400.00	\$3,207,500.00	128	28	55	101	MD-504 Howard County
\$4,964,200.00	\$754,200.00	\$4,210,000.00	168	83	166	85	MD-503 Annapolis/Anne Arundel County
\$2,033,100.00	\$315,600.00	\$1,717,500.00	69	37	73	32	MD-502 Harford County
\$38,952,000.00	\$6,252,000.00	\$32,700,000.00	1,308	776	1,552	532	MD-501 Baltimore
\$2,349,400.00	\$329,400.00	\$2,020,000.00	81	29	58	52	MD-500 Cumberland/Allegany County
\$1,921,800.00	\$256,800.00	\$1,665,000.00	67	19	38	48	MA-519 Attleboro, Taunton/Bristol County
\$1,709,500.00	\$297,000.00	\$1,412,500.00	57	43	85	14	MA-517 Somerville
\$12,117,200.00	\$1,732,200.00	\$10,385,000.00	415	162	324	253	MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

\$5,080,100.00	\$837,600.00	\$4,242,500.00	170	110	219	60	MI-504 Pontiac, Royal Oak/Oakland County
\$3,496,500.00	\$609,000.00	\$2,887,500.00	116	88	175	28	MI-503 St. Clair Shores, Warren/Macomb County
\$1,642,900.00	\$260,400.00	\$1,382,500.00	ე	32	63	24	MI-502 Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Westland/Wayne County
\$23,288,700.00	\$4,216,200.00	\$19,072,500.00	763	643	1,285	120	MI-501 Detroit
\$13,281,800.00	\$2,116,800.00	\$11,165,000.00	447	259	518	188	MI-500 Michigan Balance of State
\$21,161,500.00	\$3,774,000.00	\$17,387,500.00	696	563	1,125	133	ME-500 Maine Statewide
\$8,272,000.00	\$1,347,000.00	\$6,925,000.00	277	172	344	105	MD-601 Montgomery County
\$4,830,100.00	\$687,600.00	\$4,142,500.00	166	64	127	102	MD-600 Prince George's County
\$3,945,900.00	\$638,400.00	\$3,307,500.00	132	81	161	52	MD-513 Wicomico, Somerset, Worcester Counties
\$5,486,500.00	\$774,000.00	\$4,712,500.00	189	70	139	119	MD-512 Hagerstown/Washington County
\$1,682,100.00	\$264,600.00	\$1,417,500.00	57	32	63	25	MD-511 Mid-Shore Regional
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
MI-505 Flint/Genesee County	67	240	120	187	\$4,680,000.00	\$921,600.00	\$5,601,600.00
MI-506 Grand Rapids, Wyoming/Kent County	22	419	210	232	\$5,797,500.00	\$1,324,200.00	\$7,121,700.00
MI-507 Portage, Kalamazoo City & County	242	284	142	384	\$9,605,000.00	\$1,578,600.00	\$11,183,600.00
MI-508 Lansing, East Lansing/Ingham County	14	287	144	158	\$3,937,500.00	\$903,000.00	\$4,840,500.00
MI-509 Washtenaw County	17	181	91	107	\$2,682,500.00	\$593,400.00	\$3,275,900.00
MI-510 Saginaw City & County	17	168	84	101	\$2,520,000.00	\$554,400.00	\$3,074,400.00
MI-511 Lenawee County	4	57	29	33	\$817,500.00	\$183,600.00	\$1,001,100.00
MI-512 Grand Traverse, Antrim, Leelanau Counties	o	118	59	65	\$1,615,000.00	\$370,800.00	\$1,985,800.00
MI-513 Marquette, Alger Counties	0	30	15	15	\$375,000.00	\$90,000.00	\$465,000.00
MI-514 Battle Creek/Calhoun County	53	114	57	110	\$2,755,000.00	\$501,600.00	\$3,256,600.00
MI-515 Monroe City & County	0	91	46	46	\$1,137,500.00	\$273,000.00	\$1,410,500.00
MI-516 Norton Shores, Muskegon City & County	22	71	36	58	\$1,447,500.00	\$280,200.00	\$1,727,700.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
MI-517 Jackson City & County	18	77	39	57	\$1,417,500.00	\$285,600.00	\$1,703,100.00
MI-518 Livingston County	0	40	20	20	\$500,000.00	\$120,000.00	\$620,000.00
MI-519 Holland/Ottawa County	24	113	57	80	\$2,007,500.00	\$410,400.00	\$2,417,900.00
MI-523 Eaton County	0	3	2	2	\$37,500.00	\$9,000.00	\$46,500.00
MN-500 Minneapolis/Hennepin County	844	1,441	721	1,565	\$39,117,500.00	\$6,855,600.00	\$45,973,100.00
MN-501 Saint Paul/Ramsey County	444	752	376	820	\$20,495,000.00	\$3,587,400.00	\$24,082,400.00
MN-502 Rochester/Southeast Minnesota	81	168	84	165	\$4,130,000.00	\$747,600.00	\$4,877,600.00
MN-503 Dakota, Anoka, Washington, Scott, Carver Counties	330	185	93	423	\$10,572,500.00	\$1,546,200.00	\$12,118,700.00
MN-504 Northeast Minnesota	39	19	10	49	\$1,217,500.00	\$174,600.00	\$1,392,100.00
MN-505 St. Cloud/Central Minnesota	298	174	87	385	\$9,630,000.00	\$1,416,600.00	\$11,046,600.00
MN-506 Northwest Minnesota	11	116	58	69	\$1,730,000.00	\$381,600.00	\$2,111,600.00
MN-508 Moorhead/West Central Minnesota		96	48	49	\$1,235,000.00	\$292,200.00	\$1,527,200.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
MN-509 Duluth/St.Louis County	256	146	73	329	\$8,230,000.00	\$1,206,600.00	\$9,436,600.00
MN-511 Southwest Minnesota	8	24	12	20	\$510,000.00	\$97,200.00	\$607,200.00
MO-500 St. Louis County	38	257	129	166	\$4,157,500.00	\$884,400.00	\$5,041,900.00
MO-501 St.Louis City	74	693	347	421	\$10,517,500.00	\$2,301,600.00	\$12,819,100.00
MO-503 St. Charles City & County, Lincoln, Warren Counties	178	143	72	249	\$6,232,500.00	\$962,400.00	\$7,194,900.00
MO-600 Springfield/Greene, Christian, Webster Counties	113	254	127	240	\$6,010,000.00	\$1,102,200.00	\$7,112,200.00
MO-602 Joplin/Jasper, Newton Counties	69	141	71	139	\$3,477,500.00	\$628,800.00	\$4,106,300.00
MO-603 St. Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties	52	120	60	112	\$2,795,000.00	\$515,400.00	\$3,310,400.00
MO-604a Kansas City, Independence, Lee's Summit/Jackson, Wyandotte Counties, MO & KS	448	1,070	535	983	\$24,575,000.00	\$4,554,000.00	\$29,129,000.00
MO-606 Missouri Balance of State	503	595	298	800	\$20,002,500.00	\$3,292,800.00	\$23,295,300.00

\$8,575,700.00 \$23,601,700.00 \$9,202,400.00	\$1,453,200.00 \$3,904,200.00 \$1,232,400.00	\$7,122,500.00 \$19,697,500.00 \$7,970,000.00	285 788 319	200 514 92	1,027 184	85 274 227	Point NC-505 Charlotte/Mecklenberg NC-506 Wilmington/Brunswick, New Hanover, Pender Counties
\$55,888,000.00	\$7,938,000.00	\$47,950,000.00	1,918	728	1,456	1,190	NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State NC-504 Greensboro, High
\$10,296,100.00 \$5.887.700.00	\$1,728,600.00 \$865.200.00	\$8,567,500.00	201	88 88	467	109	County NC-502 Durham City & County
\$6,458,800.00	\$1,168,800.00	\$5,290,000.00	212	178	356	34	NC-500 Winston- Salem/Forsyth County
\$23,692,000.00	\$3,417,000.00	\$20,275,000.00	811	328	656	483	MT-500 Montana Statewide
\$8,200,200.00	\$940,200.00	\$7,260,000.00	290	23	46	267	MS-503 Gulf Port/Gulf Coast Regional
\$10,400,300.00	\$1,372,800.00	\$9,027,500.00	361	97	193	265	MS-501 Mississippi Balance of State
\$7,875,200.00	\$1,165,200.00	\$6,710,000.00	268	120	240	148	MS-500 Jackson/Rankin, Madison Counties
\$70,329,400.00	\$7,559,400.00	\$62,770,000.00	2,511	9	18	2,502	MP-500 Northern Mariana Islands
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

\$2,024,100.00	\$381,600.00	\$1,642,500.00	66	62	123	4	NH-502 Nashua/Hillsborough County
\$5,424,700.00	\$877,200.00	\$4,547,500.00	182	111	221	71	NH-501 Manchester
\$8,653,000.00	\$1,353,000.00	\$7,300,000.00	292	159	318	133	NH-500 New Hampshire Balance of State
\$5,367,300.00	\$889,800.00	\$4,477,500.00	179	118	235	62	NE-502 Lincoln
\$18,248,700.00	\$3,376,200.00	\$14,872,500.00	595	531	1,061	64	NE-501 Omaha, Council Bluffs
\$5,263,500.00	\$951,000.00	\$4,312,500.00	173	145	289	28	NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State
\$5,802,400.00	\$1,082,400.00	\$4,720,000.00	189	172	344	17	ND-500 North Dakota Statewide
\$11,638,100.00	\$1,395,600.00	\$10,242,500.00	410	56	111	354	NC-516 Northwest North Carolina
\$2,314,800.00	\$349,800.00	\$1,965,000.00	79	38	76	41	NC-513 Chapel Hill/Orange County
\$9,045,200.00	\$985,200.00	\$8,060,000.00	322	თ	12	316	NC-511 Fayetteville/Cumberland County
\$5,364,400.00	\$794,400.00	\$4,570,000.00	183	82	164	101	NC-509 Gastonia/Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln Counties
\$16,412,200.00	\$2,377,200.00	\$14,035,000.00	561	231	462	330	NC-507 Raleigh/Wake County
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

\$124,000.00	\$24,000.00	\$100,000.00	4	4	8	0	NJ-512 Salem County
\$7,221,400.00	\$1,001,400.00	\$6,220,000.00	249	85	170	164	NJ-511 Paterson/Passaic County
\$3,516,500.00	\$579,000.00	\$2,937,500.00	118	76	151	42	NJ-510 Lakewood Township/Ocean County
\$4,482,900.00	\$725,400.00	\$3,757,500.00	150	92	183	59	NJ-509 Morris County
\$4,534,800.00	\$694,800.00	\$3,840,000.00	154	78	156	76	NJ-508 Monmouth County
\$8,795,000.00	\$1,245,000.00	\$7,550,000.00	302	113	226	189	NJ-507 New Brunswick/Middlesex County
\$17,565,400.00	\$2,495,400.00	\$15,070,000.00	603	229	458	374	NJ-506 Jersey City, Bayonne/Hudson County
\$29,292,100.00	\$4,524,600.00	\$24,767,500.00	991	518	1,035	473	NJ-504 Newark/Essex County
\$17,295,800.00	\$2,605,800.00	\$14,690,000.00	588	281	562	307	NJ-503 Camden City & County/Gloucester, Cape May, Cumberland Counties
\$4,249,600.00	\$744,600.00	\$3,505,000.00	140	108	216	32	NJ-502 Burlington County
\$2,838,300.00	\$535,800.00	\$2,302,500.00	92	87	173	6	NJ-501 Bergen County
\$7,359,200.00	\$1,099,200.00	\$6,260,000.00	250	116	232	134	NJ-500 Atlantic City & County
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

\$36,379,900.00 \$159,119,900.00 \$22,421,700.00 \$11,681,800.00 \$10,067,500.00 \$2,165,400.00	\$4,697,400.00 \$19,562,400.00 \$3,574,200.00 \$1,366,800.00 \$1,830,000.00 \$395,400.00	\$31,682,500.00 \$139,557,500.00 \$18,847,500.00 \$10,315,000.00 \$8,237,500.00 \$1,770,000.00	1,267 5,582 754 413 330 71	299 438 61	597 1,877 875 861 561	969 4,644 316 370 49	NM-501 New Mexico Balance of State NV-500 Las Vegas/Clark County NV-501 Reno, Sparks/Washoe County NV-502 Nevada Balance of State NY-500 Rochester, Irondequoit, Greece/Monroe County NY-501 Elmira/Steuben, Allegany, Livingston, Chemung, Schuyler Counties
\$4,383,600.00 \$32,719,900.00	\$753,600.00 \$4,412,400.00	\$3,630,000.00	145	106	212	39	NJ-516 Warren, Sussex, Hunterdon Counties NM-500 Albuquerque
\$4,644,300.00	\$766,800.00	\$3,877,500.00	155	101	201	55	NJ-515 Elizabeth/Union County
\$7,090,300.00	\$1,087,800.00	\$6,002,500.00	240	123	245	118	NJ-514 Trenton/Mercer County
\$3,195,700.00	\$598,200.00	\$2,597,500.00	104	96	191	ω	NJ-513 Somerset County
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name
CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
--	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------
NY-503 Albany City & County	48	509	255	302	\$7,552,500.00	\$1,669,800.00	\$9,222,300.00
NY-504 Cattaragus County	0	26	13	13	\$325,000.00	\$78,000.00	\$403,000.00
NY-505 Syracuse, Auburn/Onondaga, Oswego, Cayuga Counties	18	539	270	288	\$7,192,500.00	\$1,671,600.00	\$8,864,100.00
NY-507 Schenectady City & County	<u>з</u>	209	105	135	\$3,382,500.00	\$719,400.00	\$4,101,900.00
NY-508 Buffalo, Niagara Falls/Erie, Niagara, Orleans, Genesee, Wyoming Counties	46	502	251	297	\$7,430,000.00	\$1,644,600.00	\$9,074,600.00
NY-510 lthaca/Tompkins County	0	122	61	61		\$366,000.00	\$1,891,000.00
NY-511 Binghamton, Union Town/Broome, Otsego, Chenango, Delaware, Cortland, Tioga Count	13	246	123	136	\$3,390,000.00	\$775,800.00	\$4,165,800.00
NY-512 Troy/Rensselaer County	14	106	53	67	\$1,675,000.00	\$360,000.00	\$2,035,000.00

\$634,339,900.00	\$110,507,400.00	\$523,832,500.00	20,953	15,883	31,765	5,071	NY-600 New York City
\$1,272,800.00	\$232,800.00	\$1,040,000.00	42	36	72	თ	NY-525 New York Balance of State Continuum of Care
\$3,405,400.00	\$635,400.00	\$2,770,000.00	111	101	202	10	NY-523 Glens Falls, Saratoga Springs/Saratoga, Washington, Warren, Hamilton Counties Co
\$699,300.00	\$121,800.00	\$577,500.00	23	18	35	თ	NY-522 Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence Counties
\$412,100.00	\$69,600.00	\$342,500.00	14	10	19	4	NY-520 Franklin, Essex Counties
\$1,598,300.00	\$295,800.00	\$1,302,500.00	52	47	93	o	NY-519 Columbia, Greene Counties
\$2,253,800.00	\$388,800.00	\$1,865,000.00	75	55	110	20	NY-518 Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties
\$2,054,200.00	\$394,200.00	\$1,660,000.00	66	65	130	_	NY-516 Clinton County
\$852,500.00	\$165,000.00	\$687,500.00	28	28	55	0	NY-514 Jamestown, Dunkirk/Chautauqua County
\$2,131,700.00	\$409,200.00	\$1,722,500.00	69	68	135	<u> </u>	NY-513 Wayne, Ontario, Seneca, Yates Counties
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
NY-601 Poughkeepsie/Dutchess County	14	259	130	144	\$3,587,500.00	\$819,000.00	\$4,406,500.00
NY-602 Newburgh, Middletown/Orange County	71	200	100	171	\$4,285,000.00	\$814,200.00	\$5,099,200.00
NY-603 Nassau, Suffolk Counties	64	1,092	546	610	\$15,260,000.00	\$3,469,200.00	\$18,729,200.00
NY-604 Yonkers, Mount Vernon/Westchester County	45	575	288	332	\$8,307,500.00	\$1,859,400.00	\$10,166,900.00
NY-606 Rockland County	60	102	51	111	\$2,780,000.00	\$486,600.00	\$3,266,600.00
NY-607 Sullivan County	0	59	30	30	\$737,500.00	\$177,000.00	\$914,500.00
NY-608 Kingston/Ulster County	59	130	65	124	\$3,095,000.00	\$566,400.00	\$3,661,400.00
OH-500 Cincinnati/Hamilton County	43	731	366	409	\$10,222,500.00	\$2,323,200.00	\$12,545,700.00
OH-501 Toledo/Lucas County	17	337	169	185	\$4,632,500.00	\$1,061,400.00	\$5,693,900.00
OH-502 Cleveland/Cuyahoga County	148	1,082	541	689	\$17,235,000.00	\$3,691,200.00	\$20,926,200.00

CoC Number and Name OH-503 Columbus/Franklin County OH-504 Youngstown/Mahoning County OH-505 Dayton, Kettering/Montgomery County	Estimated Total Unsheltered 13	Sheltered Homeless Individuals 982 107 496	Density Reduction Need 491 54	Total New Units Required 1,026 66	Cost of New Capacity \$25,645,000.00 \$1,652,500.00 \$7,915,000.00	Cost of Quarantine Units \$4,550,400.00 \$358,800.00 \$1,693,800.00	Total Additional Cost \$30, 195,400.00 \$2,011,300.00 \$9,608,800.00
OH-505 Dayton, Kettering/Montgomery County	69	496	248	317	\$7,915,000.00	\$1,693,800.00	8,800,6\$
OH-506 Akron, Barberton/Summit County OH-507 Ohio Balance of	122	317	159	280	\$7,007,500.00	\$1,316,400.00	\$8,323,900.00
State OH-508 Canton, Massillon,	1,140	1,384	692	1,832	\$45,790,000.00	\$7,570,800.00	\$53,360,800.00
Alliance/Stark County OK-500 North Central	40 24	104	52	76	\$1,895,000.00	\$383,400.00	\$2,278,400.00
OK-501 Tulsa City & County	414	667	334	ъу 748	\$1,720,000.00 \$18,697,500.00	\$3,244,200.00	\$21,941,700.00
OK-502 Oklahoma City	538	692	346	884	\$22,090,000.00	\$3,688,800.00	\$25,778,800.00
OK-503 Oklahoma Balance of State	232	84	42	274	\$6,860,000.00	\$949,200.00	\$7,809,200.00
OK-504 Norman/Cleveland County	301	84	42	343	\$8,575,000.00	\$1,155,000.00	\$9,730,000.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
OK-505 Northeast Oklahoma	66	69	35	134	\$3,347,500.00	\$505,200.00	\$3,852,700.00
OK-506 Southwest Oklahoma Regional	31	71	36	66	\$1,657,500.00	\$305,400.00	\$1,962,900.00
OK-507 Southeastern Oklahoma Regional	106	164	82	188	\$4,710,000.00	\$811,200.00	\$5,521,200.00
OR-500 Eugene, Springfield/Lane County	2,286	374	187	2,473	\$61,830,000.00	\$7,980,600.00	\$69,810,600.00
OR-501 Portland, Gresham/Multnomah County	2,852	1,673	837	3,688	\$92,207,500.00	\$13,574,400.00	\$105,781,900.00
OR-502 Medford, Ashland/Jackson County	510	263	132	641	\$16,027,500.00	\$2,317,800.00	\$18,345,300.00
OR-503 Central Oregon	860	212	106	996	\$24,140,000.00	\$3,214,800.00	\$27,354,800.00
OR-505 Oregon Balance of State	7,055	1,300	650	7,705	\$192,615,000.00	\$25,063,800.00	\$217,678,800.00
OR-506 Hillsboro, Beaverton/Washington County	325	187	94	418	\$10,457,500.00	\$1,535,400.00	\$11,992,900.00
OR-507 Clackamas County	312	178	89	401	\$10,030,000.00	\$1,470,600.00	\$11,500,600.00
PA-500 Philadelphia	1,362	2,532	1,266	2,628	\$65,705,000.00	\$11,682,600.00	\$77,387,600.00
PA-501 Harrisburg/Dauphin County	67	220	110	177	\$4,430,000.00	\$861,600.00	\$5,291,600.00

\$3,532,900.00	\$575,400.00	\$2,957,500.00	118	74	147	45	PA-512 York City & County
\$2,915,700.00	\$493,200.00	\$2,422,500.00	97	68	135	29	PA-511 Bristol, Bensalem/Bucks County
\$4,097,400.00	\$752,400.00	\$3,345,000.00	134	117	234	17	PA-510 Lancaster City & County
\$24,959,400.00	\$3,689,400.00	\$21,270,000.00	851	379	758	472	PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania
\$2,243,800.00	\$403,800.00	\$1,840,000.00	74	61	122	13	PA-508 Scranton/Lackawanna County
\$7,289,500.00	\$1,377,000.00	\$5,912,500.00	237	223	445	14	PA-506 Reading/Berks County
\$6,581,000.00	\$1,206,000.00	\$5,375,000.00	215	187	374	28	PA-505 Chester County
\$2,232,800.00	\$367,800.00	\$1,865,000.00	75	48	96	27	PA-504 Lower Merion, Norristown, Abington/Montgomery County
\$1,669,400.00	\$299,400.00	\$1,370,000.00	55	45	00	10	PA-503 Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton/Luzerne County
\$4,594,100.00	\$726,600.00	\$3,867,500.00	155	88	175	67	PA-502 Upper Darby, Chester, Haverford/Delaware County
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
PA-600 Pittsburgh, McKeesport, Penn Hills/Allegheny County	67	481	241	308	\$7,692,500.00	\$1,644,600.00	\$9,337,100.00
PA-601 Western Pennsylvania	48	433	217	264	\$6,602,500.00	\$1,441,800.00	\$8,044,300.00
PA-603 Beaver County	8	46	23	31	\$785,000.00	\$163,200.00	\$948,200.00
PA-605 Erie City & County	00	241	121	129	\$3,222,500.00	\$748,200.00	\$3,970,700.00
PR-502 Puerto Rico Balance of Commonwealth	1,271	308	154	1,425	\$35,630,000.00	\$4,737,600.00	\$40,367,600.00
PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico	1,389	189	95	1,483	\$37,082,500.00	\$4,733,400.00	\$41,815,900.00
RI-500 Rhode Island Statewide	66	663	332	431	\$10,772,500.00	\$2,287,200.00	\$13,059,700.00
SC-500 Charleston/Low Country	179	219	110	289	\$7,217,500.00	\$1,194,600.00	\$8,412,100.00
SC-501 Greenville, Anderson, Spartanburg/Upstate	708	658	329	1,037	\$25,935,000.00	\$4,099,200.00	\$30,034,200.00
SC-502 Columbia/Midlands	409	705	353	761	\$19,032,500.00	\$3,341,400.00	\$22,373,900.00
SC-503 Myrtle Beach, Sumter City & County	1,107	278	139	1,246	\$31,160,000.00	\$4,156,200.00	\$35,316,200.00
SD-500 South Dakota Statewide	328	504	252	580	\$14,490,000.00	\$2,494,800.00	\$16,984,800.00

Total New Units Cost of New Capacity 354 \$8,860,000.00 433 \$10,817,500.00 539 \$13,462,500.00
\$13 0 \$8 C

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
TX-503 Austin/Travis County	1,520	615	308	1,828	\$45,697,500.00	\$6,406,200.00	\$52,103,700.00
TX-600 Dallas City & County, Irving	2,033	2,022	1,011	3,044	\$76,095,000.00	\$12,164,400.00	\$88,259,400.00
TX-601 Fort Worth, Arlington/Tarrant County	784	1,027	514	1,298	\$32,437,500.00	\$5,433,000.00	\$37,870,500.00
TX-603 El Paso City & County	256	344	172	428	\$10,705,000.00	\$1,800,600.00	\$12,505,600.00
TX-604 Waco/McLennan County	97	65	33	129	\$3,227,500.00	\$484,800.00	\$3,712,300.00
TX-607 Texas Balance of State	6,518	1,750	875	7,393	\$184,835,000.00	\$24,805,200.00	\$209,640,200.00
TX-611 Amarillo	463	296	148	611	\$15,285,000.00	\$2,278,200.00	\$17,563,200.00
TX-624 Wichita Falls/Wise, Palo Pinto, Wichita, Archer Counties	88	158	79	167	\$4,180,000.00	\$738,600.00	\$4,918,600.00
TX-700 Houston, Pasadena, Conroe/Harris, Ft. Bend, Montgomery, Counties	2,260	1,304	652	2,912	\$72,790,000.00	\$10,690,800.00	\$83,480,800.00
TX-701 Bryan, College Station/Brazos Valley	29	74	37	66	\$1,660,000.00	\$310,200.00	\$1,970,200.00
UT-500 Salt Lake City & County	270	1,125	563	833	\$20,817,500.00	\$4,185,600.00	\$25,003,100.00

CoC Number and Name	Estimated Total Unsheltered	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Density Reduction Need	Total New Units Required	Cost of New Capacity	Cost of Quarantine Units	Total Additional Cost
VA-521 Virginia Balance of State	174	289	145	318	\$7,952,500.00	\$1,387,800.00	\$9,340,300.00
VA-600 Arlington County	50	113	57	107	\$2,672,500.00	\$490,200.00	\$3,162,700.00
VA-601 Fairfax County	125	419	210	334	\$8,352,500.00	\$1,630,800.00	\$9,983,300.00
VA-602 Loudoun County	66	53	27	126	\$3,147,500.00	\$457,200.00	\$3,604,700.00
VA-603 Alexandria	14	103	52	66	\$1,637,500.00	\$351,000.00	\$1,988,500.00
VA-604 Prince William County	52	80	40	92	\$2,295,000.00	\$395,400.00	\$2,690,400.00
VI-500 Virgin Islands	325	82	41	366	\$9,145,000.00	\$1,220,400.00	\$10,365,400.00
VT-500 Vermont Balance of State	92	389	195	287	\$7,172,500.00	\$1,444,200.00	\$8,616,700.00
VT-501 Burlington/Chittenden County	67	180	90	157	\$3,930,000.00	\$741,600.00	\$4,671,600.00
WA-500 Seattle/King County	7,319	3,540	1,770	9,089	\$227,230,000.00	\$32,577,600.00	\$259,807,600.00
WA-501 Washington Balance of State	3,219	1,789	895	4,113	\$102,827,500.00	\$15,022,800.00	\$117,850,300.00
WA-502 Spokane City & County	441	711	356	797	\$19,912,500.00	\$3,456,000.00	\$23,368,500.00
WA-503 Tacoma, Lakewood/Pierce County	881	520	260	1,141	\$28,515,000.00	\$4,201,800.00	\$32,716,800.00

\$11,345,454,600.00	\$1,480,224,600.00	\$9,865,230,000.00	394,609	98,799	197,598	295,810	Total
\$9,999,500.00	\$1,512,000.00	\$8,487,500.00	340	165	329	175	WY-500 Wyoming Statewide
\$14,871,600.00	\$2,241,600.00	\$12,630,000.00	505	242	484	263	WV-508 West Virginia Balance of State
\$3,705,400.00	\$710,400.00	\$2,995,000.00	120	117	234	ω	WV-503 Charleston/Kanawha, Putnam, Boone, Clay Counties
\$3,470,800.00	\$505,800.00	\$2,965,000.00	119	50	100	69	WV-501 Huntington/Cabell, Wayne Counties
\$1,794,300.00	\$316,800.00	\$1,477,500.00	59	47	93	13	WV-500 Wheeling, Weirton Area
\$7,264,500.00	\$1,152,000.00	\$6,112,500.00	245	140	279	105	WI-503 Madison/Dane County
\$2,578,400.00	\$458,400.00	\$2,120,000.00	85	68	136	17	WI-502 Racine City & County
\$11,784,800.00	\$1,894,800.00	\$9,890,000.00	396	236	472	160	WI-501 Milwaukee City & County
\$24,191,300.00	\$4,363,800.00	\$19,827,500.00	793	662	1,323	132	WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State
\$22,500,400.00	\$2,705,400.00	\$19,795,000.00	792	110	220	682	WA-508 Vancouver/Clark County
\$27,696,800.00	\$3,331,800.00	\$24,365,000.00	975	136	272	839	WA-504 Everett/Snohomish County
Total Additional Cost	Cost of Quarantine Units	Cost of New Capacity	Total New Units Required	Density Reduction Need	Sheltered Homeless Individuals	Estimated Total Unsheltered	CoC Number and Name