Attributes of Successful Science-Policy Interfaces

Attributes

Relevance
Legitimacy
Credibility
Transparency
Authoritative
Action oriented
Ownership

Potential functions/deliverables for successful science-policy interfaces

Functions/ Deliverables

Horizon-scanning
Assessments
Solutions
Information sharing
Capacity building

Attributes

Relevance
Legitimacy
Credibility
Transparency
Authoritative
Action oriented
Ownership

Design Features of a successful SPI

Design Features

Intergovernmental
Independent
Flexible/Rapid
Affordable
Inclusive
Modular

Functions/ Deliverables

Horizon-scanning
Assessments
Solutions
Information sharing
Capacity building

Attributes

Relevance
Legitimacy
Credibility
Transparency
Authoritative
Action oriented
Ownership

Update from the Quatripartite Organizations

The Roadmap for the establishment of the Independent Panel on Evidence for Action against AMR (IPEA)

Lessons Learned for the AMR Panel from Previous International Science Panels

28 April 2025

Lagos, Nigeria









Roadmap for Establishing an Independent Panel for Evidence for Action against Antimicrobial Resistance (IPEA)

Released on 14th March 2025









The 79th UNGA Political Declaration on AMR, September 2024

"Invite the Quadripartite organizations to establish an independent panel for evidence for action against antimicrobial resistance in 2025 to facilitate the generation and use of multisectoral, scientific evidence to support Member States in efforts to tackle antimicrobial resistance, ..."
(#30)









Rationale for


Establishing the IPEA

- Effective AMR policymaking needs reliable interdisciplinary scientific evidence
- Fragmented data across sectors limit policymakers' ability to act on proven solutions
- Evidence gaps hinder progress and weaken the case for funding and interventions
- **Existing independent science panels** have driven global consensus on complex issues

















The Independent Panel will



Facilitate generation and use of multisectoral scientific evidence



Utilize existing resources and avoid duplication



Support Member States in tackling AMR in a one health approach









Thought starter to inform the establishment of IPEA

- Living document with initial comparative analysis of some of the existing science-policy panels to identify governance structures, functions, costs, and operational models
- Provide background on establishing, governing, and running, an independent, scientifically credible, policyrelevant, and politically legitimate panel for AMR

Answers for the following questions will guide development of the foundational documents and rules and procedures of the IPEA	
Mandate, purpose and scope	What should be the objective of this panel? What key issues the panel should address?
Functions	What are expectations from the panel outputs? I.e. assessments, horizon scanning knowledge management, policy support and capacity building?
Membership	What model to be used? Intergovernmental? Independent expert? Hybrid? Other?
Governance and structure	What governance structure and operational process? What level of independence and what responsibilities (i.e. will it oversee work, budget, outputs, rules, procedures, and election of members?) and reporting modalities?
Modalities of work	What will be the ways, frequency and means of participation? Will additional stakeholders be allowed as observers?
Resourcing and sustainability	What funding models or sources could ensure the panel's sustainability? How can the panel avoid conflicts of interest while securing resources?









Landscape analysis

Comparative analysis of existing science-policy panels

January-April



Developing stakeholder engagement strategies, consultation with stakeholders and Member States

A comprehensive stakeholder engagement strategy will be developed, and open consultations with stakeholders, mostly via the AMR MSPP will be performed

January-May



Soliciting written inputs and developing documents

Gather written input on priorities, the IPEA's governance model, and scope of work

February-September

Consultative Process for Consensus Building

Developing guidance documents

Developing key guidance documents for its work, ensuring scientific rigor, transparency, and inclusiveness.

May-September



Member States consultation

Aim to gather feedback on the founding documents. These can be led after the stakeholder consultations.

May - November





(UNEA7 side event)

December



























THANK YOU

Stay engaged

