

Brain morphometry in former American football players: findings from the DIAGNOSE CTE research project

Hector Arciniega,^{1,2,3} Zachary H. Baucom,⁴ Fatima Tuz-Zahra,⁴ Yorghos Tripodis,⁴ Omar John,^{1,2,3} Holly Carrington,¹ Nicholas Kim,¹ Evdokiya E. Knyazhanskaya,¹ Leonard B. Jung,^{1,5} Katherine Breedlove,⁶ Tim L. T. Wiegand,^{1,5} Daniel H. Daneshvar,^{7,8,9} R. Jarrett Rushmore,^{1,10} Tashrif Billah,¹ Ofer Pasternak,^{1,11,12} Michael J. Coleman,¹ Charles H. Adler,¹³ Charles Bernick,^{14,15} Laura J. Balcer,^{16,17,18} Michael L. Alosco,¹⁹ Inga K. Koerte,^{1,5,12,20} Alexander P. Lin,^{6,11} Jeffrey L. Cummings,²¹ Eric M. Reiman,^{22,23,24,25} Robert A. Stern,^{10,19,26} Martha E. Shenton^{1,11,12} and Sylvain Bouix²⁷; for the DIAGNOSE CTE Research Project

Abstract

Exposure to repetitive head impacts (RHIs) in contact sports is associated with neurodegenerative disorders including chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) which currently can be diagnosed only at postmortem. American football players are at higher risk of developing CTE given their exposure to RHIs. One promising approach for diagnosing CTE *in vivo* is to explore known neuropathological abnormalities at postmortem in living individuals using structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

MRI brain morphometry was evaluated in 170 male former American football players ages 45-74 years (n=114 professional; n=56 college) and 54 same-age unexposed asymptomatic male controls (n=58 age range 45-74). Cortical thickness and volume of regions of interest were selected based on established CTE pathology findings and were assessed using FreeSurfer. Group differences and interactions with age and exposure factors were evaluated using a generalized least squares model. A separate logistic regression and independent multinomial model were performed to predict each Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome (TES) diagnosis core clinical features and provisional level of certainty for CTE pathology using brain regions of interest.

Former college and professional American football players (combined) showed significant cortical thickness and/or volume reductions compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls in

the hippocampus amygdala entorhinal cortex parahippocampal gyrus insula temporal pole and superior frontal gyrus. Post-hoc analyses identified group-level differences between former *professional* players and unexposed asymptomatic controls in the hippocampus amygdala entorhinal cortex parahippocampal gyrus insula and superior frontal gyrus. Former *college* players showed significant volume reductions in the hippocampus amygdala and superior frontal gyrus compared to the unexposed asymptomatic controls. We did not observe age-by-group interactions for brain morphometric measures. Interactions between morphometry and exposure measures were limited to a single significant positive association between the age of first exposure to organized tackle football and right insular volume. We found no significant relationship between brain morphometric measures and the TES diagnosis core clinical features and provisional level of certainty for CTE pathology outcomes.

These findings suggest that MRI morphometrics detects abnormalities in individuals with a history of RHI exposure that resemble the anatomic distribution of pathological findings from postmortem CTE studies. The lack of findings associating MRI measures with exposure metrics (except for one significant relationship) or TES diagnosis and core clinical features suggests that brain morphometry must be complemented by other types of measures to characterize individuals with RHIs.

Author affiliations:

1 Psychiatry Neuroimaging Laboratory, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02145, USA

2 Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA

3 NYU Concussion Center, NYU Langone Health, New York, NY 10016, USA

4 Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02118, USA

5 cBRAIN, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, 80336 Munich, Bavaria, Germany

- 6 Center for Clinical Spectroscopy, Department of Radiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital,
Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA
- 7 Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
02115, USA
- 8 Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,
MA 02114, USA
- 9 Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital,
Boston, MA 02129, USA
- 10 Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian
School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118, USA
- 11 Department of Radiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston,
MA 02115, USA
- 12 Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA
- 13 Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Mayo Clinic Arizona
Scottsdale, AZ 85259, USA
- 14 Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, Las Vegas, NV 89106, USA
- 15 Department of Neurology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
- 16 Department of Neurology, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY 10017, USA
- 17 Department of Population Health, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY
10017, USA
- 18 Department of Ophthalmology, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, 10017,
USA
- 19 Department of Neurology, Boston University Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and CTE
Center, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118,
USA
- 20 Graduate School of Systemic Neurosciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, 82152
Munich, Bavaria, Germany

21 Chambers-Grundy Center for Transformative Neuroscience, Pam Quirk Brain Health and
 22 Biomarker Laboratory, Department of Brain Health School of Integrated Health Sciences,
 23 University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

24 Banner Alzheimer's Institute and Arizona Alzheimer's Consortium, Phoenix, AZ 85006,
 25 USA

26 Department of Psychiatry, University of Arizona, Phoenix, AZ 85004, USA

27 Department of Psychiatry, Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ 85008, USA

28 Neurogenomics Division, Translational Genomics Research Institute and Alzheimer's
 29 Consortium, Phoenix, AZ 85004, USA

30 Department of Neurosurgery, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine,
 31 Boston, MA 02118, USA

32 Department of Software Engineering and Information Technology, École de technologie
 33 supérieure, Université du Québec, Montréal, QC, H3C 1K3, Canada

34 Correspondence to: Hector Arciniega, PhD

35 240 East 38th Street 17th Floor

36 New York NY 10016, USA

37 E-mail: hector.arciniega@nyulangone.org

38 **Running title:** Brain morphometry in football players

39 **Keywords:** neuroimaging; structural MRI; sports-related head injury; repetitive head impact;
 40 former American football players

41 **Abbreviations:** *APOE4* = Apolipoprotein E ϵ 4; CI = Confidence interval; CTE = Chronic
 42 traumatic encephalopathy; DIAGNOSE CTE = Diagnostics Imaging And Genetics Network for
 43 the Objective Study and Evaluation of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy; p-tau =
 44 hyperphosphorylated tau; Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome = TES; RHI = repetitive head
 45 impacts

Introduction

Chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) is a neurodegenerative disease associated with a history of repetitive head impacts (RHI) exposure characterized by perivascular hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau) depositions in neurons with or without astrocytes at the depth of the cerebral sulci¹⁻³. In the initial stages of CTE p-tau depositions are primarily observed in frontotemporal brain regions and later progress to medial temporal lobes followed by widespread distribution across the brain⁴⁻⁷. The p-tau depositions within these regions have been linked to cognitive deficits behavior changes mood deficits and in a small number of cases motor deficits⁸.

CTE pathology has been found at postmortem in the brains of contact sports athletes such as American football players who are exposed to RHIs⁹⁻¹⁴. However there are no currently available *in vivo* diagnostic markers of CTE meaning a diagnosis can be made only after death. There is thus a need to establish *in vivo* diagnostic biomarkers for CTE so that interventions can be developed to slow the progression or prevent the disease.

Neuropathological studies of athletes involved in contact sports have led to the McKee CTE staging scheme defined by four pathological stages of CTE stages 1(mild)-4(severe)^{7,15}. In stage 1 the pathology is localized to the superior dorsolateral and inferior frontal cortices. Here the deposition of p-tau is largely found in the sulci of brain regions located around blood vessels^{1,2,4-6,16}. In stage 2 other macroscopic changes are observed including mild enlargement of the frontal horns of the lateral ventricles and the third ventricles and in some cases the presence of a cavum septum pellucidum. In stage 3 there is a reduction in brain weight mild frontal and temporal atrophy and further enlargement of the lateral and third ventricles. Importantly in stage 3 neurofibrillary tangles are visible in the olfactory bulb hippocampus entorhinal cortex amygdala hypothalamus and mammillary bodies. Stage 4 is characterized by more widespread regional brain pathology and includes decreases in myelinated nerve fibers and axonal dystrophy^{1,2,4-6}. Overall the pathology of CTE is well categorized at postmortem which allows us to target regions for *in vivo* neuroimaging analyses and possible *in vivo* diagnoses that correspond to those identified with postmortem studies.

1 The clinical features associated with neuropathologically diagnosed CTE are characterized
2 through the 2021 National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) consensus
3 diagnostic criteria for Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome (TES)¹⁷. A diagnosis of TES
4 requires a substantial RHI exposure core clinical features of cognitive impairment (in episodic
5 memory and/or executive functioning) and/or neurobehavioral dysregulation a progressive
6 course and the core clinical features are not fully accounted for by other neurological psychiatric
7 or medical conditions¹⁷. Importantly the consensus panelists agreed that *in vivo* biomarker
8 development for CTE was not sufficiently mature to be included in the diagnostic criteria.
9 Possible biomarkers can include the use of positron emission tomography (PET) imaging with
10 specialized radiotracers that bind to CTE tau isoforms cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) or blood
11 analytes for p-tau markers functional connectivity and neurochemical metabolisms¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Here we
12 focus on structural anatomical neuroimaging biomarkers that have the potential to establish
13 underlying biological links between RHI TES and CTE neuropathology.

14
15 Specific demographic and RHI exposure variables that may lead to CTE remain largely
16 unknown. Exposure to RHIs is key to the development of CTE although not everyone who is
17 exposed to RHIs will develop the disease^{9,20}. Understanding how demographic (e.g. age)²¹ and
18 exposure metrics (e.g. total years in football age of first exposure cumulative head impact
19 index)²²⁻²⁴ are associated with RHI and the development of CTE is therefore important for the
20 diagnosis of CTE during the lifetime understanding disease progression and development of
21 strategies for treatment and prevention.

22
23 One promising approach to establish *in vivo* biomarkers of CTE is to use structural magnetic
24 resonance imaging (MRI) to detect changes that may reflect those observed in *postmortem*
25 studies. Tauopathy findings at postmortem suggest that frontal and temporal lobe brain regions
26 are most likely impacted and can be explored *in vivo* in structural neuroimaging studies^{4-6,15,20,25}.
27 In addition a recent study of antemortem structural MRI in confirmed cases of CTE found
28 atrophy to be most severe in the frontal anterior temporal and medial temporal lobes compared to
29 controls²⁶. These findings led us to hypothesize that brain regions known to be impacted by
30 tauopathy in CTE may display thickness and/or volume reductions observable in structural *in*

vivo MRI²⁶. Accordingly we predicted that cortical thickness and/or volume may be sensitive measures that will allow us to detect subtle group-level changes that may be consistent with CTE pathology at postmortem.

In this study we make three main contributions. First we characterize *in vivo* cortical and subcortical morphometric changes in former American football players in regions known to be associated with postmortem CTE pathology. Here as described above we focus on regions that can be segmented from MRI and that are hallmarks of CTE pathology including the superior frontal gyrus caudal middle frontal gyrus rostral middle frontal gyrus entorhinal cortex parahippocampal gyrus insula temporal pole amygdala hippocampus and hypothalamus. First we test group-level differences between former American football players and healthy unexposed asymptomatic controls and further dichotomize the former American football player sample into two groups (former *college* players and former *professional* players). Second we analyze the association between brain morphometry and age as well as exposure measures that may be associated with the development of CTE (age of first exposure to football total years in football cumulative head impact index measures including frequency linear acceleration and rotational force). Third we study the link between the identified abnormalities and TES diagnosis TES core clinical features of cognitive impairment and neurobehavioral dysregulation and the provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology.

Material and methods

Study Design and Participants

This study is part of the Diagnostics Imaging And Genetics Network for the Objective Study and Evaluation of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy (DIAGNOSE CTE) Research Project. DIAGNOSE CTE is a large multi-site study where the protocol includes neurological and psychiatric examinations assessment of exposure to RHI neuropsychological testing self- and informant-report measures of neuropsychiatric symptoms lumbar puncture and blood draw (for fluid biomarkers) and neuroimaging (positron emission tomography (PET) structural diffusion and functional MRI and magnetic resonance spectroscopy in former professional players former

college football players and healthy unexposed asymptomatic controls²⁷. The study and its procedures were approved by the Boston University Medical Campus Mayo Clinic Banner Alzheimer's Institute New York University (NYU) Medical Center-Langone and Brigham and Women's Hospital Institutional Review Boards. All participants provided written informed consent before enrollment. All baseline data was collected before the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

Overall there are 240 participants in DIAGNOSE CTE including 180 former American football players (120 former professional players and 60 former college players) and 60 same-age men without a history of contact sports RHI exposure or TBI and who denied cognitive or psychiatric symptoms at telephone screening. Data from 16 participants were excluded from the current analyses because of poor-quality or incomplete structural MRI. Data from 4 unexposed asymptomatic control participants were removed as in follow-up interviews they reported having a history of pre-existing psychiatric conditions and treatment before the baseline enrollment period or participated in high school football. The final sample consisted of 170 former American football players (114 former professional and 56 former college players) and 54 unexposed controls resulting in a total of 224 participants; See Table 1 for detailed demographics.

See Supplementary Table 1 for dichotomized demographics. Note that when we use the terms "former American football players" or "former players" we refer to the *combined group* of former college *and* professional players. Dichotomized groups are always identified as either former *professional* players or former *college* players.

Sample Characteristics

Data collection for demographics medical history and athletic history was performed via semi-structured interviews and online questionnaires. Age was collected as a continuous variable. Education was collected in total years. Race and ethnicity were self-reported by participants following a question asking "what do you consider your race?" Participants were then given the following options: American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native

Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander or White. Participants were additionally asked “Do you consider yourself to be either Hispanic or Latino?” Options included Hispanic or Latino or Not Hispanic or Latino. Participants could select more than one race or ethnicity and were also allowed to refuse to answer or to indicate unknown. Body mass index was calculated using the participant's height and weight. An aliquot of whole blood was collected from each participant for APOE genotyping (see Table 1 for all cohort characteristics).

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Image Acquisition

All participants underwent a head MRI at one of the four study imaging sites (Brigham and Women's Hospital NYU Langone Medical Center Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health in Las Vegas and Mayo Clinic Arizona). All scans followed the same multi-sequence neuroimaging protocol and used the same 3T scanner model (Siemens Magnetom Skyra Erlangen Germany; software version VE11) with a 20-channel head coil across the four sites. Relevant to this study is the high resolution ($1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$) 3D T1-weighted magnetization-prepared-rapid-gradient-echo (MPRAGE) sequence (inversion time = 1100ms TR = 2530ms TE = 3.36ms 7-degree flip angle 256 FOV) and the high resolution ($1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$) 3D T2-weighted Sampling-Perfection-with-Application-optimized-Contrasts-by-using-flip-angle-Evolution (SPACE) (TR=3200ms TE=412ms 256 FOV).

Image Processing and Calculation of Cortical Thickness and Volume

The raw images were visually inspected for completeness distortion and motion artifacts using 3D Slicer (<http://www.slicer.org>; version 4.10 Surgical Planning Laboratory Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston MA USA). Brain masking was performed for all T1w and T2w scans using custom tools developed by the Psychiatry Neuroimaging Laboratory^{28,29} and further processed with FreeSurfer v7.1 to generate cortical and volumetric parcellations according to the Desikan-Killiany atlas³⁰⁻³⁷. Additionally whole hippocampus amygdala and hypothalamus volumetric measures were calculated separately using *recon-all -hippocampal-subfields-T1T2*³⁸

and FreeSurfer v7.2 *mri_segment_hypothalamic_subunits*³⁹. Cortical thickness and volume measures were obtained from the FreeSurfer output.

Florbetapir PET

PET data were collected at one of the four study imaging sites (Brigham and Women's Hospital NYU Langone Medical Center Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health in Las Vegas Banner Alzheimer's Institute). PET measurements of amyloid- β (A β) plaque deposition were acquired using a 370 MBq (10 mCi) bolus injection of florbetapir a 50-min radiotracer uptake period and a 15-min dynamic emission scan consisting of 3 5-min frames^{27,40}. Mean cortical-to-whole cerebellar standard uptake value ratios (SUVRs) and corresponding centiloid values were calculated as previously described⁴⁰⁻⁴³. SUVRs \geq 1.10 (corresponding to centiloid values \geq 24.3) have been shown in antemortem PET/post-mortem neuropathological studies to reflect at least moderately frequent neuritic amyloid plaques a cardinal neuropathological feature of AD⁴⁴.

Exposure to RHI

Total years in football play were used to assess complete exposure in years starting from youth participation leading to either college or professional play. We additionally evaluated the age of first exposure to assess the impact of early participation in organized tackle football^{22,23,45-47}. Cumulative head impact index scores including frequency (cumulative hits) linear acceleration and rotational force were estimated based on the self-reported number of seasons of American football played player position at each career stage and helmet accelerometer data from college players⁴⁸. Higher cumulative head impact index scores reflect greater estimated exposure to RHIs (see Table 1 for summaries).

TES Diagnosis Evaluation of Core Clinical Features and Provisional Levels of Certainty for CTE Pathology

All participants were diagnosed through a multidisciplinary diagnostic consensus conference using the NINDS Consensus Diagnostic Criteria for TES¹⁷. Consensus conference panelists were presented with the participant's medical (including neurologic and psychiatric) history; football and other RHI exposure; self- and informant-reported complaints of cognitive mood and/or behavior problems as well as functional dependence status; neurological/motor evaluation findings; and standardized neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric test results. Results of MRI PET or potential fluid biomarkers were not presented. Based on this information the panelists used the TES criteria to: (i) confirm substantial exposure to RHI; (ii) evaluate core clinical features involving *cognitive impairment* (yes/no) *neurobehavioral dysregulation* (yes/no) and evidence of *progressive worsening* of clinical symptoms (yes/no); (iii) ascertain whether these core clinical features could be fully accounted for by other disorders; (iii) adjudicate a *diagnosis of TES* (yes/no) based on information from steps i-iii; (iv) grade the level of functional dependence/dementia; (v) assess the presence of several "supportive features": and (vi) further determine *provisional levels of certainty for CTE* pathology (suggestive possible probable).

Cognitive impairment (yes/no) was evaluated based on four criteria: 1) Self- or informant or clinician-reported cognitive impairment 2) Significant decline from self-reported former baseline functioning 3) Impairments in episodic memory and/or executive functioning and 4) Below 1.5 standard deviations from expected norms on formal neuropsychological testing¹⁷. *Neurobehavioral dysregulation* (yes/no) was evaluated based on four criteria: 1) Self- or informant or clinician-reported neurobehavioral dysregulation 2) Significant decline from self-reported former baseline functioning and 3) Symptoms and/or observed behaviors representing poor regulation or control of emotions and/or behavior¹⁷. As mentioned above TES diagnosis (yes/no) requires evidence of *progressive worsening* of the core clinical features that cannot be accounted for by other disorders.

1 *Provisional levels of certainty for CTE* pathology are based on a stepwise assessment conducted
2 in conjunction with TES diagnosis. The assessment is based on RHI exposure specific clinical
3 features and a set of supportive features. Classification for the *provisional levels of certainty for*
4 *CTE* pathology is not meant to be used for clinical diagnostics purposes. See Katz et al. 2021 for
5 full details.

6
7 In our analysis we evaluated whether brain morphometry could predict TES diagnosis (yes/no)
8 TES core clinical cognitive impairment (yes/no) TES core clinical neurobehavioral dysregulation
9 (yes/no) and/or the presence of both cognitive impairment and neurobehavioral dysregulation as
10 we hypothesized that different core clinical features would be associated with different subsets of
11 CTE regions; see Table 1 for details. Finally we evaluated whether brain morphometry could
12 predict the *provisional levels of certainty for CTE* pathology (suggestive possible probable). For
13 comprehensive details on neuropsychological test performance in our former American football
14 players we refer the reader to recently published work by Alosco et al. 2023⁴⁹.

16 **Objective Neuropsychological Evaluation**

17 All participants underwent an in-person baseline neuropsychological test battery utilizing
18 standard paper-pencil tests administered by a fully trained examiner²⁷. For a detailed list of the
19 assessed domains specific neuropsychological tests administered and individual test
20 performances please refer to the article by Alosco et al. 2023⁴⁹. In our examination of
21 neuropsychological test performance we specifically focused on the top three domains—learning
22 and memory attention and psychomotor speed and executive function—that displayed
23 impairments. We extracted raw values from these three key assessments: Neuropsychological
24 Assessment Battery (NAB) List Learning Long Delay⁵⁰ Trail Making Test Part A⁵¹ and Trail
25 Making Test Part B⁵¹; see Table 2 for a summary of raw data.

1 Statistical Analysis

2 Group Differences

3 To assess comprehensive differences between former American football players and the
4 unexposed asymptomatic control participants in demographic variables we performed an
5 independent Welch's t-test on continuous variables (age BMI and education) and a chi-square
6 test on categorical variables (race and *APOE4* gene status).

8 Selection of Regions of Interest

9 While we have access to all brain regions generated by FreeSurfer we performed statistical
10 analyses only on brain regions we selected *a priori based on the literature on postmortem CTE*
11 *pathology* which included up to stage 3 as discussed in the introduction. Using a smaller subset
12 of brain regions also preserves statistical power and minimizes Type 1 errors. We focused on the
13 following regions: 1) frontal lobe: superior frontal gyrus caudal middle frontal gyrus rostral
14 middle frontal gyrus; 2) temporal lobe: entorhinal cortex parahippocampal gyrus insula temporal
15 pole; and 3) subcortical structures: amygdala hippocampus and hypothalamus^{5,6,15,20,22,25,26,47,52,53}.

17 Group Differences and Interactions

18 To obtain proper effect size estimates of exposure on cortical thickness and volume we used a
19 generalized least squares model. In this model we controlled for age body mass index (BMI) race
20 education years imaging site and apolipoprotein E $\epsilon 4$ (*APOE4*) allele status. To estimate the
21 variance across regions we used the residuals from independent multivariable linear regressions
22 with the aforementioned covariate. We selected these covariates as they have either shown to
23 have effects on aging imaging analysis or cortical thickness/volume. Additionally the volume
24 analyses included total intracranial volume as a covariate. Throughout our analyses we report the
25 p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate where any p-values <
26 .05 are considered significant.

Using this generalized least squares model we tested for differences in thickness and volume between the unexposed asymptomatic control group and the combined group of former football players as well as post-hoc analysis of the dichotomized data set (former professional former college). We tested interactions with age and exposure factors (total years of football played cumulative head impact index seasons lifetime load: frequency linear acceleration rotational). For the analysis of exposure factors we evaluated only the combined former football player group. Note that thickness analyses were limited to cortical regions while volumetric analyses included additional subcortical regions of interest (amygdala hippocampus hypothalamus).

We also performed a separate linear regression analysis on total gray matter volume and age to identify a general effect of age controlling for all other covariates listed above. All results are reported using 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p values. Graphical illustrations showing group-level differences were created using *ggseg* (<https://github.com/ggseg/ggseg>)⁵⁴. In the supplementary material we share estimates and 95% CI for all FreeSurfer cortical regions and volumetric outputs (35 left hemisphere x 35 right hemisphere); see Supplementary Tables 3 & 4.

Possible Overlap with Brain Regions Affected in Alzheimer's Disease

Given the clinical overlap between CTE and other tauopathies we investigated whether imaging data from our sample of former football players showed volume/thickness reductions in areas *not* associated with CTE pathology but known to be affected in Alzheimer's disease. We therefore conducted a separate analysis on regions known to be specific to non-CTE neurodegenerative diseases. Here based on the literature we selected the inferior parietal lobe^{55,56} and precuneus⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰. As described above we used a generalized least squares model testing only the left and right inferior parietal lobes and the precuneus. This analysis was done separately from the group-level analysis and was intended only to clarify that the group-level differences do not overlap with regions specific to Alzheimer's disease.

In addition to the analysis mentioned above we conducted a separate investigation following the cortical-cerebellar florbetapir SUVR protocol outlined by Stern et al. 2023⁴⁰. In our initial group-

level analysis where we compared former American football players to unexposed asymptomatic controls we excluded participants with an average cortical florbetapir SUVR of ≥ 1.1 (n=20) or those lacking a reported SUVR value (n=5). This step was taken to rule out possible Alzheimer's disease pathology as the primary factor influencing our results.

Control Regions Not Associated with CTE or Alzheimer's Disease

To ascertain that the observed differences are attributable specifically to CTE-related atrophy resulting from prolonged exposure to RHI we performed a distinct analysis involving regions unaffected by CTE (control regions) or Alzheimer's disease. Specifically we chose bilaterally the lateral occipital cuneus and pericalcarine regions.

Associations Between Brain Regions and TES Diagnosis and Level of Certainty for CTE Pathology

To understand the relationship between brain morphometry and TES diagnosis and core clinical features of cognitive impairment and neurobehavioral dysregulation we performed a logistic regression analysis to predict each TES outcome from each brain region of interest after controlling for age race BMI education imaging site *APOE4* gene status football status (collegiate professional) and total intracranial volume. The four TES outcomes include 1) TES diagnosis (yes/no) 2) TES core cognitive impairment feature (yes/no) 3) TES core neurobehavioral dysregulation feature (yes/no) and 4) Both TES core cognitive impairment features (yes/no) and neurobehavioral dysregulation (yes/no).

To understand the relationship between brain morphometry and the provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology (suggestive possible probable) we performed an independent multinomial model to predict each provisional level of certainty for CTE pathology from each brain region. We controlled for age race BMI education imaging site *APOE4* gene status football status (collegiate professional) and total intracranial volume. The provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology included 1) suggestive of CTE 2) Possible of CTE and 3) Probable of CTE.

We note that we did not include definite CTE with TES as no participant in our study met this criterion.

Across both analyses false discovery rate adjusted p-values were calculated to control for multiple testing of the effect of each brain region on each TES outcome and provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology. These analyses involving TES variables and provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology only included data from former American football players.

Associations Between Regions of Interest and Individual Neuropsychological Test Performance

To understand the association between our regions of interest associated with CTE pathology at postmortem and the individualized raw neuropsychological assessments (NAB List Learning Long Delay Trail Making Test Part A and Trail Making Test Part B) we performed a regression analysis controlling for age race BMI education imaging site *APOE4* gene status and total intracranial volume. In this analysis we adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini & Hochberg method. This analysis only included data from former American football players. Two participants were excluded from the analysis for missing data.

Results

Demographical Factors

Using a Welch two-sample t-test we identified group-level differences in BMI between our former American football players and unexposed asymptomatic controls ($t(90.3)=2.3$ mean difference 1.7 95% CI [.2 3.1] $p = .024$) indicating higher BMI in our football players. No other group differences were observed.

Group Differences and Interactions

Cortical Thickness

Using the generalized least squares model we tested for differences in cortical thickness between the unexposed asymptomatic control group and the combined group of former football players. This model identified two left hemisphere brain regions (entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-.2 -.05] $p = .01$; parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-.16 -.03] $p = .01$) and one right hemisphere brain region (parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-.16 -.03] $p = .01$) which showed reduced cortical thickness in former American football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls; see Figure 1A.

Post-hoc analysis dichotomizing the former football player data set into former professional and former college football players identified group-level differences between former professional players and unexposed asymptomatic controls in two left hemisphere regions (entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-.23 -.05] $p = .01$; parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-.1 -.03] $p = .01$) and one right hemisphere region (parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-.16 -.03] $p = .016$); see Figure 1B. No other post-hoc analysis comparing groups (either former college vs unexposed asymptomatic controls; or former professional vs former college) reached significance (all p 's $> .3$; see Figure 1C-D and Table 3 and Supplementary Table 1 for a summary of all findings).

Volume

Using the generalized least squares model we tested for differences in volume between the unexposed asymptomatic control group and the combined former football players. For reference our volume analysis included subcortical structures (hippocampus amygdala and hypothalamus).

The volume analysis identified six left hemisphere brain regions (hippocampus: 95% CI [-334 -94.5] $p < .01$; amygdala: 95% CI [-184.5 -46] $p < .01$; entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-258.6 -.68] $p < .01$; superior frontal gyrus: 95% CI [-1479 -331] $p < .01$; parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-197 33] $p = .01$; insula: 95% CI [-404 -35] $p = .03$) and six right hemisphere brain regions (hippocampus: 95% CI [-352 -108] $p < .01$; amygdala: 95% CI [-162.5 -38] $p < .01$; insula: 95%

CI [610 -170] $p < .01$; temporal pole: 95% CI [-254 -31.5] $p = .02$; entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-247.6 -36.5] $p = .02$; superior frontal gyrus: 95% CI [-1296.7 -93.6] $p = .03$ showed reduced volume in former football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls; see Figure 2A. Two additional regions showed borderline significance the left hemisphere caudal middle frontal gyrus: 95% CI [-488 -3.5] $p = .068$ and the right parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-156 -2.2] $p = .07$).

Following this a post-hoc analysis dichotomizing the former football player group (former professional former college) showed reduced volume in former professional players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls in six left hemisphere brain regions (entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-299 -97] $p < .001$; hippocampus: 95% CI [-340 -80] $p < .01$; amygdala: 95% CI [-186 -35] $p < .01$; parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-190 28] $p = .02$; insula: 95% CI [-401 -34.6] $p = .04$); superior frontal gyrus: 95% CI [-1327 -132] $p < .03$ and five right hemisphere brain regions (hippocampus: 95% CI [-398.5 -117] $p < .01$; amygdala: 95% CI [-188 -147.5] $p = .02$; insula: 95% CI [658 -147.5] $p < .01$; temporal pole: 95% CI [-286 -39] $p = .02$; entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-282 -42] $p = .02$); see Figure 2B. Post-hoc analysis comparing group-level differences between former college and unexposed asymptomatic controls identified three left hemisphere brain regions (hippocampus: 95% CI [-508 -141] $p < .01$; amygdala: 95% CI [-258 -65] $p < .01$; superior frontal gyrus: 95% CI [-1908 -264] $p = .04$) and one right hemisphere brain region the hippocampus: 95% CI [-420.5 -83] $p = .02$ indicating reduced volume in the former college players compared to the unexposed asymptomatic controls; see Figure 2C. No other post-hoc analyses comparing groups (former professional vs former college) reached statistical significance (all p 's $> .3$; see Figure 2D and Table 4 and Supplementary Table 2 for a summary of all findings).

Interactions With Age and Exposure

We did not find an age-by-group interaction for either cortical thickness or volume within the preselected regions of interest (all p 's $> .07$). Although while analyzing the *total* gray matter we noted a main effect of age in both former American football players ($F = -3188$; $p < .00001$) and

unexposed asymptomatic controls ($F=-1702$; $p=.01$). This aligns with the expected age-related changes seen in total gray matter volume.

We found a significant association between the volume of the right insula and the age of first exposure (95% CI [18 89] $p=.03$) but interactions between the volume or cortical thickness of the other preselected regions of interest and age of first exposure were not significant (all p 's $>.057$). Similarly neither cortical thickness nor volume was associated with total years of football or cumulative head impact indices (frequency--cumulative hits linear acceleration or rotational force) within the former football player group (all p 's $>.4$).

To understand better the effects of exposure factors (total years of football and cumulative head impact indexes of frequency linear acceleration and rotational force) in former professional players given their extensive participation in contact sports we conducted a secondary analysis solely within this group. We again found that neither reduced cortical thickness nor reduced volume was associated with total years of football or cumulative head impact index frequency (cumulative hits) linear acceleration and rotational force (all p 's $>.16$).

Possible Overlap with Brain Regions Affected in Alzheimer's Disease

We did not find group-level differences between the former American football players and unexposed asymptomatic controls in both cortical thickness and volume within our specific brain regions commonly associated with Alzheimer's disease pathology but not CTE (all p 's $>.26$).

Next when removing participants with a florbetapir SUVR of ≥ 1.1 our findings remained consistent across cortical thickness and volume. In cortical thickness our analysis identified one left hemisphere brain region (entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-.2 -.04] $p=.03$) and one right hemisphere brain region (parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-.16 -.04] $p=.01$) which showed reduced cortical thickness in former American football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls.

The volume analysis identified six left hemisphere brain regions (hippocampus: 95% CI [-357 - 113] $p < .01$; amygdala: 95% CI [-194 -49] $p < .01$; entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-285 -76] $p < .01$; superior frontal gyrus: 95% CI [-1541 -326] $p < .01$; parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-189 17] $p = .04$; insula: 95% CI [-436 -77] $p = .01$) and seven right hemisphere brain regions (hippocampus: 95% CI [-376 -130] $p < .001$; amygdala: 95% CI [-185 -44] $p < .01$; insula: 95% CI [700.5 -200] $p < .001$; temporal pole: 95% CI [-248 -13] $p = .04$; entorhinal cortex: 95% CI [-279 -42] $p = .02$; superior frontal gyrus: 95% CI [-1385 -188.5] $p = .02$; parahippocampal gyrus: 95% CI [-173 -5.5] $p = .04$) showed reduced volume in former football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls. These results are similar to those of our main group findings.

Control Regions Not Associated with CTE or Alzheimer's Disease

We did not find group-level differences between the former American football players and unexposed asymptomatic controls in both cortical thickness and volume within our pre-selected control regions (all p 's $> .07$).

Associations Between Brain Regions and TES Diagnosis and Level of Certainty for CTE Pathology

No associations between the preselected brain regions of interest and the four TES outcomes (e.g. TES diagnosis TES cognitive impairment TES neurobehavioral dysregulation and both TES cognitive impairment and neurobehavioral dysregulation) were significant (all p 's $> .2$). Additionally no associations between the preselected brain regions of interest and the provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology (e.g. suggestive possible probable) were significant (all p 's $> .1$).

Associations Between Regions of Interest and Individual Neuropsychological Test Performance

The regression analysis revealed two notable associations between the preselected CTE-related pathology brain regions of cortical thickness and the neuropsychological assessments. First in the

left hemisphere superior frontal gyrus (95% CI [-.0012 -.0004] $p = .01$) and correlated with Trail Making Test Part B. Lastly an association between left hemisphere insula (95% CI [-.0014 -.0004] $p = .01$) with Trail Making Test Part B. Within both cases worse performance was associated with decreased cortical thickness.

In brain volume the regression analysis revealed four notable associations. These associations include the superior frontal gyrus in both left (95% CI [-22.6 -8] $p = .003$) and right (95% CI [-21 -7.2] $p = .003$) hemispheres linked to Trail Making Test Part B the caudal middle frontal gyrus in the left (95% CI [-8.7 -2.4] $p = .01$) hemisphere associated with Trail Making Test Part B and the superior frontal gyrus in the left (95% CI [-39 -64.4] $p = .04$) hemisphere correlated with Trail Making Test Part A. Within all associations worse performance was associated with decreased volume to its corresponding region.

Discussion

Overall our main findings showed reduced *in vivo* cortical thickness and cortical/subcortical volume in former American football players compared to same-age men without exposure to football other contact sports or a history of TBI in several brain regions that are similar to those impacted by CTE pathology as observed in postmortem pathology studies^{3-5,11,20}. We found an association between the age of first exposure and the volume of the right insula although we did not observe associations between brain morphometry in any other CTE regions or exposure metrics (age of first exposure total years of football played or cumulative head impact indices). Additionally we found an association between age and total gray matter volume loss in our former football group and our control group. However age-by-group interactions at the ROI level did not reveal any significant relationships. We did not observe any interactions between brain morphometry and TES diagnosis the core clinical features or the provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology.

Thickness and Volume Reduction in Former American Football Players

Former American football players showed reduced volume compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls in the superior frontal gyrus entorhinal cortex parahippocampal gyrus insula temporal pole amygdala and hippocampus. Additionally post-hoc analyses indicated that compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls former *professional* players had reduced volume in six regions (entorhinal cortex parahippocampal gyrus insula superior frontal gyrus amygdala and hippocampus) while former *college* players showed only three regions with reduced volume (superior frontal gyrus amygdala and hippocampus). This finding suggests that the level of exposure and intensity of play may negatively impact brain morphometry. Note however that we did not observe group-level differences when directly comparing former college to former professional players.

Our findings reveal that postmortem CTE neuropathological-related changes can be observed *in vivo* in this population suggesting further that structural MRI is a valuable tool to characterize the long-term consequences of exposure to RHI. Importantly we did not find morphometric differences in regions known to be affected in Alzheimer's disease *but not observed postmortem in CTE* (i.e. inferior parietal lobe^{55,56} and precuneus⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰). Moreover in our follow-up analysis excluding participants with a PET florbetapir SUVR of ≥ 1.1 indicative of moderate-to-frequent neuritic A β plaques primarily observed in Alzheimer's disease our primary group results remained unchanged. This suggests that our findings may not be exclusively linked to Alzheimer's disease. However we note that other related dementias should be ruled out as well. This warrants future studies to investigate vascular dementias and the role of cerebrovascular pathology and its impact on brain structure. In our sample cardiovascular risk profiles are complex with former American football players having higher BMI and higher prevalence of sleep apnea but a lower stroke risk and lower blood pressure compared to the unexposed asymptomatic controls⁶¹. This highlights the need for future investigations into the underlying mechanisms and potential implications for brain structure. Finally in a subsequent analysis to ensure that our results were specific to CTE-related atrophy resulting from prolonged exposure to RHI we tested regions that are unrelated to both CTE and Alzheimer's disease pathology

(lateral occipital cuneus and pericalcarine regions). We found no significant results within these control regions indicating that our findings may be specific to CTE-related atrophy resulting from prolonged exposure to RHI.

A strength of this study is the relatively large sample size; DIAGNOSE CTE has the largest sample of *in vivo* structural MRI data from former *professional* players (n=114 vs n<75 in recent studies^{47,62-64}) and is the only study that also includes a sample from former college players (n=56). Most brain morphometry studies with large sample sizes have focused on young active college or high school American football players⁶⁵⁻⁶⁹. Additionally no study has focused on the potential for connecting *in vivo* MRI with established postmortem morphometric observations in CTE although many found reduced volume in regions overlapping with our findings especially the hippocampus^{10,11,22,24,26,45-47,62,70-76}.

Association Between Brain Volume and Age

We observed a negative association between age and total gray matter volume in the former players and the unexposed asymptomatic control group. Nevertheless our results align with prior studies indicating age-related effects on brain morphometry in both controls and athletes⁷⁷⁻⁸². These results suggest that former players may experience accelerated volume reduction with age like findings from studies in other neurodegenerative diseases⁸³⁻⁸⁷. Note that we did not observe an age-by-group (former players vs. unexposed asymptomatic controls) interaction in regions specific to CTE pathology and further studies are needed to confirm accelerated volume reduction in former players and identify regions most likely to be affected.

Brain Volume Exposure TES Diagnosis Core Clinical Features and Provisional Levels of Certainty for CTE Pathology

In the former American football group we observed one association between the right insular volume and the age of first exposure where a smaller volume is associated with an earlier age of first exposure. This finding is moderated by the lack of observed interactions between any other

1 morphometric measures and exposure factors (age of first exposure total years of football
2 cumulative head impact index measures). Furthermore we did not observe an association
3 between volume and TES diagnosis core clinical features or the provisional levels of certainty
4 for CTE pathology.

5
6 These results are unexpected as previous studies in former American football players have found
7 more robust associations between brain morphometry and exposure metrics such as the age of
8 first exposure to RHI^{22,47}. Additionally the age of first exposure has been reported to be
9 influential as a factor in determining which former American football players exposed to
10 extensive RHIs develop cognitive impairment and neurobehavioral changes later in
11 life^{23,45,72,75,88,89}. It may be that the ROIs we selected are impacted by a binary measure of
12 exposure (yes/no) as demonstrated by our group comparison between players and unexposed
13 asymptomatic control but that continuous measures of exposure in football players are not as
14 strongly associated with volume reduction. In other words we may be observing a ceiling effect
15 where beyond a specific threshold more exposure does not further influence ROI volume
16 reduction. This needs to be elucidated in future studies with potentially different exposure
17 measures and/or selections of ROIs.

18
19 Concerning TES diagnosis the core clinical features of cognitive deficit and neurobehavioral
20 dysregulation and the provisional levels of certainty for CTE pathology our negative findings
21 indicate a disconnect between the consensus of TES criteria and brain morphometry. Even
22 though we found morphometric differences between former players and controls volume and
23 cortical thickness alone are not strong predictors of TES at least not in the regions we selected.
24 This study adds to the limited specificity of CTE pathology within the TES consensus criteria
25 that has been reported by others and has now been shown in the provisional levels of certainty
26 for CTE pathology which is also solely based on the level of clinical symptom severity¹⁸. Future
27 studies with other regions of interest or MRI modalities (such as diffusion or functional MRI) are
28 needed to further explore this relationship. Additionally the TES criteria have not been validated
29 by postmortem examination; such studies may lead to TES revisions and better associations
30 between TES and MRI measures.

Moreover within the former American football players we identified four correlations between individualized neuropsychological test performance assessed through raw scores and our predetermined CTE-related pathology regions. These associations were predominantly observed in two distinct domains—attention and psychomotor speed and executive function—signifying poorer performance with decreased brain volume. While we couldn't establish associations with TES diagnosis or the core clinical features of cognitive deficit and neurobehavioral dysregulation we were able to identify correlations in individualized test performance highlighting the most substantial impairments as reported in the full neuropsychological test performance of our sample⁴⁹. We therefore suggest that future studies should consider evaluating individualized neuropsychological test performance.

Limitations

While it is important to note the subject sample from the DIAGNOSE CTE Research Project is the largest cohort to date it has limitations. The participants consist only of self-identified males who played American football at all levels (youth-professional) between 1952 and 2007. This limits the generalizability of our findings as the sport of American football has evolved rapidly both in its intensity of play and its health and safety protocols. We also cannot directly infer from this study the impact of RHI in other sports or in other genders. Importantly we acknowledge that our unexposed asymptomatic control participants were all asymptomatic at the time of screening which may impact our group-level comparisons. Although a relatively large percentage of the former professional players (42%) and unexposed controls (40%) identify as being Black (similar to the approximately 40% proportion of Black former NFL players who played between 1967-1996 the years our sample would have played) our former college players are younger and include more individuals who identify as White.

In terms of methodology a further limitation is that the cumulative head impact index scores are not derived from helmet accelerometer data from professional football players as no such data are available for this sample. Rather they are estimated based on the self-reported number of seasons of American football played player position at each level and helmet accelerometer data

from youth high school and collegiate athletes. Furthermore some regions known to be affected by CTE postmortem cannot be confidently extracted in vivo using FreeSurfer given the typical overestimates misidentifying structures surrounding high-intensity voxels (e.g. substantia nigra mammillary bodies midbrain structures cerebellar regions). Additionally while we show that our findings are unlikely related to Alzheimer's disease future studies should include other related dementias to confirm that the regions we identified are indeed most likely explained by a CTE pathology. However a major limitation of our work is that we do not have postmortem data for our participants to determine the underlying pathology. Finally our longitudinal neuroimaging design was interrupted by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Therefore we are not able to evaluate disease progression.

Conclusions

In summary this study reports reduced cortical thickness and volume in former American football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls in regions known to be affected by CTE at postmortem. This confirms that findings consistent with postmortem pathology are observable in vivo in this population. Contrary to our initial hypotheses we did not observe strong interactions between morphometric measures and exposure metrics or TES diagnosis and core clinical features. These findings need to be further investigated and future research should aim at understanding what factors predict a higher probability of developing CTE in those extensively exposed to RHIs.

Data availability

Data from the DIAGNOSE CTE Research Project will be available to qualified investigators through the Federal Interagency Traumatic Brain Injury Research (FITBIR) Informatics System through the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Center for Information Technology: <https://fitbir.nih.gov/content/access-data>. DIAGNOSE CTE Research Project data including those reported in this study will also be available to qualified investigators through a

project-specific data-sharing portal. Interested investigators should contact Dr. Robert A. Stern
bobstern@bu.edu.

Acknowledgements

We thank all study participants of the DIAGNOSE CTE Research Project for contributing to this research.

Funding

This study was supported by the NINDS U01NS093334 (JLC EMR MES RAS) K00 NS113419 (HA) NIH/NIMHD Loan Repayment Program L32 MD016519 (HA) Harvard's Mind Brain and Behavior Young Investigator Award (HA) Rainwater Charitable Foundation Tau Leadership Fellows Award (HA) Burroughs Wellcome Fund Postdoctoral Diversity Enrichment Program (HA) Black Men's Brain Health Emerging Scholars Fellowship (HA) and the Grass Foundations Henry Grass M.D. Rising Star in Neuroscience Award (HA).

Competing interests

Dr. Laura Balcer is the Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Neuro-Ophthalmology. Dr. Charles Bernick receives research support from the Ultimate Fighting Championship Top Rank promotions and Haymon Boxing. Dr. Alexander P. Lin has an ownership interest as a Co-founder of BrainSpec and consults for Agios Pharmaceuticals Biomarin Pharmaceuticals DesignTx and Moncon MRI. Dr. Jeffrey L. Cummings has provided consultation to Acadia Actinogen Acumen AlphaCognition Aprinoia AriBio Artery Biogen BioVie Bristol-Myers Squibb Cassava Cerecin Diadem EIP Pharma Eisai GemVax Genentech GAP Innovations Janssen Jocasta Karuna Lighthouse Lilly Lundbeck LSP/EQT Merck NervGen Novo Nordisk Oligomerix Optoceutics Ono Otsuka PRODEO Prothena ReMYND Roche Sage Therapeutics Signant Health Simcere Suven SynapseBio TrueBinding Vaxxinity and Wren pharmaceutical assessment and investment companies. He owns the copyright of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory.

Dr. Eric M. Reiman is a compensated scientific advisor for Alkahest Alzheon Aural Analytics Denali Green Valley Retromer Therapeutics and Vaxxinity and a co-founder of ALZPath. Dr. Robert A. Stern is a member of the Board of Directors of King-Devick Technologies Inc. (Chicago IL USA) and he receives royalties for published neuropsychological tests from Psychological Assessment Resources Inc. (Lutz FL USA).

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *Brain* online.

References

1. McKee AC Cairns NJ Dickson DW *et al.* The first NINDS/NIBIB consensus meeting to define neuropathological criteria for the diagnosis of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2016;131(1):75-86. doi:10.1007/s00401-015-1515-z
2. McKee AC Stern RA Nowinski CJ *et al.* The spectrum of disease in chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Brain*. Jan 2013;136(Pt 1):43-64. doi:10.1093/brain/aws307
3. McKee AC. The Neuropathology of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy: The Status of the Literature. *Semin Neurol*. Aug 2020;40(4):359-369. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1713632
4. McKee AC Abdolmohammadi B Stein TD. The neuropathology of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2018;158:297-307. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-63954-7.00028-8
5. McKee AC Stein TD Kiernan PT Alvarez VE. The neuropathology of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Brain Pathol*. May 2015;25(3):350-64. doi:10.1111/bpa.12248
6. Stein TD Alvarez VE McKee AC. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy: a spectrum of neuropathological changes following repetitive brain trauma in athletes and military personnel. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*. 2014/01/15 2014;6(1):4. doi:10.1186/alzrt234

- 1 7. Alosco ML Cherry JD Huber BR *et al.* Characterizing tau deposition in chronic traumatic
2 encephalopathy (CTE): utility of the McKee CTE staging scheme. *Acta Neuropathol.* Oct
3 2020;140(4):495-512. doi:10.1007/s00401-020-02197-9
- 4 8. Stern RA Daneshvar DH Baugh CM *et al.* Clinical presentation of chronic traumatic
5 encephalopathy. *Neurology.* Sep 24 2013;81(13):1122-9. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a55f7f
- 6 9. Nowinski CJ Bureau SC Buckland ME *et al.* Applying the Bradford Hill Criteria for
7 Causation to Repetitive Head Impacts and Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy. Review.
8 *Frontiers in Neurology.* 2022-July-22 2022;13doi:10.3389/fneur.2022.938163
- 9 10. Mez J Daneshvar DH Kiernan PT *et al.* Clinicopathological Evaluation of Chronic
10 Traumatic Encephalopathy in Players of American Football. *JAMA.* Jul 25 2017;318(4):360-370.
11 doi:10.1001/jama.2017.8334
- 12 11. McKee AC Alosco ML Huber BR. Repetitive Head Impacts and Chronic Traumatic
13 Encephalopathy. *Neurosurgery clinics of North America.* 2016;27(4):529-535.
14 doi:10.1016/j.nec.2016.05.009
- 15 12. Mez J Solomon TM Daneshvar DH Stein TD McKee AC. Pathologically Confirmed
16 Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy in a 25-Year-Old Former College Football Player. *JAMA*
17 *Neurol.* Mar 2016;73(3):353-5. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.3998
- 18 13. Mez J Daneshvar DH Abdolmohammadi B *et al.* Duration of American Football Play and
19 Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy. *Ann Neurol.* Jan 2020;87(1):116-131.
20 doi:10.1002/ana.25611
- 21 14. Bieniek KF Blessing MM Heckman MG *et al.* Association between contact sports
22 participation and chronic traumatic encephalopathy: a retrospective cohort study. *Brain Pathol.*
23 Jan 2020;30(1):63-74. doi:10.1111/bpa.12757
- 24 15. McKee AC Cantu RC Nowinski CJ *et al.* Chronic traumatic encephalopathy in athletes:
25 Progressive tauopathy after repetitive head injury. *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental*
26 *Neurology.* 2009;68(7):709-735. doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e3181a9d503
- 27 16. Bieniek KF Cairns NJ Crary JF *et al.* The Second NINDS/NIBIB Consensus Meeting to
28 Define Neuropathological Criteria for the Diagnosis of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy. *J*
29 *Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* Feb 22 2021;80(3):210-219. doi:10.1093/jnen/nlab001

17. Katz DI Bernick C Dodick DW *et al.* National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Consensus Diagnostic Criteria for Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome. *Neurology*. May 4 2021;96(18):848-863. doi:10.1212/wnl.00000000000011850
18. Asken BM Tanner JA VandeVrede L *et al.* Multi-Modal Biomarkers of Repetitive Head Impacts and Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome: A Clinicopathological Case Series. *J Neurotrauma*. Sep 2022;39(17-18):1195-1213. doi:10.1089/neu.2022.0060
19. Alosco ML Culhane J Mez J. Neuroimaging Biomarkers of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy: Targets for the Academic Memory Disorders Clinic. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2021/04/01 2021;18(2):772-791. doi:10.1007/s13311-021-01028-3
20. McKee AC Stein TD Nowinski CJ *et al.* The spectrum of disease in chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*. 2013;136(1):43-64. doi:10.1093/brain/aww307
21. Fotenos AF Snyder AZ Girton LE Morris JC Buckner RL. Normative estimates of cross-sectional and longitudinal brain volume decline in aging and AD. *Neurology*. Mar 22 2005;64(6):1032-9. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000154530.72969.11
22. Schultz V Stern RA Tripodis Y *et al.* Age at First Exposure to Repetitive Head Impacts Is Associated with Smaller Thalamic Volumes in Former Professional American Football Players. *J Neurotrauma*. Jan 15 2018;35(2):278-285. doi:10.1089/neu.2017.5145
23. Stamm JM Bourlas AP Baugh CM *et al.* Age of first exposure to football and later-life cognitive impairment in former NFL players. *Neurology*. 2015;84(11):1114-1120. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000001358
24. Montenigro PH Alosco ML Martin BM *et al.* Cumulative Head Impact Exposure Predicts Later-Life Depression Apathy Executive Dysfunction and Cognitive Impairment in Former High School and College Football Players. *J Neurotrauma*. Jan 15 2017;34(2):328-340. doi:10.1089/neu.2016.4413
25. McKee AC Cairns NJ Dickson DW *et al.* The first NINDS/NIBIB consensus meeting to define neuropathological criteria for the diagnosis of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Acta neuropathologica*. 2016;131(1):75-86. doi:10.1007/s00401-015-1515-z

26. Alosco ML Mian AZ Buch K *et al.* Structural MRI profiles and tau correlates of atrophy in autopsy-confirmed CTE. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*. 2021/12/07 2021;13(1):193. doi:10.1186/s13195-021-00928-y
27. Alosco ML Mariani ML Adler CH *et al.* Developing methods to detect and diagnose chronic traumatic encephalopathy during life: rationale design and methodology for the DIAGNOSE CTE Research Project. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. Aug 12 2021;13(1):136. doi:10.1186/s13195-021-00872-x
28. Billah T Bouix S. A Luigi workflow joining individual modules of an MRI processing pipeline. 2020; <https://github.com/pnlbwh/luigi-pnlpipe>.
29. Billah T Bouix S Rath Y. NIFTI MRI processing pipeline. 2019;doi:DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3258854
30. Del Re EC Gao Y Eckbo R *et al.* A New MRI Masking Technique Based on Multi-Atlas Brain Segmentation in Controls and Schizophrenia: A Rapid and Viable Alternative to Manual Masking. *J Neuroimaging*. Jan-Feb 2016;26(1):28-36. doi:10.1111/jon.12313
31. Dale AM Fischl B Sereno MI. Cortical Surface-Based Analysis: I. Segmentation and Surface Reconstruction. *NeuroImage*. 1999/02/01/ 1999;9(2):179-194. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1998.0395>
32. Desikan RS Ségonne F Fischl B *et al.* An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage*. Jul 1 2006;31(3):968-80. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021
33. Fischl B Dale Anders M. Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2000/09/26 2000;97(20):11050-11055. doi:10.1073/pnas.200033797
34. Fischl B Salat DH Busa E *et al.* Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron*. Jan 31 2002;33(3):341-55. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00569-x
35. Fischl B Sereno MI Dale AM. Cortical surface-based analysis. II: Inflation flattening and a surface-based coordinate system. *Neuroimage*. Feb 1999;9(2):195-207. doi:10.1006/nimg.1998.0396

- 1 36. Fischl B Sereno MI Tootell RB Dale AM. High-resolution intersubject averaging and a
2 coordinate system for the cortical surface. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 1999;8(4):272-84.
- 3 37. Fischl B van der Kouwe A Destrieux C *et al.* Automatically parcellating the human
4 cerebral cortex. *Cereb Cortex.* Jan 2004;14(1):11-22. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhg087
- 5 38. Iglesias JE Augustinack JC Nguyen K *et al.* A computational atlas of the hippocampal
6 formation using ex vivo ultra-high resolution MRI: Application to adaptive segmentation of in
7 vivo MRI. *Neuroimage.* Jul 15 2015;115:117-37. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.042
- 8 39. Billot B Bocchetta M Todd E Dalca AV Rohrer JD Iglesias JE. Automated segmentation
9 of the hypothalamus and associated subunits in brain MRI. *NeuroImage.* 2020/12/01/
10 2020;223:117287. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117287>
- 11 40. Stern RA Trujillo-Rodriguez D Tripodis Y *et al.* Amyloid PET across the cognitive
12 spectrum in former professional and college American football players: findings from the
13 DIAGNOSE CTE Research Project. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* Oct 5 2023;15(1):166.
- 14 41. Clark CM Schneider JA Bedell BJ *et al.* Use of florbetapir-PET for imaging beta-amyloid
15 pathology. *JAMA.* Jan 19 2011;305(3):275-83.
- 16 42. Fleisher AS Chen K Liu X *et al.* Using positron emission tomography and florbetapir F18
17 to image cortical amyloid in patients with mild cognitive impairment or dementia due to
18 Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol.* 2011;1404-11. vol. 11.
- 19 43. Klunk WE Koeppe RA Price JC *et al.* The Centiloid Project: standardizing quantitative
20 amyloid plaque estimation by PET. *Alzheimers Dement.* Jan 2015;11(1):1-15 e1-4.
- 21 44. Clark CM Pontecorvo MJ Beach TG *et al.* Cerebral PET with florbetapir compared with
22 neuropathology at autopsy for detection of neuritic amyloid- β plaques: a prospective cohort
23 study. *Lancet Neurol.* © 2012 Elsevier Ltd; 2012:669-78. vol. 8.
- 24 45. Alosco ML Kasimis AB Stamm JM *et al.* Age of first exposure to American football and
25 long-term neuropsychiatric and cognitive outcomes. *Translational Psychiatry.* 2017/09/01
26 2017;7(9):e1236-e1236. doi:10.1038/tp.2017.197

- 1 46. Stamm JM Koerte IK Muehlmann M *et al.* Age at First Exposure to Football Is
2 Associated with Altered Corpus Callosum White Matter Microstructure in Former Professional
3 Football Players. *J Neurotrauma*. Nov 15 2015;32(22):1768-76. doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3822
- 4 47. Kaufmann D Sollmann N Kaufmann E *et al.* Age at First Exposure to Tackle Football is
5 Associated with Cortical Thickness in Former Professional American Football Players. *Cereb*
6 *Cortex*. Jun 10 2021;31(7):3426-3434. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhab021
- 7 48. Daneshvar DH Nair ES Baucom ZH *et al.* Leveraging football accelerometer data to
8 quantify associations between repetitive head impacts and chronic traumatic encephalopathy in
9 males. *Nature Communications*. 2023/06/20 2023;14(1):3470. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-39183-0
- 10 49. Alosco ML Barr WB Banks SJ *et al.* Neuropsychological test performance of former
11 American football players. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. Jan 3 2023;15(1):1.
- 12 50. Stern RA White T. *NAB Neuropsychological Assessment Battery: Administration scoring*
13 *and interpretation manual*. Psychological Assessment Resources Lutz; 2003.
- 14 51. Reitan RM. *Trail Making Test: Manual for administration and scoring*. Reitan
15 Neuropsychology Laboratory; 1992.
- 16 52. Koerte IK Mayinger M Muehlmann M *et al.* Cortical thinning in former professional
17 soccer players. *Brain Imaging Behav*. Sep 2016;10(3):792-8. doi:10.1007/s11682-015-9442-0
- 18 53. Bernick C Shan G Zetterberg H *et al.* Longitudinal change in regional brain volumes with
19 exposure to repetitive head impacts. *Neurology*. 2020;94(3):e232.
20 doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000000008817
- 21 54. Mowinckel AM Vidal-Piñeiro D. Visualization of Brain Statistics With R Packages
22 ggseg and ggseg3d. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*.
23 2020;3(4):466-483. doi:10.1177/2515245920928009
- 24 55. Guo X Wang Z Li K *et al.* Voxel-based assessment of gray and white matter volumes in
25 Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience Letters*. 2010/01/04/ 2010;468(2):146-150.
26 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2009.10.086>
- 27 56. Salat DH Greve DN Pacheco JL *et al.* Regional white matter volume differences in
28 nondemented aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage*. 2009;44(4):1247-1258.

- 1 57. Samieri C Feart C Proust-Lima C *et al.* omega-3 fatty acids and cognitive decline:
2 modulation by ApoEepsilon4 allele and depression. *Neurobiol Aging*. Dec 2011;32(12):2317
3 e13-22. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2010.03.020
- 4 58. Ryu S-Y Kwon MJ Lee S-B *et al.* Measurement of Precuneal and Hippocampal Volumes
5 Using Magnetic Resonance Volumetry in Alzheimer's Disease. *jcn*. 12 2010;6(4):196-203.
6 doi:10.3988/jcn.2010.6.4.196
- 7 59. Baron JC Chételat G Desgranges B *et al.* In Vivo Mapping of Gray Matter Loss with
8 Voxel-Based Morphometry in Mild Alzheimer's Disease. *NeuroImage*. 2001/08/01/
9 2001;14(2):298-309. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0848>
- 10 60. Du A-T Schuff N Kramer JH *et al.* Different regional patterns of cortical thinning in
11 Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*. 2007;130(4):1159-1166.
- 12 61. Ly MT Tuz-Zahra F Tripodis Y *et al.* Association of Vascular Risk Factors and CSF and
13 Imaging Biomarkers With White Matter Hyperintensities in Former American Football Players.
14 *Neurology*. Jan 23 2024;102(2):e208030. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000208030
- 15 62. Koerte IK Hufschmidt J Muehlmann M *et al.* Cavum Septi Pellucidi in Symptomatic
16 Former Professional Football Players. *Journal of neurotrauma*. 2016;33(4):346-353.
17 doi:10.1089/neu.2015.3880
- 18 63. Strain JF Womack KB Didehbani N *et al.* Imaging Correlates of Memory and
19 Concussion History in Retired National Football League Athletes. *JAMA Neurol*. Jul
20 2015;72(7):773-80. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.0206
- 21 64. Coughlin JM Wang Y Munro CA *et al.* Neuroinflammation and brain atrophy in former
22 NFL players: An in vivo multimodal imaging pilot study. *Neurobiol Dis*. Feb 2015;74:58-65.
23 doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2014.10.019
- 24 65. Adler CM DelBello MP Weber W *et al.* MRI Evidence of Neuropathic Changes in
25 Former College Football Players. *Clin J Sport Med*. Mar 2018;28(2):100-105.
26 doi:10.1097/jsm.0000000000000391
- 27 66. Meier TB Bellgowan PS Bergamino M Ling JM Mayer AR. Thinner Cortex in Collegiate
28 Football Players With but not Without a Self-Reported History of Concussion. *J Neurotrauma*.
29 Feb 15 2016;33(4):330-8. doi:10.1089/neu.2015.3919

- 1 67. Singh R Meier TB Kuplicki R *et al.* Relationship of collegiate football experience and
2 concussion with hippocampal volume and cognitive outcomes. *Jama.* May 14
3 2014;311(18):1883-8. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.3313
- 4 68. Terry DP Miller LS. Repeated mild traumatic brain injuries is not associated with
5 volumetric differences in former high school football players. *Brain Imaging Behav.* Jun
6 2018;12(3):631-639. doi:10.1007/s11682-017-9719-6
- 7 69. Dudley JA Slutsky-Ganesh AB Diekfuss JA *et al.* Helmet Technology Head Impact
8 Exposure and Cortical Thinning Following a Season of High School Football. *Ann Biomed Eng.*
9 Nov 2022;50(11):1608-1619. doi:10.1007/s10439-022-03023-x
- 10 70. Alosco ML Tripodis Y Rowland B *et al.* A magnetic resonance spectroscopy
11 investigation in symptomatic former NFL players. *Brain Imaging Behav.* Mar 8
12 2019;doi:10.1007/s11682-019-00060-4
- 13 71. Alosco ML Stein TD Tripodis Y *et al.* Association of White Matter Rarefaction
14 Arteriolosclerosis and Tau With Dementia in Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy. *JAMA Neurol.*
15 Aug 5 2019;76(11):1298-308. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2244
- 16 72. Alosco ML Stern RA. Youth Exposure to Repetitive Head Impacts From Tackle Football
17 and Long-term Neurologic Outcomes: A Review of the Literature Knowledge Gaps and Future
18 Directions and Societal and Clinical Implications. *Semin Pediatr Neurol.* Jul 2019;30:107-116.
19 doi:10.1016/j.spen.2019.03.016
- 20 73. Lepage C Muehlmann M Tripodis Y *et al.* Limbic system structure volumes and
21 associated neurocognitive functioning in former NFL players. *Brain Imaging Behav.* Jun
22 2019;13(3):725-734. doi:10.1007/s11682-018-9895-z
- 23 74. Alosco ML Koerte IK Tripodis Y *et al.* White matter signal abnormalities in former
24 National Football League players. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis Assessment & Disease*
25 *Monitoring.* 2018;10(1):56-65.
- 26 75. Alosco ML Mez J Tripodis Y *et al.* Age of First Exposure to Tackle Football and Chronic
27 Traumatic Encephalopathy. *Ann Neurol.* Apr 30 2018;doi:10.1002/ana.25245

- 1 76. Alosco ML Mez J Kowall NW *et al.* Cognitive Reserve as a Modifier of Clinical
2 Expression in Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy: A Preliminary Examination. *J*
3 *Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* Winter 2017;29(1):6-12. doi:10.1176/appi.neuropsych.16030043
- 4 77. Shida AF Massett RJ Imms P Vegesna RV Amgalan A Irimia A. Significant Acceleration
5 of Regional Brain Aging and Atrophy After Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci*
6 *Med Sci.* Aug 2 2023;78(8):1328-1338.
- 7 78. Muller AM Panenka WJ Lange RT Iverson GL Brubacher JR Virji-Babul N.
8 Longitudinal changes in brain parenchyma due to mild traumatic brain injury during the first
9 year after injury. *Brain Behav.* Dec 2021;11(12):e2410.
- 10 79. June D Williams OA Huang CW *et al.* Lasting consequences of concussion on the aging
11 brain: Findings from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *Neuroimage.* Nov 1
12 2020;221:117182.
- 13 80. Misquitta K Dadar M Tarazi A *et al.* The relationship between brain atrophy and
14 cognitive-behavioural symptoms in retired Canadian football players with multiple concussions.
15 *Neuroimage Clin.* 2018;19:551-558.
- 16 81. Raji CA Merrill DA Barrio JR Omalu B Small GW. Progressive Focal Gray Matter
17 Volume Loss in a Former High School Football Player: A Possible Magnetic Resonance Imaging
18 Volumetric Signature for Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* © 2016
19 American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry. Published by Elsevier Inc; 2016:784-90. vol. 10.
- 20 82. Zhou Y Kierans A Kenul D *et al.* Mild traumatic brain injury: longitudinal regional brain
21 volume changes. *Radiology.* Jun 2013;267(3):880-90.
- 22 83. Stern Y Mayeux R Sano M Hauser WA Bush T. Predictors of disease course in patients
23 with probable Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology.* Oct 1987;37(10):1649-53.
24 doi:10.1212/wnl.37.10.1649
- 25 84. Raz N Rodrigue KM Head D Kennedy KM Acker JD. Differential aging of the medial
26 temporal lobe: a study of a five-year change. *Neurology.* Feb 10 2004;62(3):433-8.
27 doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000106466.09835.46

- 1 85. Maillet D Rajah MN. Association between prefrontal activity and volume change in
2 prefrontal and medial temporal lobes in aging and dementia: a review. *Ageing Res Rev.* Mar
3 2013;12(2):479-89. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2012.11.001
- 4 86. Raji CA Lopez OL Kuller LH Carmichael OT Becker JT. Age Alzheimer disease and
5 brain structure. *Neurology.* Dec 1 2009;73(22):1899-905. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c3f293
- 6 87. Smith CD Chebrolu H Wekstein DR Schmitt FA Markesbery WR. Age and gender
7 effects on human brain anatomy: a voxel-based morphometric study in healthy elderly.
8 *Neurobiol Aging.* Jul 2007;28(7):1075-87. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2006.05.018
- 9 88. Roberts AL Pascual-Leone A Speizer FE *et al.* Exposure to American Football and
10 Neuropsychiatric Health in Former National Football League Players: Findings From the
11 Football Players Health Study. *Am J Sports Med.* Oct 2019;47(12):2871-2880.
12 doi:10.1177/0363546519868989
- 13 89. Plessow F Pascual-Leone A McCracken CM *et al.* Self-reported cognitive function and
14 mental health diagnoses among former professional American-style football players. *Journal of*
15 *Neurotrauma.* 2020;37(8):1021-1028. doi:10.1089/neu.2019.6661

Figure legends

Figure 1 Cortical thickness group-level differences. A) Cortical thickness group differences between former American football players (n=170) and unexposed asymptomatic controls (n=54). Results indicate reduced cortical thickness in the left hemisphere: entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus and right hemisphere: parahippocampal gyrus in our former American football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls. B) Subgroup analysis of former professional players (n=114) and unexposed asymptomatic controls (n=54). Results indicate reduced cortical thickness in the left hemisphere: entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus and right hemisphere: parahippocampal gyrus in our former professional football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls. C) Group differences between former college players (n=56) and unexposed asymptomatic controls (n=54). No group-level differences were observed. D) Group differences between former professional players (n=114) and former college players (n=56). No group differences were observed. All reported p values are corrected for multiple comparisons. n.s.= not significant, n.i.= not included.

Figure 2 Volumetric group-level differences. A) Volumetric group differences between former American football players (n=170) and unexposed asymptomatic controls (n=58). Results indicate reduced volume in the left hemisphere: amygdala, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, insula, and superior frontal gyrus; and right hemisphere: amygdala, hippocampus, temporal pole, entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, insula, and superior frontal gyrus in our former American football players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls. B) Group differences between former professional players (n=114) and unexposed asymptomatic controls (n=54). Results indicate reduced volume in the left hemisphere: amygdala, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, insula, and superior frontal gyrus; and right hemisphere: amygdala, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, insula, and temporal pole in our former professional players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls. C) Group differences between former college players (n=56) and unexposed asymptomatic controls (n=54). Results indicate reduced volume in the left hemisphere: amygdala, hippocampus, and superior frontal gyrus; and right hemisphere: hippocampus in our former professional players compared to unexposed asymptomatic controls. D) Group differences between former

professional players (n=114) and former college players (n=54). No group differences were observed. All reported p values are corrected for multiple comparisons. n.s.= not significant, n.i.= not included.

Figure 3 Raw volumetric data for preselected CTE-related regions in former American football players and unexposed asymptomatic controls. Violin plots showing the preselected CTE-related regions and individual raw data points. Significant group-level differences are indicative of our volumetric main group-level result of former American football players and unexposed asymptomatic controls. Significant differences are observed in the superior frontal gyrus, temporal pole, insula, entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala, and hippocampus. Outer horizontal lines indicate the interquartile range and the middle line indicates the median. ** $p \leq .01$, * $p < .05$. p-values are corrected for multiple comparisons.

Table 1 Cohort Characteristics

	Former Football Players (n=170)	Former Professional Players (n=114)	Former College Players (n=56)	Unexposed Asymptomatic Controls (n=54)
Primary Demographics				
Age	57.2y (8.1), [45y–74y]	59.2 (7.8), [45–74]	53.2 (7.4), [45–74]	59.4y (8.5), [45y–74y]
BMI kg/m ²	32.7 (4.7), [22.8–47.4]	32.1 (4.5), [22.8–47.4]	33.9 (4.9), [23.6–44.6]	31 (4.6), [23.7–43.5]
Education	16.7y (1.5), [15y–27y]	16.6 (1.1), [15–21]	17.1 (2), [15–27]	17.2y (3.4), [13y–30y]
Apolipoprotein 4 carriers	48 (28.2%)	30 (26.3%)	18 (32.1%)	10 (18.5%)
Race				
White	108 (63.5%)	64 (56.14%)	44 (78.6%)	34 (63%)
Black/African American	59 (34.7%)	48 (42.11%)	11 (19.6%)	19 (35.2%)
American Indian/Alaska Native	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Asian	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)
Multiple Races	3 (1.8%)	2 (1.75%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0%)
Exposure to RHIs				
Number of years in Football	16y (4.3), [6y–25y]	18 (3.4), [4–23]	11.6 (2.6), [6–17]	
Age of first exposure	11.1y (2.8), [4y–18y]	14.8 (4.1), [4–18]	12.2 (3.4), [5–16]	
Cumulative head impact index seasons				
Frequency	10869 (4689), [3560–28020]	12014 (5055), [3560–28020]	8539 (2613), [4134–15130]	
Linear acceleration	228035 (73244), [79212–446257]	247301 (70792), [111594–446257]	188813 (62067), [79213–360385]	
Rotational force	18285483 (4899556), [3432674–31546207]	20283399 (6117025), [8449507–44072194]	14218296 (5018048), [6053874–28703488]	
Traumatic Encephalopathy				

Syndrome				
Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome Diagnosis (%)	108 (63%)	77 (67%)	31 (55%)	0 (0%)
Sub-Category: Cognitive impairment (%)	98 (57%)	73 (64%)	25 (44%)	5 (9%)
Sub-Category: Neurobehavioral dysregulation (%)	97 (57%)	63 (55%)	34 (61%)	1 (1.8%)
Sub-Category: Cognitive impairment and neurobehavioral dysregulation (%)	67 (39%)	48 (42%)	19 (34%)	1 (1.8%)

Overview of cohort characteristics including demographics of 170 former football players and 54 unexposed asymptomatic control participants. RHI = repetitive head impacts; y = years. Values represent mean, (standard deviation) [range].

^aApolipoprotein 4 carrier analysis was only available for 210 participants.

^bTraumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome diagnosis and subcategories were completed on all participants.

Table 2 Neuropsychological Test Performance of Former American Football Players

Domain	Test	Raw Mean	Min	Max
Learning and Memory	NAB List Learning Long Delay	5.07 (3.03)	0	11
Attention and Psychomotor Speed	Trail Making Test Part A	31.4 (13.3)	12.2	118
Executive Function	Trail Making Test Part B	82.1 (47.1)	29	300

Overview of neuropsychological test performance of former American football players within three domains. NAB = Neuropsychological Assessment Battery.

Table 3 Group differences for cortical thickness

Group Comparison and Region of Interest	Left				Right			
	Estimate	SD	95% CI	P Value	Estimate	SD	95% CI	P Value
Former Football Player vs Unexposed Asymptomatic Control								
Superior Frontal Gyrus	0.01	0.01	[-0.02, 0.04]	0.6	0.01	0.02	[-0.02, 0.05]	0.67
Rostral Middle Frontal Gyrus	0.006	0.01	[-0.02, 0.03]	0.6	0.004	0.01	[-0.02, 0.03]	0.77
Caudal Middle Frontal Gyrus	-0.02	0.02	[-0.05, 0.01]	0.4	0.006	0.02	[-0.03, 0.04]	0.77
Entorhinal Cortex	-0.13	0.04	[-0.2, -0.05]	0.01	-0.05	0.04	[-0.14, -0.03]	0.5
Parahippocampal Gyrus	-0.1	0.03	[-0.16, -0.02]	0.01	-0.1	0.03	[-0.16, -0.03]	0.01
Insula Sulcus	-0.04	0.02	[-0.08, 0.01]	0.2	-0.03	0.02	[-0.1, 0.01]	0.5
Temporal Pole	-0.07	0.05	[-0.16, -0.02]	0.2	-0.04	0.05	[-0.13, 0.06]	0.67

All p values are corrected for multiple comparisons.

all significant values at $p < 0.05$ are bolded.

Table 4 Group differences for volume

Group Comparison and Region of Interest	Left				Right			
	Estimate	SD	95% CI	P Value	Estimate	SD	95% CI	P Value
Former Football Player vs Unexposed Asymptomatic Control								
Superior Frontal Gyrus	-919	300	[-1479, -331]	<0.01	-728	308	[-1296, -93]	0.03
Rostral Middle Frontal Gyrus	-188	243	[-679, 272]	0.5	-208	260	[-753, 303]	0.5
Caudal Middle Frontal Gyrus	-242	122	[-488, 3]	0.067	-94	149	[-398, 191]	0.6
Entorhinal Cortex	-16	50	[-258, -68]	<0.01	-139	53	[-247, -36]	0.02
Parahippocampal Gyrus	-110	41	[197, -33]	0.01	-76	39	[-156, -2]	0.07
Insula Sulcus	-220	93	[-404, -34]	0.03	-386	117	[-610, -170]	<0.01
Temporal Pole	-105	64	[-230, -15]	0.1	-156	56	[-254, -31]	0.02
Amygdala	-119	36	[-184, -46]	<0.01	-98	32	[-162, -39]	<0.01

Hippocampus	-220	63	[-334, 94]	<0.01	-226	62	[-352, -108]	<0.01
Hypothalamus	-5	8	[-21, 10]	0.5	1	8	[-14, 16]	0.87

All p values are corrected for multiple comparisons. Volume analysis includes subcortical regions.
all significant values at p <.05 are bolded.





A Former Football Players versus Unexposed Asymptomatic Controls**B Former Professional Players versus Unexposed Asymptomatic Control****C Former College Players versus Unexposed Asymptomatic Control****D Former Professional Players versus Former College Players**

Figure 1
178x315 mm (x DPI)




Figure 2
203x316 mm (x DPI)




Figure 3
559x212 mm (x DPI)