April 2, 2016

**Question at hand:**

On March 31, 2016 at 11:01 AM, the Student Elections Commission (SEC) received the following complaint:

Presidential candidate of Clean Slate Jake Brewer attacked BU ReCharged directly in the comment section of the Freep article that endorses their competitor. This is not allowed. Additionally, his postings were shared on their Facebook page. I've included the comment below.

Additionally, on March 31, 2016 at 11:08 AM, the Student Elections Commission (SEC) received the following complaint:

Presidential candidate of Clean Slate Jake Brewer attacked two of his competitors directly in the comment section of the Freep article. This is not allowed. Additionally, his postings were shared on their Facebook page. This is an additional, separate attack on their competitors: He said and the slate shared on Facebook that people do not feel comfortable approaching Louis of Vitti "because both men" were involved. In itself, this statement is sexist.

Secondly, the statement that there was 'backlash against the failures of the judicial commission last semester' which both Louis and Justin served is again an attack. First of all, Louis was not part of judicial at that time so this is a lie by Jake.

Thirdly, "I would not call this amiable and approachable" is again another attack.

I would ask the SEC to send a letter of Cease to stop these attacks.

I've included the comment below.

Additionally, on March 31, 2016 at 11:12 AM, the Student Elections Commission (SEC) received the following complaint:

Presidential candidate of Clean Slate Jake Brewer attacked BU ReCharged directly in the comment section of the Freep article that endorses their competitor. This is not allowed. Additionally, his postings were shared on their Facebook page. I've included the comment below.

He said the 'creation of another CSR-type body will cause student on student fighting' while mentioning BU Recharged. This is an attack and not allowed.

Secondly, he attacks the slate as a whole. He says "the only reason BU Recharged's ideas are the most achievable is because they shoot for the ground instead of the moon and want to recycle the same policies." Again, this is a blatant lie and another attack on the slate.
Findings:

4/02/16

In a vote of 8-0 the SEC voted to award no violation points on the grounds that we considered this neither defamatory merely one candidate expressing an opinion of discontent with the FREEP’s decision.