# Heating Electrification Strategies to Decarbonize BU's Charles River Campus

- 1. Problem Definition & Overview of Approach
- 2. Electric Heating Technology & Key Issues
- 3. Heating Electrification Refrofit Strategy for Large AHU Buildings

Gayatri Sundar Rajan (ENG '22) sgayatri@bu.edu Professor Michael Gevelber (ENG) gevelber@bu.edu

### Overview

- BU's Climate Action Plan aims to eliminate BU CRC's carbon emissions by 2040
- 40% of BU's CRC Greenhouse gas emissions stem from heating its buildings

Why Electrify Heating Systems of Existing Buildings?

- If BU purchases renewable electricity, electric heating systems enable BU to become carbon neutral & meet its CAP goals
- To reduce carbon emissions now, we need to retrofit existing buildings

Scope of Problem

• 211 buildings<sup>1</sup> | 10 M ft<sup>2</sup> CRC<sup>1</sup> | \$12M of NG use<sup>1,2</sup> | 20-year timeframe

Driving Question: How can we electrify the greatest % of BU's fossil heating systems in the shortest time given limited capital and operating budgets?

# Characteristics of CRC Building Portfolio

Buildings Analyzed:				
Types of Building	% of CRC Heating Energy Use?	Area (GSF)	# of Blgs:	Buildings to Retrofit
Large Buildings with AHUs <sup>1</sup> :	44%	3,400,000	15	
				Metcalf Science Center, Photonics, LSEB, CILSE, 730 Comm
Laboratory	22%	1,070,000	6	Ave., Engineering Research Building
Activity	9%	840,000	4	Fitrec, Agganis, Yawkey, Case Center
Residences	8%	780,000	2	StuVi 2, StuVi 1
Educational	6%	660,000	3	Questrom, Law School, EPIC
Brownstones:	8%	1,000,000	133	Bay State & South Campus Brownstones
Buildings Addressed:	52%	4,400,000	148	

Buildings not Analyzed:				
Type of Building	% of CRC Heating Energy Use?	Area (GSF)	# of Blgs:	Buildings to Retrofit
Steam Plant w/o AHU	15%	880,000	3	Warren Towers, West Campus Towers, CAS
Future in Question: Use & Renovation	10%	280,000	5	Mugar, Social Work Building, GSU, Hariri Institute, Physics Research Building
Misc Possibly with AHU	3%	400,000	3	Myles Standish Hall, 25 Buick St., Kilachand Hall
Smaller Buildings not Considered	20%	4,040,000	52	
Buildings not Analyzed:	48%	5,600,000	63	

1. Air Handler Units (AHUs) are a central pieces of HVAC equipment that enable quicker, less intrusive building electrification

### Retrofit Approach: Focus on AHUs



**Existing Natural** 

Gas Boiler

1

### High Temp Heat Pump

- Produces 180°F<sup>1</sup>
   water → essential
   for existing AHU
- Lower COP than typical Heat Pump

High Temp (180°F) Air Handler Unit (AHU) 44% of CRC Heating Energy Use

- Central piece of HVAC equipment
- <u>Replace NG boiler with electric</u> <u>alternative to decarbonize entire</u> <u>heating system!</u>

### Electric Heating Technology

- Conventional Electric: lowest capital cost but lowest efficiency
- Ground-Source Heat Pumps: highest capital costs & highest efficiency
- Air-Source Heat Pumps: lower capital costs & moderate efficiency
- High Temp (180°F) Heat Pumps: lower COPs than traditional Heat Pumps
- Hybrid Heat Pump Systems: combination of heat pumps & electric boilers


	<b>Conventional Fossil</b>	onventional Fossil Conventional Electric		o Heat Pumps
		Electric Boiler	High Temp Air-	High Temp Ground-
Technology	Natural Gas Boiler	/Resistance	Source Heat Pump	Source Heat Pump
Abbreviation	NG Boiler	<b>Conventional Electric</b>	ASHP/HT	GSHP/HT
Coefficient of Performance (COP)	0.85	1.0	2.0	2.5
Equipment Cost per Installed Ton (\$/Ton)	\$200	\$200	\$3,600	\$8,600 <sup>1</sup>

1. GSHP equipment costs include cost of bore hole

2. VRF systems include cost of in-room terminal unit


### Hybrid System Strategy: Heat Pumps & Electric Boilers

- Minimizes capital
- Maximizes utilization of expensive heat pumps
- Use low-cost electric boilers for coldest 20% & 100% backup
- Good for both ASHPs & GSHPs




### Hybrid System Strategy: Heat Pumps & Electric Boilers

- Minimizes capital
- Maximizes utilization of expensive heat pumps
- Use low-cost electric boilers for coldest 20% & 100% backup
- Good for both ASHPs & GSHPs




### Hybrid System Strategy: Heat Pumps & Electric Boilers

- Minimizes capital
- Maximizes utilization of expensive heat pumps
- Use low-cost electric boilers for coldest 20% & 100% backup
- Good for both ASHPs & GSHPs




# **Evaluating Retrofit Options: Questrom**

- NPV captures both capital and operating costs
- Hybrid ASHP/HT has 20% lower NPV & 48% lower CapX of GSHP/HT
- Lower CapX enables more projects now




### Retrofit Roadmap

- 11-yr plan: Electrify 3.4M GSF, 15 Large Buildings with AHUs
- Reduce 44% of BU CRC's heating fossil fuel use
- CapX: \$2.7M/yr (\$24M total investment) | OpX: \$6.3M/yr (71% premium vs fossil)
- Pilot & initial phases de-risk project for 3<sup>rd</sup> party investors



# Conclusions: Electrifying Large AHU Buildings & Brownstones

- Large AHU Buildings: 11-yrs | \$24M investment | cut 44% of CRC Heating Fossil Use
- Brownstones: 17-yrs | \$11.3M investment | cut 8% of CRC Heating Fossil Use |2.6x more expensive



### Proposed Next Steps

**Vision:** We want to validate our research findings & scope out Boston University's first electrification retrofits to enable carbon neutrality by 2040.

### Goals:

- 1. Verify key technical and financial analyses with industry experts
- 2. Reality-check heat pump implementation
  - a. benchmark existing projects
  - b. quantify maintenance requirements
  - c. determine manufacturer landscape
- 3. Couple energy efficiency technologies with building electrification
- 4. Design Heating Electrification Retrofit for Existing Steam Systems
  - a. Consider electrification of steam loop (steam, high-temp, vs low-temp system)
  - b. Consider transition to individual building HVAC
- 5. Scope out and verify Pilot Electrification Candidates

### Acknowledgements

We'd like to sincerely thank the following individuals their insight & time:

#### **Boston University Student Researchers**

#### Author of "BU CRC Electrification" Analysis

David Staller (ME '18)

#### Authors of "Energy Efficiency Opportunities on CRC" Analysis

Gabriella Henkels, Charles Bradley Miller, Ryan Peters, Carly Baracco, Anthony Graziano, Cole Ashman Paul Chiampa Jr., Jessica Gimbel, Cristian Morales

#### **Boston University Faculty & Staff**

Paul Rinaldi	Assistant VP for Planning
Lawford Anderson	Geologist
Valerie Pasquarella	GIS Expert
Robert Kaufmann (NP)	/ Founding Partner of First Fuel Software, Earth &
analysis for BU)	Environment Professor
Nalin Kulatilaka (NPV	Founding Partner of First Fuel Software,
analysis for BU)	Questrom Professor
Robb Dixon	Questrom Professor

#### **Industry Experts**

#### **Estimating Costs & Financing Large CapX Projects**

Judith Judson	VP of Distributed Energy Systems, Ameresco
Paul Lyons	President, Zapotec Energy Inc
Domenic Armano	President, Guardian Energy Management
Michael Gibbs	Former Deputy Secretary of Climate Action, CalEPA

#### **Understanding Building HVAC Design & Heat Pumps**

Jacob KnowlesDirector of Sustainable Design, BR+ACarolyn MeadowsDirector of Strategic Initiatives, Boston Arts AcademyJames McQueenProject Manager, Boston Arts AcademyRobert FisherVP of Facilities, Roxbury Community CollegeBradley CampbellPresident, Conservation Law FoundationTimothy SimpsonAERMEC Product Specialist, Emerson Swan

#### **Geothermal: Heating & District Heating**

	0 0
Tracey Ogden	Principal, TAO Consulting
Jarred Mullen	Skillings and Sons
T.J. Bernier	Gap Mountain Drilling
Charles Lazin	President, Altren Energy
James O'Hagan	VP of Business Development, Erda Energy
Richa Yadav	Consultant, Buro Happold
Audrey Schulman	Co-Founder, HEET
Zayneb Magavi	Co-Founder, HEET
Wayne Chouinard	City Engineer, Town of Arlington
Peter Ditto	City Engineer, Town of Brookline

Sept 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020

# Evaluation of Distributed Ground Source Heat Pump System Across BU's Charles River Campus


1. Technical Geothermal Feasibility

2. Geothermal District Heating Model

Keeley Bombard (CAS '22) kbombard@bu.edu


Professor Nathan Phillips (CAS) nathan@bu.edu

# **Technical Geothermal Feasibility**



### Borehole Breakdown

- Deeper bores = more heating capacity because the earth gets hotter as you go deeper
- Cost and tons per well do not increase **linearly with depth** because the deeper technologies are more expensive and effective
- Offset between wells determines the number of wells you can have



\$90,000

30 ft

\$50,000

25 ft

Depths

Offset


**Total Installed Cost** 

\$20,000

20 ft

### Geothermal Capacity of CRC, 500' Bores


# of Boreholes, Good Drilling Areas	Tons of Heating, Good Drilling Areas	% of Peak Load Met, Initial Buildings, Good Areas	% of 80% Load Met, Initial Buildings, Good Areas	Cummington Mall: 48% Central Campus
2,188	5,470	49%	114%	(EPIC Side): 131%



# Conclusions: Technical Geothermal Feasibility


- 1. Significant technical potential for geothermal on the whole CRC, but the potential differs substantially by campus area
- 2. Sizing geothermal to meet 80% of load is the most cost effective and technically feasible
- 3. Geothermal is a feasible solution for some campus sections but <u>not</u> all

# Geothermal District Heating Model



# South Campus Model

- Diversity of load <u>and</u> ownership
- BU and beyond
- 18 streets, 2.76 miles, 229 buildings



### Cost Breakdown, South Campus Geothermal District Heating Model

Cost Breakdown of GMD Model

 Thermal Loop
 42%

 Other
 3%

 Borehole
 3%

 55%
 Circulating

 Pumps
 0%

Borehole Thermal Loop Connection to Buildings Circulating Pumps

Per Ton Cost:	\$14,530
Per Building Cost:	\$151,195
Per South Campus Model Cost:	\$34,623,750

Profit Margin:	9.5%
Total Cost with Profit Margin:	\$37,913,006
Capital Recovery Factor (7.5%, 50 years):	0.077
Annual Cost with Capital Recovery Factor:	\$2,919,301
Annual Cost for 50 Years, per Ratepayer:	\$2,834

# District Heating: 10 Ton Comparison to Norm

I am the owner of a brownstone, and I want to do geothermal heating. What are my options?

	10 Ton Capital Cost				Annua	al Operating Cost
Independent Geothermal	\$80,000, borehole	\$30,000, HT GSHP	• •	000, ctric ler		00 per year, tricity
	10 Ton Capital Cost			Annual O	peratin	g Cost
District Heating At Scale	\$30,000, HT GSHP	\$3,000, electric boiler		\$4,000 year, electric	•	\$2,800 per year, utility

\*discounted, 7.5% interest over 50 years, 10 ton system

### Conclusions: Geothermal District Heating Model

- 1. Geothermal district heating: well suited to South Campus
- 2. Cost uncertainties: the system itself, how the cost reaches consumer
- 3. Decreases the upfront capital burden which allows more electrification in a shorter period of time

### Acknowledgements

We'd like to sincerely thank the following individuals their insight & time:

#### **Boston University Student Researchers**

#### Author of "BU CRC Electrification" Analysis

David Staller (ME '18)

#### Authors of "Energy Efficiency Opportunities on CRC" Analysis

Gabriella Henkels, Charles Bradley Miller, Ryan Peters, Carly Baracco, Anthony Graziano, Cole Ashman Paul Chiampa Jr., Jessica Gimbel, Cristian Morales

#### **Boston University Faculty & Staff**

Paul Rinaldi	Assistant VP for Planning
Lawford Anderson	Geologist
Valerie Pasquarella	GIS Expert
Robert Kaufmann (NP)	/ Founding Partner of First Fuel Software, Earth &
analysis for BU)	Environment Professor
Nalin Kulatilaka (NPV	Founding Partner of First Fuel Software,
analysis for BU)	Questrom Professor
Robb Dixon	Questrom Professor

#### **Industry Experts**

#### **Estimating Costs & Financing Large CapX Projects**

Judith Judson	VP of Distributed Energy Systems, Ameresco
Paul Lyons	President, Zapotec Energy Inc
Domenic Armano	President, Guardian Energy Management
Michael Gibbs	Former Deputy Secretary of Climate Action, CalEPA

#### **Understanding Building HVAC Design & Heat Pumps**

Jacob KnowlesDirector of Sustainable Design, BR+ACarolyn MeadowsDirector of Strategic Initiatives, Boston Arts AcademyJames McQueenProject Manager, Boston Arts AcademyRobert FisherVP of Facilities, Roxbury Community CollegeBradley CampbellPresident, Conservation Law FoundationTimothy SimpsonAERMEC Product Specialist, Emerson Swan

#### **Geothermal: Heating & District Heating**

	0 0
Tracey Ogden	Principal, TAO Consulting
Jarred Mullen	Skillings and Sons
T.J. Bernier	Gap Mountain Drilling
Charles Lazin	President, Altren Energy
James O'Hagan	VP of Business Development, Erda Energy
Richa Yadav	Consultant, Buro Happold
Audrey Schulman	Co-Founder, HEET
Zayneb Magavi	Co-Founder, HEET
Wayne Chouinard	City Engineer, Town of Arlington
Peter Ditto	City Engineer, Town of Brookline