## RISING DRUG COSTS BURDEN OKLAHOMA—A FACT SHEET

The burden of prescription drug costs is rising rapidly. It varies widely among states. A report by the Health Reform Program at Boston University School of Public Health analyzes the states' burdens—and then outlines a way to win affordable drugs for all.

- **Drug cost burden** is the average share of income used for prescription drugs—retail drug spending (by patients and private or public insurance) divided by income. Spending reflects number of prescriptions filled and their average price.
- The 2002 US drug cost burden was 1.8% of personal income, up from 1.2% in 1998.
- The burden is highest in states with more poor, sick, old, and uninsured people.

#### Oklahoma

- Oklahoma's 2002 prescription drug cost burden was 2.2%, 10th highest of the states.
- That was up from 1.4% in 1998, a rise of 56%. Oklahoma was one of the top 12 states in the added share of income (0.8%) going to drug costs over those 4 years.

# What Underlies High Drug Cost Burdens?

The study found that differences in states' burdens are driven by three factors. The strongest influence is the average number of prescriptions used per person (<u>use rate</u>), and then average personal <u>income</u>. Prescription <u>prices</u> have less effect on differences in burden. That is partly because average prices vary least among the states.

Looking at the components of drug cost burden in 2002, Oklahoma was

- just about at the US average on drug spending per person, and its two elements, prices and number of prescriptions
- but 16% below the US average on income.

Many states with heavy drug cost burdens suffer high rates of illness and also have an older population—so their people tend to need more medications than the US average. Looking at a few indicators of need for prescription drugs, **Oklahoma** was

- 3rd highest among the states—21% above the US average—in 2000 on heart disease deaths (even after adjusting for population age)
- 13th highest in 2002 on the share of adults with diabetes
- 13th on the share of residents over age 65.

Especially in states with serious health problems or more older people, <u>slashing use</u> isn't the way to lower burden.

Further, even where use rates are high, many people don't get the drugs they need—especially in lower-income states. An estimated 70 million Americans have no drug benefits. Many others with limited benefits or high co-pays also cannot afford needed prescriptions. This is another reason why it is hard to cut use without hurting people.

# **Cutting Drug Prices: The Only Practical Way to Reduce the Burden**

### WHAT - PRICE CUTS

- Many patients and taxpayers cannot afford to spend more for medications.
- Lowering drug cost burdens requires cutting use or prices.
- Trying to reduce this burden by slashing use is likely to harm vulnerable people.
- So efforts to cut drug prices seem vital—and increasingly likely.

#### WHERE - HIGH BURDEN STATES FIRST

- States with especially heavy drug cost burdens or where the burden is rising fastest will feel the most pressure to act politically to lower drug prices (if all else is equal).
- West Virginia, with its 2<sup>nd</sup>-ranked burden, passed a ground-breaking law to cut drug prices last spring. Maine did so in 2000—when it had the highest burden of any state on the Canadian border, where awareness of lower foreign prices first grew.
- But even where burdens are lower, drug costs and the urgency of reform are growing.

#### HOW - MAKE A PACKAGE DEAL

Sharp price cuts would permit filling many prescriptions now unfilled. The industry
would make up on volume much of the revenue lost to lower prices. Public programs
could guarantee to preserve industry revenue, profit, and ability to fund research at
current levels—and cover the very low cost of making more pills. Reforms to finance
all needed drugs for all residents of a state or the US are surprisingly affordable.

# How does this state compare? Prescription drug cost burden and problems that contribute to it

(figures are for 2002		Rank among	% above (or	US average
unless noted)	OKLAHOMA	states	below) US avg	
Burden, 2002: Rx spending				
as % of personal income	2.2%	10	20%	1.87%
Burden in 1998	1.4%	15	17%	1.2%
Rx spending/ person	\$582	23	1%	\$579
Average Rx price	\$53.38	21	(2% below)	\$54.58
Avg. number of Rx /person	10.9	25	3%	10.6
Personal income	\$25,936	12 (1=low)	(16% below)	\$30,906
% over age 65, 2001-2002	13%	13	8%	12%
Diabetic % of adults	7%	13	4%	6.7%
Heart disease deaths per				
100,000 residents, 2000	238	3	21%	196
(age-adjusted)				
% uninsured, 2001-2002	17.8	6	19%	15%

Based on *Poorer States Face Much Heavier Prescription Drug Cost Burdens*, 14 July 2004. Alan Sager, Ph.D., and Deborah Socolar, MPH

Health Reform Program, Boston University School of Public Health.

The report, with full documentation and data on all states, is at <a href="www.healthreformprogram.org">www.healthreformprogram.org</a>. Email <a href="mailto:asager@bu.edu">asager@bu.edu</a> /phone (617) 638-4664. Email <a href="mailto:dsocolar@bu.edu">dsocolar@bu.edu</a> /phone (617) 638-5087.