# Case-Control Study of Hemifacial Microsomia: Preliminary Findings

Martha M. Werler, Jane E. Sheehan, Catherine Hayes, John B. Mulliken, and Allen A. Mitchell

# Presented at American Cleft PalateCraniofacial Association Meeting

Seattle, WA May 3, 2002

#### **Multicenter Study**

MA: Children's Hosp., Boston
Baystate Med Ctr, Springfield

NY: Buffalo Children's Hosp.

**NJ: The Cooper Health System** 

PA: Children's Hosp. of Philadelphia

**MD: Johns Hopkins, Baltimore** 

VA: Children's Hospital of King's Daughters, Norfolk

NC: University of North Carolina Hosps, Chapel Hill

SC: Medical University of S.C.

**GA: Children's Healthcare of Atlanta** 

MI: Children's Hosp. of MI., Detroit

OH: Children Hosp & Med Ctr, Akron Plastics & Reconst. Surgeons, Akron Children's Hosp. of Columbus

IN: James W. Riley Hosp. for Children, Indianapolis

WI: Children's Hosp. of WI, Milwauk.

IA: University of Iowa, Iowa City

MO: Saint Louis Children's Hosp.

LA: Children's Hosp. of New Orleans Ochsner Foundation Hosp., N.O.

AZ: Saint Joseph's Med Ctr, Phoenix Southwest Craniofacial Ctr, Phoenix

TX: Texas Children's Hosp., Houston Children's Medical Ctr, Dallas

CA: Children's Hosp. of L.A.

WA:Children's Hosp & Med Ctr, Seattle

CAN.: Hosp. for Sick Children, Toron.
Alberta Children's Hosp, Calgary

#### Methods

Cases: HFM or unilateral an/microtia, excluding known inherited or chromosomal anomaly

Controls: matched to cases by age and pediatrician

#### Methods

#### **Mothers interviewed:**

- by telephone
- by nurse interviewer
- > ≤ 3 years after the child's birth

#### Methods

# Mothers interviewed about pregnancy:

- demographic factors
- > reproductive factors
- > illnesses and medications
- > alcohol intake, smoking
- > diet

## Study Hypotheses

- 1) Vascular disruption:
  Does vasoactive exposure increase risk of HFM?
- 2) Abnormality of neural crest cells:

  Does exposure to agents that
  affect cranial neural crest
  cells increase risk of HFM?

## Preliminary Findings

Data collection: 9/1998 - 12/2002

As of March, 2002:

- > 251 Cases
- > 729 Controls

### **Preliminary Analysis**

- Birth characteristicsbirth weight, sex, twinning
- Demographic factors
   maternal age, education, race,
   family income
- Reproductive factors
   pregnancy planning, parity, body mass

#### Infant characteristics (%)

Birth weight (g)	Cases	Controls	OR
< 1500	2	<1	17.4*
1500-1999	5	1	6.8*
2000-2499	9	3	4.3*
2500-2999	26	15	2.5*
3000-3499	30	38	1.1
3500-3999	21	34	Ref.
>4000	8	9	1.2

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05

#### Infant characteristics (%)

Sex	Cases	Controls	OR
male	58	<b>52</b>	1.3
female	42	48	Ref.

#### Infant characteristics (%)

Number of gestations	Cases	Controls	OR
singleton	93	98	Ref.
≥ twin	7	2	4.0*

<sup>\*</sup> p<0.05

#### **Multiple Gestations**

Characteristi c	Cases	Controls
	N=17	N=13
fetal death	4 (24%)	3 (23%)
monozygotic	2 (12%)	1 (8%)
dizygotic	11 (65%)	10 (77%)
unknown	4 (24%)	2 (15%)
zygosity		
IVF	6 (35%)	2 (15%)

# Multivariate Adjusted Odds Ratio (MVOR)

For each demographic and reproductive factor:

- odds ratios were
- adjusted for the effects of other factors

Mat. age	Cases	Controls	MVOR
<20 y.o.	9	8	8.0
20-24 y.o.	19	20	0.7
25-29 y.o.	26	28	Ref.
30-34 y.o.	31	28	1.3
≥35 y.o.	14	16	1.0

Maternal education	Cases	Control s	MVOR
< 12 years	24	17	8.0
12 years	22	21	1.2
13-15 years	23	23	1.2
≥16 years	31	39	Ref.

<sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05

Race/ethnicit y	Cases	Control s	MVOR
White	59	66	Ref.
Hispanic	30	17	1.7*
Black	4	12	0.4*
Asian	4	4	1.1
Native American	3	1	3.3*

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05

Income	Cases	Control s	MVOR
< \$15,000	14	12	1.8
\$15- 25,000	18	12	2.2*
\$25- 35,000	9	12	0.9
\$35- 65,000	20	<b>26</b>	1.0
>\$65,000	26	31	Ref.
	4.0		

Planned pregnancy	Cases	Controls	MVOR
yes	58	54	Ref.
no	42	46	0.9

When pregnancy suspected	Cases	Control s	MVOR
< 5 weeks	70	71	Ref.
5-9 weeks	21	24	8.0
> 9 weeks	9	5	1.9*

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

Parity	Cases	Controls	MVOR
primiparous	70	71	Ref.
multiparous			
livebirth(s)	39	40	1.1
fetal death(s)	21	17	1.4
	11	11	1.0
termination(s)			

Pre-preg. BMI (kg/m²)	Cases	Control s	MVOR
< 19	12	6	2.1*
19-23.9	47	53	Ref.
24-27.9	17	20	0.9
28-31.9	12	11	1.3
<u>≥</u> 32	8	8	0.9

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05

# Statistically Significant Risk Factors for HFM

- Low birth weight
- Multiple gestation
- > Low socioeconomic status
- Hispanic or Native American
- Late recognition of pregnancy
- Low body mass index

## **Next Steps**

#### **Examine case subgroups:**

- HFM "Expanded Spectrum" (dermoid, coloboma, vertebral anoms)
- Unilateral microtia
- Associated defects
  - craniofacial
  - extra-craniofacial