

## **Simulation Fidelity in Microlithography**

Eytan Barouch, Uwe Hollerbach, Steven A. Orszag  
Applied and Computational Mathematics  
Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544-1000

Martin Pecherar, Milton Rebbert  
Microelectronics Division, Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

*March 3, 1992*

### **ABSTRACT**

Ground truth comparison between our advanced simulation modules and specific sets of experiments is presented, and simulation fidelity is evaluated. The resists described below are SNR248 negative chemically amplified deep-UV resist, KTI895i positive I-line resist, Shipley ANR negative chemically amplified I-line resist, and SMP1400 positive G-line resist. The simulation is composed of several modules: aerial image calculation, exposure, post-exposure baking (PEB) as reaction-diffusion equations, and dissolution employing reaction diffusion equations as well as Hamilton-Jacobi systems. The experiments described display variations in exposure energies to determine process latitude and cd control, defocus, as well as line shape. Detailed comparisons between experiments and simulations are exhibited for a wide range of photolithographic applications.