# Basics of surface wave simulation

# L. Ridgway Scott

Departments of Computer Science and Mathematics, Computation Institute, and Institute for Biophysical Dynamics,

University of Chicago

Components of simulation technology



#### AP: Recent earthquake in Queen Charlotte Islands

A 7.7 magnitude earthquake occurred at 8:04 pm (PST) October 27, 2012 near the Queen Charlotte Islands off the west coast of Canada, epicenter 155 kilometers (96 miles) south of Masset.

The Pacific Tsunami Warning Center announced that a tsunami wave was headed toward Hawaii and that the first tsunami wave could hit the islands by about 10:30 p.m. local time (1:30 am PST, 5.5 hours later).

A 69-centimeter (27") wave was recorded off Langara Island on the northeast tip of Haida Gwaii. Another 55 centimeter (21") wave hit Winter Harbour on the northeast coast of Vancouver Island.

The Queen Charlotte Islands are also known by their official indigenous name of Haida Gwaii. Comprising about 150 islands located north of Canada's Vancouver Island, their total population is about 5,000 of which the Haida people make up about 45%.

#### Where is Masset

Masset Queen Charlotte, BC, Canada - Coogle Maps

11/3/12 1:22 PM

**B** •

≣⇒

To see all the details that are visible on the screen, use the "Print" link next to the map.



#### How far is Hawaii?

#### 2690 miles from Prince Rupert (YPR)

#### Where the waves were measured



#### Character of tsunamis

# Conclusions drawn from the news:

- Tsunamis are not very big (less than a meter)
- But they move very fast (close to the speed of sound)
- They can travel far (around the world) and still be a threat

But how long are the waves?

A very long wave with small amplitude can carry a great deal of energy!

### Tsunami phases

# There are three phases to tsunamis: formation, propagation and innudation:



e courtesy of V Gusiakov Russian Academy of Sciences

Tsunami formation (generation) often caused by movement of tectonic plates under the ocean.

A relatively small uplift displaces a huge amount of (incompressible) water.

These displacements can occur over long distances (hundreds of miles) as the tectonic plates move like thin plates.

Such movements can cause waves that are essentially one-dimensional, propagating perpendicular to the plate boundary.

Tsunamis also can be caused by landslides.

A key challenge is understanding the transport of energy over long distances.

Tsunami propagation can often be modeled by one-dimensional approximations to the Navier-Stokes equations.

Waves of small amplitude with long wave-length in constant depth can be well approximated by the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) and related equations.

We will examine the computational challenges posed by these nonlinear, dispersive wave equations.

Most tsunamis in modern times are small enough not to be a threat in open water.

When long waves experience a decrease in water depth, they can steepen.

Changing topography requires different models that accounts for variable depth.

Water flowing over previously dry terrain presents further challenges.

Flow containing debris may be nonNewtonian.

# Water motion is multifactorial

- advection
- nonlinearity
- shocks
- dissipation
- dispersion

We will study how each of these relates to numerical methods

(日)

## Advection: things that move



Simple advection relates changes in time with changes in space:

$$u_t + cu_x = 0$$

Solutions to this equation satisfy

$$u(t,x)=v(x-ct)$$

The proof is simple:

$$u_x = v'$$
  $u_t = -Cv'$ 

Things just move to the right at speed *c*.

Some physical quantities satisfy a nonlinear advection equation:

$$0 = u_t + f(u)_x = u_t + f'(u)u_x$$

Solutions no longer just translate to the right:

$$u(t, \mathbf{x}) \neq v(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}t)$$

Things move to the right at speeds (c(t, x) = f'(u)) that depend on the size of u and they can change shape.

We can see what happens computationally in the case is  $f(u) = u^2$ .

#### Finite difference approximation

# We can approximate *u* on a grid in space and time: $u(i\Delta t, j\Delta x) \approx u_{i,j}$

We write

$$u_t(i\Delta t, j\Delta x) pprox rac{u_{i,j} - u_{i-1,j}}{\Delta t}$$
 $f(u)_x(i\Delta t, j\Delta x) pprox rac{f(u)_{i,j} - f(u)_{i,j-1}}{\Delta x}$ 

Thus we obtain an algorithm

$$u_{i+1,j} = u_{i,j} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( f(u)_{i,j} - f(u)_{i,j-1} \right)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Nonlinearity: things change shape $(f(u) = u^2)$



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ ъ 500

In the nonlinear advection case, we see that a discontinuity (shock) can form. But the integral of u is preserved: integrating the advection equation in space (and integrating by

parts) gives

$$\left(\int u\,dx\right)_t = \int u_t\,dx = -\int f(u)_x\,dx = 0.$$
 (1)

Thus the area under the graph of *u* is constant, and so its amplitude must decrease.

The integral of  $u^2$  is also preserved: multiplying the advection equation by u and integrating in space (and integrating by parts) gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \int u^2 \, dx \right)_t = \int u u_t \, dx = -\int f(u)_x u \, dx$$
  
=  $\int f(u) u_x \, dx = \int g(u)_x \, dx = 0$  (2)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where g' = f and g is an antiderivative of f with g(0) = 0.

#### Shocks: discontinuities that move



- Shock fronts stay sharp, but back remains continuous.
- The amplitude has to decrease since the integrals of u and  $u^2$  remain constant.
- Over time, the wave amplitude goes to zero.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Long-time development of shocks



 < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > 900

In the linear case, even discontinuous solutions are propagated by translation:

$$u(t,x) = v(x-ct)$$

Thus the linear case is quite different from the nonlinear case.

Even though the exact solution is trivial, let's see what our difference method produces.

#### Linear shocks: discontinuities that mush



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > 500

Discontinuous solutions do propagate by translation:

$$u(t,x) \approx v(x-ct)$$

but the sharp edges are smoothed off.

We see an artifact of the numerical approximation.

We did not see this with smooth solutions or even with discontinuous solutions for nonlinear advection.

We need to understand what is going wrong.

#### Linear versus nonlinear shocks



linear advection: left .... nonlinear advection: right.

Suggests nonlinearity controls diffusion artifacts.

Harten advocated artificial compression [Sod78].

Sac

#### Finite difference approximation reviewed

#### Taylor's approximation says

$$\frac{u_{i,j}-u_{i,j-1}}{\Delta x}\approx u_x(i\Delta t,j\Delta x)+\frac{\Delta x}{2}u_{xx}(i\Delta t,j\Delta x)$$
 (3)

Thus the difference scheme is actually a better approximation to

$$u_t + u_x - \frac{\Delta x}{2}u_{xx} = 0$$

than it is to the advection equation

$$u_t + u_x = 0$$

(日)

The second-order derivative term in (Burger's equation)

$$u_t + f(u)_x - \epsilon u_{xx} = 0$$

is called a dissipation term due to the following. Multiply the equation by u, integrate in space and integrate by parts to get

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\int u^2\,dx\right)_t + \epsilon\int u_x^2\,dx = 0 \qquad (4)$$

in view of (2).

Now we see that the integral of  $u^2$  must dissipate to zero.

It is possible to reduce numerical dissipation, but not eliminate it [CH78].

For example, the Lax-Wendroff scheme is

$$u_{i+1,j}=\sum_{k=-1}^{1}b_ku_{i,j}$$

where  $b_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha \pm 1)$  and  $b_0 = 1 - \alpha^2$ , where  $\alpha = \Delta t / \Delta x$  is the CFL number, is a better approximation to

$$u_t + u_x - \gamma \Delta x^2 u_{xxx} = 0$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Exercise: compute  $\gamma$ .

#### Numerical dispersion

### The third-order derivative term in

$$u_t + f(u)_x - \epsilon u_{xxx} = 0$$

is called a dispersion term. Multiply the dispersion term by u, integrate in space and integrate by parts to get

$$\int u u_{xxx} \, dx = -\int u_x u_{xx} \, dx$$

$$= -\int \frac{1}{2} ((u_x)^2)_x \, dx = 0$$
(5)

In view of (2), we conclude that the integral of  $u^2$  is conserved.

The equation balances nonlinear advection with dispersion:

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{6}$$

(Korteweg & de Vries 1895, Boussinesq [Bou77, p. 360]); has a family of solutions

$$u(t,x) = rac{c}{2} \mathrm{sech}^2 \left( rac{1}{2} \sqrt{c} (x-ct) 
ight)$$

which move at constant speed *c* without change of shape.

Matches observations of J. Scott Russell (1845).

An equivalent equation that balances nonlinear advection with dispersion is

$$u_t + u_x + 2uu_x - u_{xxt} = 0 \tag{7}$$

(日)

(Peregrine 1964, Benjamin, Bona and Mahoney 1972) which has similar solutions [ZWG02]

$$u(t,x) = \frac{3}{2}a\operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{a}{a+1}}\left(x-(1+a)t\right)\right)$$

The BBM equation is better behaved numerically.

$$u_t = -\left(1 - \frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \left(u + u^2\right) = B\left(u + u^2\right)$$
(8)

The KdV and BBM equations can be compared by using the underlying advection model  $u_t + u_x = 0$ .

Thus we can swap time derivatives for (minus) space derivatives:  $u_t \approx -u_x$ . This suggests the near equivalence of the terms  $u_{xxx} \approx -u_{xxt}$ .

Derive the solitary wave solution for

$$u_t + u_x + 2uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{9}$$

and compare this with the solitary wave for BBM

Show that the two forms converge as the wave amplitude goes to zero.

Terry Tao says "solitons are large-amplitude (and thus nonlinear) phenomena, whereas tsunami propagation (in deep water, at least) is governed by low-amplitude (and thus essentially linear) equations. Typically, linear waves disperse due to the fact that the group velocity is usually sensitive to the wavelength; but in the tsunami regime, the group velocity is driven by pressure effects that relate to the depth of the ocean rather than the wavelength of the wave, and as such there is essentially no dispersion, thus creating traveling waves that have some superficial resemblance to solitons, but arise through a different mechanism. It is true, though, that KdV also arises from a shallow water wave approximation. The main distinction seems to be that the shallow water equation comes from assuming that the pressure behaves like the hydrostatic pressure, whereas KdV arises if one assumes instead that the velocity is irrotational (which is definitely not the

case for tsunami waves)."

We know that tsunamis must have long wave lengths since their amplitude is small.

- Otherwise, no devastating amount of energy (height times width) can be transmitted.
- The time scale of tsunami impact is minutes, not hours as occurs in hurricane storm surge.
- So the wave needs to be long and fast.
- KDV/BBM provide such a mechanism.
- Key question: what causes such a long wave to form?
- Modeling question: does KdV require flow to be irrotational?

There are many other types of solutions to KdV/BBM.

- soliton interactions
- dispersion
- compare: no dispersion
- dispersive shock waves [EKL12]

Exercise: explore different initial states Compare with data [Gre61].

## Soliton interaction (BBM)



#### Multi-soliton interaction (BBM)



## Gaussian dispersion (BBM)



## Compare Gaussian with no dispersion



#### Leading depression



#### Trailing depression=-leading depression



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 画 > ▲ 画 > → э

#### Very long waves are mostly linear



#### Less long waves are more dispersive



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト ニヨート

୍ର୍ବ୍

#### Shorter waves are very dispersive



(日)

J.L. Bona and H. Chen, *Comparison of model equations for small-amplitude long waves*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications **38** (1999), no. 5, 625–647.

J. Boussinesq, Essai sur la théorie des eaux courantes, Imprimerie nationale, 1877.

J. L. Bona, W. G. Pritchard, and L. R. Scott, An evaluation of a model equation for water waves, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 302 (1981), 457–510.

\_\_\_\_\_, A comparison of solutions of two model equations for long waves, Fluid Dynamics in Astrophysics and Geophysics, N. R. Lebovitz, ed.,, vol. 20, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1983, pp. 235–267.

R. C. Y. Chin and G. W. Hedstrom, A dispersion analysis for difference schemes: tables of generalized Airy functions, Mathematics of Computation 32 (1978), no. 144, 1163–1170.

GA EI, VV Khodorovskii, and AM Leszczyszyn, *Refraction of dispersive shock waves*, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena (2012).

R. Green, The sweep of long water waves across the pacific ocean, Australian journal of physics 14 (1961), no. 1, 120–128.

Gary A Sod, A survey of several finite difference methods for systems of nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws, Journal of Computational Physics 27 (1978), no. 1, 1 – 31.

H. Zhang, G.M. Wei, and Y.T. Gao, On the general form of the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation in fluid mechanics, Czechoslovak journal of physics 52 (2002), no. 3, 373–377.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへぐ