Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the most common causes of functional limitation in adults, such as difficulty walking. Obesity is a well-known risk factor for knee OA and functional limitation. The presence of obesity in adults with knee OA engenders a vicious cycle wherein the development of walking difficulty restricts participation in activities of daily living, such as grocery shopping, leading to increased physical activity, increased weight gain, and potentially incident or worsening of existing knee OA. Specifically, obesity has an effect on walking biomechanics and bioenergetics that affects the knee joint and gait patterns. Adults with obese BMI exhibit reduced range of motion at the ankle, knee, and hip during walking. They also demonstrate greater absolute ground reaction forces and increased load at the knee when walking faster than their preferred speed compared to normal weight adults. Obesity also increases the energy cost of walking when walking at different, imposed stride frequencies. These gait differences, particularly slower preferred walking speeds, can be attributed to an attempt to increase stability, to minimize mechanical external work, to decrease load at the knee, and to curb energy cost and relative effort.

Little is known about whether the distribution of weight is a relevant risk factor for difficulty walking in adults with or at risk of knee OA. From a biomechanical perspective, excess waist circumference limits postural stability in adults due to an anterior shift in the center of mass and is associated with slowing gait speed in adults. Therefore, the distribution of body mass (as
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SUMMARY

Objective: Excess weight is a known risk factor for functional limitation and common in adults with knee osteoarthritis (OA). We asked to what extent high waist circumference was linked with developing difficulty with walking speed and distance over 4 years in adults with or at risk of knee OA.

Method: Using data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI), we employed World Health Organization (WHO) categories for Body Mass Index (BMI) and waist circumference (small/medium and large). Difficulty with speed was defined by slow gait: <1.2 m/s during a 20-m walk, and difficulty with distance was defined by an inability to walk 400 m. We calculated risk ratios (RR) to examine the likelihood of developing difficulty with distance and speed using obesity and waist circumference as predictors with RRs adjusted for potential confounders (i.e., age, sex, race, education, physical activity, and OA status).

Results: Participants with obesity and large waists were 2.2 times more likely to have difficulty with speed at 4 years compared to healthy weight and small/medium waisted participants (Adjusted RR 2.2 [95% Confidence interval (CI) 1.6, 3.1], P < .0001). Participants with obesity and a large waist circumference had 2.4 times the risk of developing the inability to walk 400 m compared with those with a healthy BMI and small/medium waist circumference (Adjusted RR 0.9 [95% CI 1.6, 3.7], P < .0001).

Conclusions: Waist circumference may be a main risk factor for developing difficulty with speed in adults with or at risk of knee OA.

© 2016 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
captured by waist circumference due to central adiposity in the abdominal area) may be associated with difficulty walking above and beyond the effects imposed by the overall mass, i.e., obesity, and additionally impact difficulty walking in adults with or at risk of knee OA. At present, the extent to which waist circumference is associated with the development of walking difficulty independent of obesity is not known. This is an important gap to fill in order to better tailor treatments targeted to individuals with or at risk of knee OA who have various BMI and waist circumference measures.

We are particularly interested in studying walking speed and distance because these functional abilities may be the first to change noticeably if patients develop difficulty with motor function. Both walking speed and endurance are important elements contributing to the ability to walk in the community. Existing evidence demonstrates that limited walking speed and endurance can hinder an individual’s ability to navigate in the community. For instance, a speed of ≥1.2 meters/second (m/s), is the minimum speed necessary to cross a timed cross walk. Moreover, the ability to walk at least 400 m is associated with independence with walking in the community. Other motor difficulties such as rising from a chair may appear later. Therefore, it may be beneficial for clinicians to monitor changes in speed and distance prior to patients with or at risk of knee OA developing further motor difficulties.

We investigated to what extent high waist circumference was associated with the development of difficulty in walking speed (1.2 m/s) and distance (400 m) over 4 years in a well-established cohort of adults with or at risk of knee OA. Given the strong existing associations between overall body mass and the development of knee OA, we hypothesized that overall body mass rather than the distribution of body mass would be the driving factor in predicting functional limitations for adults.

Method

Study sample

We used data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI), which includes adults aged 45–79 years old who have been followed annually for the development or progression of OA since the initial enrollment period in 2004. OAI has four clinical recruitment sites: Baltimore, Maryland; Columbus, Ohio; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and Pawtucket, Rhode Island. Specific information about the OAI objectives and protocols are located online (http://www.oai.ucsf.edu/datarelease/About.asp). OAI recruited two primary subcohorts: one with symptomatic knee OA at baseline that was followed for worsening of disease (the Progression subcohort), and another without symptomatic knee OA, but selected on the basis of having specific characteristics which give them an increased risk of developing incident symptomatic knee OA during the study (the Incidence subcohort). For the current study, we used data from the baseline OAI visit and the Year 4 study visit from subjects in both the incidence and progression cohorts. All OAI sites received Institutional Review Board approval.

Study outcomes

We examined two different aspects of walking: speed from a 20-m walk and distance from a 400-m walk test. For both tests, participants were instructed to walk at a usual pace from a starting point to an orange cone 20 m away. For the 20-m walk, participants walked the course once and for the 400-m walk, participants performed 20 lengths of the course. Timing for the 20-m walk started with the first step after the starting line and ended after the first step over the finishing line using a stopwatch.

We employed two definitions of difficulty walking: speed and distance. We defined limited walking speed as a gait speed <1.2 m/s during the 20-m walk test at the 4 year follow-up among study participants walking ≥ 1.2 m/s at baseline. A speed ≥1.2 m/s is necessary to cross a timed cross walk.

We defined difficulty with walking distance as not completing the 400-m walk at the 4 year follow-up among study participants completing the 400-m walk at baseline. Participants were not eligible to attempt the 400-m walk if they did not complete the 20-m walk, had a heart rate <40 or >110 beats per minute, had a systolic or diastolic blood pressure exceeding 180 mm Hg or 100 mm Hg, respectively, required a walker or quad cane to ambulate, called a doctor within the past 3 months for worsening chest pain or shortness of breath, were hospitalized in the past 3 months, or did not feel safe to perform the test. Study participants were allowed to take as many breaks as needed, but received a maximum of 15 min in which to complete the task.

Study exposures

Body Mass Index (BMI) was computed from standardized weight and height assessments. Abdominal circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus between the lower rib and the iliac crest. BMI and waist circumference were classified based on World Health Organization (WHO) health risk categories. BMI was classified as: normal weight = BMI <25 kg/m², overweight = BMI ≥25 kg/m² and <30 kg/m², and obesity = BMI ≥30 kg/m². Waist circumference categories are: small circumference (men: < 93.9 cm; women: < 79.9 cm), medium circumference (men: ≥ 94 cm and <101.9 cm; women: ≥ 80 cm and <87.9 cm), and large circumference (men: ≥ 102 cm; women: ≥ 88 cm).

Potential confounders

The following baseline factors were considered as potential confounders based on existing literature linking them to function: age, sex (men/women), race (White, other), education (some college/ high School), and self-reported physical activity (Physical Activity Scale of the Elderly). We also employed disease status as a potential confounder defined as no radiographic knee osteoarthritis (ROA), presence of ROA, or the presence of symptomatic knee OA, i.e., the presence of ROA and knee pain (Table 1). This definition has been previously employed in the literature. ROA was assessed from weight-bearing posteroanterior and lateral fixed flexion radiographic evaluations of both knees. Radiographs were independently graded twice among three expert readers (two rheumatologists and a musculoskeletal radiologist) for joint space narrowing and osteophytes in the tibiofemoral joint according to Kellgren and Lawrence K/L criteria (grades 0–4). Any disagreements were adjudicated among all three expert readers to reach consensus. There was high agreement between readers with kappa statistics ranging from 0.70 to 0.80 for K/L grades. We defined the presence of ROA as a K/L grade ≥2. Knee joint radiography for the OAI focused on an assessment of the tibiofemoral joint, and patients with patellofemoral joint involvement were not included in the progression cohort. Knee pain (absent/present) was evaluated by asking participants if they had pain, aching, or stiffness in or around each knee on most days for at least 1 month within the past year. Since cardiovascular disease and diabetes are likely mediators in the causal pathway between excess weight and function limitations, we did not adjust for these factors.
We evaluated the association of BMI and waist circumference at baseline with our dependent variables. BMI and waist circumference recorded at baseline. For speed as a study outcome, we conducted sensitivity analyses and repeated all analyses as follows: normal BMI and small or medium waist circumference, normal BMI and large waist circumference, overweight BMI and small or medium waist circumference, overweight BMI and large waist circumference, obese BMI and small or medium risk waist circumference, and obese BMI and large waist circumference.

We conducted sensitivity analyses and repeated all analyses restricting our sample to those with ROA at baseline to investigate if differentials exist between groups in terms of the risk of developing difficulty with walking. An RR > 1 would mean that developing difficulty with walking would be more likely in the comparison group than in the reference group. An RR < 1 would mean that developing difficulty with walking would be less likely in the comparison group than in the reference group. When interpreting RRs, if no differences exist between groups in terms of the risk of developing difficulty with walking, then RR = 1. An RR < 1 would mean that developing difficulty with walking would be less likely in the comparison group than in the reference group. An RR > 1 would mean that developing difficulty with walking would be more likely in the comparison group than in the reference group. We report RRs along with CI. Compared to the reference group, overweight and obese adults with large waist circumference had the same likelihood as the reference group in developing difficulty walking 1.2 m/s. In contrast, overweight and obese adults with large waist circumference had a 1.5 and 2.2 chance of developing an inability to walk 1.2 m/s respectively (Table II).

### Discussion

We found that waist circumference in addition to obesity was associated with the development of difficulty with walking speed and distance 4 years later. The distribution of mass more so than overall mass may be a risk factor for the development of difficulty.
Table II
Association of BMI categories with inability to walk at 1.2 m/s. Risk ratios are shown with 95% CI in brackets, and P-values beneath

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI category</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²) Mean (sd)</th>
<th>% (n/N) incident inability to walk 1.2 m/s</th>
<th>Unadjusted risk ratio [95% CI]</th>
<th>Adjusted* risk ratio [95% CI]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥19 and &lt;25 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 1</td>
<td>22.6 (1.7)</td>
<td>8.4 (35/415)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &lt;25 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 3</td>
<td>23.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>14.0 (39/279)</td>
<td>1.7 [1.1, 2.5] P = .02</td>
<td>1.2 [0.8, 1.8] P = .62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥25 and &lt;30 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 1 or 2</td>
<td>26.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>8.5 (36/426)</td>
<td>1.0 [0.6, 1.6] P = .99</td>
<td>1.0 [0.6, 1.6] P = .93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥25 and &lt;30 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 3</td>
<td>27.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>17.1 (118/689)</td>
<td>2.0 [1.4, 2.9] P &lt; .0001</td>
<td>1.5 [1.1, 2.1] P = .03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥30 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 1 or 2</td>
<td>31.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>6.5 (2/31)</td>
<td>0.8 [0.2, 3.0] P = .70</td>
<td>0.9 [0.2, 3.7] P = .93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥30 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 3</td>
<td>33.4 (2.9)</td>
<td>21.1 (170/806)</td>
<td>2.5 [1.8, 3.5] P &lt; .0001</td>
<td>2.2 [1.6, 3.1] P &lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for age, sex, education, race, physical activity, and symptomatic knee OA.

1 Waist World Health Organization category 1 — small (men: ≤93.9 cm; women: ≤79.9 cm), 2 — medium (men: ≥94 cm and <101.9 cm; women: ≥80 cm and <87.9 cm), and 3 — large circumference (men: ≥102 cm; women: ≥88 cm).

1 The first BMI category is the reference group. Therefore, these RR = 1.

Fig. 1. Flow diagram analytic samples selection for study outcomes from the OAI. Description of the number of participants who contributed distance (400 m) and speed (1.2 m/s) data accounting for inclusion/exclusion criteria and missing data.
walking at a speed and distance necessary for the community among adults with or at risk of knee OA.

These findings align with known medical concerns about excessive abdominal mass38. Excess body mass distribution in the abdominal area is associated with illnesses such as cardiovascular disease1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)49. With the health risks associated with body fat distribution, our finding of decreased functional limitations with increased waist circumference is notable. The addition of our finding to the other deleterious effects of excess abdominal mass builds a profile of risks associated with body fat distribution. Increased knowledge about the collective, harmful effects of excess abdominal mass can help in identifying and treating patients with increased health risks.

The distribution of body mass measured by waist circumference has not been a main focus on research examining functional limitation or gait. Most biomechanical research on gait and obesity finds that obesity is associated with impaired gait and subsequent limitation in function2-4,7,41,42. Specifically, compared to normal weight adults without knee OA, adults with obese BMI walk more slowly with decreased walking velocities, expend more energy and relative effort when walking, and use more mechanical external work52. An overall higher BMI increases loading on the knee13-45, which exacerbates pain and gait deficits in those with knee OA46,47. Consequently, the lifetime risk of knee OA doubles with high body mass (i.e., an obese BMI)50. However, previous studies show that waist circumference is also associated with atypical walking patterns (i.e., decreased walking endurance and slow walking speed) in adults with knee OA49. Higher waist circumference predicts a decline in walking speed in adults aged 55–74 years old53. Some research has also implicated excess abdominal mass with reduced postural stability15. Continued loading on the knee and the anterior shift in the center of mass can contribute to the rate of disease progression (i.e., increase the rate) and have large effects on patients functional performance. Therefore, our finding that waist circumference may be associated with difficulty walking speed and distance is highly relevant for adults with knee OA. Our results suggest that future research on gait and obesity from a biomechanical perspective would benefit from considering body mass distribution as a main factor. Including waist circumference as a possible variable would augment our knowledge of how body mass distribution influences function.

We acknowledge that there are some limitations to our study. First, additional measures of functional performance were not available in the current dataset. It would be beneficial to gather prospective, longitudinal data that includes other measures of motor function in adults with obesity (e.g., timed stair climbing). Although only the 20- and 400-m walks were available to capture changes in speed and distance, the fact that we did find declines in function using these basic measures is notable. Our findings imply that even basic measures of speed and distance applied commonly in clinical practice can be used to examine the effects of obesity and body mass distribution on function over time. Second, our data were not explicitly linked to patients that developed OA. Future studies are needed to examine how functional limitation relates to whether patients develop OA. If they do develop knee OA, more information would also be needed on how the location in the knee joint in which OA was developed and the severity of the disease impact functional limitation. Third, there were strict eligibility criteria to attempt the 400-m walk and it is possible that some study participants may have been able to complete the test if allowed. We reran the analyses not including study participants who were classified as not completing the 400-m walk due to restrictions related to heart rate, blood pressure, walker use, angina, and hospitalization. The effect estimates were similar to the primary analysis. Fourth, there is a possibility of residual confounding from BMI within each BMI/waist circumference exposure category. We reran the analyses also adjusting for baseline BMI, and found attenuated effect estimates. However, the trends of the data remained; those with large waist circumference had higher risk than those with small/medium waist circumference within each BMI WHO category.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we found that waist circumference was associated with the development of difficulty with walking a speed of at least 1.2 m/s and distance of at least 400 m over 4 years among adults with or at risk of knee OA. These findings may suggest that measuring waist circumference in research and in clinical practice may be important to better stratify the risk of developing difficulty with walking among adults with or at risk of knee OA.
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**Table III**

Association of BMI categories with incident inability to walk 400 m. Risk ratios are shown with 95% CI in brackets, and P-values beneath

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI category</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²) Mean (sd)</th>
<th>% (n/N) incident inability to walk 400 m</th>
<th>Unadjusted risk ratio [95% CI]</th>
<th>Adjusted* risk ratio [95% CI]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI&lt;25 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 1 or 2</td>
<td>22.6 (1.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (26/476)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&lt;25 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 3</td>
<td>23.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>9.7 (33/339)</td>
<td>1.8 [1.1, 2.9] P = .02</td>
<td>1.3 [0.8, 2.2] P = .35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;25 and &lt;30 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 1</td>
<td>26.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>8.3 (41/494)</td>
<td>1.5 [0.9, 2.4] P = .08</td>
<td>1.5 [0.9, 2.4] P = .14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;25 and &lt;30 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 2</td>
<td>30.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>10.0 (4/40)</td>
<td>1.8 [0.7, 5] P = .25</td>
<td>1.9 [0.7, 5.1] P = .21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;30 kg/m² and Waist WHO: category 1 or 2</td>
<td>33.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>15.1 (182/1206)</td>
<td>2.8 [1.9, 4.1] P &lt; .0001</td>
<td>2.4 [1.6, 3.7] P &lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for age, sex, education, race, physical activity, and symptomatic knee OA.

1 Waist World Health Organization category 1 = small (men: <93.9 cm; women: <79.9 cm), 2 = medium (men: ≥94 cm and <101.9 cm; women: ≥80 cm and <87.9 cm), and 3 = large circumference (men: ≥102 cm; women: ≥88 cm).

2 The first BMI category is the reference group. Therefore, these RR = 1.
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