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1. In an announcement made on February 20, 1999, the United States Trade Representative removed the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region from the Special 301 Watch List of countries that do not sufficiently protect intellectual property rights.¹ In announcing the decision, the Trade Representative urged Hong Kong to increase its efforts to reduce the occurrence of intellectual property violations within the region.² Removal from the Watch List means that the Trade Representative will no longer continuously monitor Hong Kong, and Hong Kong will not be subject to trade sanctions.³

2. Congress created the Watch List, commonly referred to as Special 301, in 1988 because of its dissatisfaction with the international intellectual property protection offered by efforts like the Paris and Berne Conventions.⁴ Special 301 is part of the Omnibus Trade Act of 1974 (“the Act”),⁵ which authorizes the Trade Representative “to identify foreign countries that deny adequate and effective
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protection of intellectual property rights, or deny fair and equitable market access to United States persons that rely upon intellectual property protection.\textsuperscript{6}

3. The Trade Representative identifies suspect countries and places them on the Watch List, which is the least serious category, or the Priority Watch List; the Trade Representative also identifies countries as Priority Foreign Countries, which is the most serious category.\textsuperscript{7} Priority Foreign Countries are “those foreign countries that have the most onerous or egregious acts, policies, or practices that deny adequate and effective intellectual property rights.”\textsuperscript{8} Special 301 requires the Trade Representative “to negotiate agreements [with Priority Foreign Countries] to end piracy within [six] to [nine] months.”\textsuperscript{9} If an offending nation does not respond to those negotiations, it will face additional consequences, and the United States will enforce its other trade laws to retaliate economically against the recalcitrant nation’s exports.\textsuperscript{10} The Act allows a maximum of eighteen months between the initiation of negotiations and the imposition of economic sanctions, even if negotiations are still underway at the end of the eighteen month period.\textsuperscript{11}

4. Hong Kong’s placement on the Watch List, however, did not implicate the serious consequences faced by Priority Foreign Countries. In contrast to the harsh treatment shown Priority Foreign Countries, the United States continuously monitors Watch List countries and may impose discretionary trade sanctions.\textsuperscript{12} The Trade Representative first placed Hong Kong on the Section 301 Watch List in April 1996.\textsuperscript{13} The Trade Representative identified Hong Kong as a Watch List nation because of the volume of “unchecked infringements” occurring within the region and an increase in piracy, despite the Trade Representative’s request for increased protective measures.\textsuperscript{14} The Trade Representative added Hong Kong to the Watch
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List despite Hong Kong’s enforcement efforts, which included raiding 1282 shops and hawker stalls, arresting 1268 people, and seizing compact discs, video compact discs, and CD-ROMs worth approximately four million dollars. In 1995, Hong Kong also “increased piracy penalties and bolstered antipiracy enforcement in its customs bureau.”

5. After being placed on the Watch List in 1996, Hong Kong instituted additional measures to address the problems of intellectual property infringement. In lobbying for Hong Kong’s removal from the Watch List, Hong Kong officials pointed to the measures they had adopted “towards eliminating copyright piracy.” These measures were apparently successful. In a statement announcing Hong Kong’s removal from the Special 301 Watch List, Trade Representative Charlene Barshefsky stated that Hong Kong had taken substantial measures to reduce piracy. These measures included “improving its legal regime through the passage of the Prevention of Copyright Piracy Ordinance, significantly increasing raids and seizures against retailers and distributors, seizing approximately 70 pirate CD production lines, closing several notorious retail arcades and imposing stiffer penalties on pirates.” While applauding these efforts, Ms. Barshefsky noted that in light of the high piracy rates within the region, Hong Kong should take
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“significant new steps in the near future” to address the ongoing problem. Hong Kong’s removal from the Watch List leaves thirty-one countries on the list.

6. According to a spokesman for the Hong Kong Trade and Industry Bureau, the Hong Kong government is committed to eliminating copyright piracy. The government pledged additional funds to continue educational programs, “focusing on schools in particular.” While these educational efforts appear to be a prudent measure, there are serious obstacles that threaten their effectiveness. For example, Hong Kong residents’ high demand for counterfeit goods could undermine any curative efforts.

7. While the Trade Representative removed Hong Kong from the Watch List, it could easily return Hong Kong from to the list if the Trade Representative is not satisfied with Hong Kong’s progress in the future. Therefore, while Hong Kong has shown marked improvement in protecting intellectual property rights, Hong Kong will need to maintain the effectiveness of its efforts to continue to meet the challenges of intellectual property law.
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