

Debra J. Mumford. *Exploring Prosperity Preaching: Biblical Health, Wealth, and Wisdom*. Valley Forge, PA: Judson, 2012. 160 pages. \$15.99.

In this work, Debra J. Mumford engages with the prosperity gospel in the United States that allures many Christians into believing that “God wants believers to be rich and enjoy good physical health” (1). It promises material wealth and physical health for people who believe and obey the word of God. Mumford is concerned that advocates of such theology usually interpret the Bible out of context and often dismiss social justice as unimportant. While recognizing several strengths that prosperity preaching may contribute to Christianity, Mumford critically reflects on its distorted message by comparing it with black prophetic preaching. Through her work, Mumford intends to provide the audience of prosperity preaching with “a critical analysis of the theology and alternative ways of understanding God in relation to financial prosperity, physical health, and Christian social responsibility” (3).

In her first chapter, Mumford attempts to trace the origin of prosperity preaching in the United States. While recognizing that the prosperity gospel in the United States is deeply rooted in the “American dream,” she describes how New Thought and the Word of Faith Movements have shaped prosperity preaching in the United States. She argues that they have originated with Phineas, Parkhurst, Quimby, and Essek W. Kenyon, respectively, who emphasized self-reliance and individualism. Although prosperity preaching in the United States originated from Euro-Americans, Mumford argues that it quickly crept into many black churches, coming into conflict with the black prophetic tradition that proclaimed the need for solidarity and the power of unity in the face of injustice (17).

From chapter two through chapter eleven, Mumford begins each chapter with her description of the prosperity theology and ends with a critical reflection that she calls “Sifting the Wheat from the Chaff.” In chapter twelve she offers her view on the positive aspects of the prosperity gospel, her critical stance on the prosperity gospel as “unbiblical,” and reconstructive ways for the audience of the prosperity gospel to guide their faith.

Instead of simply condemning the prosperity gospel as unchristian, Mumford carefully approaches it as a Christian practice in which many Christians in the United States have already engaged. For example, she believes that many Christians today need to learn the “unwavering faith in God” from the prosperity gospel. However, she boldly names it as “unbiblical” since she believes that the prosperity gospel is based on Christianized humanism that focuses on individualistic positive thinking (131–132). It uses biblical texts to support its messages but often lacks contextual interpretation of the scripture. It seems that one of the strengths in her work is to invite ordinary Christians, not necessarily theological scholars, to reflect on their belief and practice critically and reorient them toward a more biblical, contextual, and communal understanding of Christian life.

In recognizing the gap between the prosperity gospel in black churches and the black prophetic tradition, Mumford tries to bring both parties together, offering several points they can learn from each other. However, many audiences may want to know more about the black prophetic tradition in terms of its definition, history, and challenges for today. While Mumford works mostly with the prosperity gospel, her discussion of the black prophetic tradition seems to be too little or only in comparison with prosperity preaching. Some readers might find it difficult to reconcile them. In addition, her view on the black prophetic tradition seems too simplistic and needs further discussion when she argues that it often lacks “personal accountability” in favor of “blaming the ‘Man’ and the systemic structures” (127).

Still, this work of Mumford should be appreciated because she picks up an important topic in Christianity that has often been dismissed as unchristian or disregarded as nonacademic.

It is still a critical Christian practice to which many cling in search for temporary release from their stressful situations. Many preachers will also have to approach their listeners wherever they are, invite them to analyze and reflect critically, and offer a constructive way in which they may redirect the ways of their Christian life.

Song Bok (Bob) Jon, Ph.D. candidate, Boston University, Boston, MA



Copyright and Use:

As an ATLAS user, you may print, download, or send articles for individual use according to fair use as defined by U.S. and international copyright law and as otherwise authorized under your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement.

No content may be copied or emailed to multiple sites or publicly posted without the copyright holder(s)' express written permission. Any use, decompiling, reproduction, or distribution of this journal in excess of fair use provisions may be a violation of copyright law.

This journal is made available to you through the ATLAS collection with permission from the copyright holder(s). The copyright holder for an entire issue of a journal typically is the journal owner, who also may own the copyright in each article. However, for certain articles, the author of the article may maintain the copyright in the article. Please contact the copyright holder(s) to request permission to use an article or specific work for any use not covered by the fair use provisions of the copyright laws or covered by your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement. For information regarding the copyright holder(s), please refer to the copyright information in the journal, if available, or contact ATLA to request contact information for the copyright holder(s).

About ATLAS:

The ATLA Serials (ATLAS®) collection contains electronic versions of previously published religion and theology journals reproduced with permission. The ATLAS collection is owned and managed by the American Theological Library Association (ATLA) and received initial funding from Lilly Endowment Inc.

The design and final form of this electronic document is the property of the American Theological Library Association.