

Abstract and concrete noun processing in persons with aphasia using fMRI Chaleece Sandberg & Swathi Kiran **Boston University** *This work was partially completed at the University of Texas at Austin **fMRI** Results Background Healthy Older Adults

Behavioral data from both normal and brain-injured subjects suggests that abstract words and concrete words are processed differently

Dual Coding Theory (Paivio, 1991) suggests two systems for encoding words into semantic memory

*Nonverbal (sensory): Concrete words are encoded into the semantic system with both verbal and multi-modal sensory information

Evidence from recent neuroimaging studies suggests the possibility of dissociable neural correlates for abstract and concrete word processing (Binder et al., 2009)

An issue yet unaddressed in the current neuroimaging literature is the processing of abstract and concrete nouns in patients with aphasia. Additionally, this phenomenon has not been well-explored in normal, healthy older adults, although neural activation corresponding to different cognitive processes has been shown to change as a function of age (Cabeza, 2001)

Patients with aphasia typically fall into the category of older adults and are hypothesized to use the right hemisphere for semantic processing instead of the damaged left hemisphere

Patients with aphasia exhibit an exaggerated concreteness effect behaviorally (Nickels & Howard, 1995; Barry & Gerhand, 2003; Kiran, Abbott, & Sandberg, 2009)

Do patients process abstract and concrete words differently than age-matched controls?

Methods

Participants

Neurologically healthy adults

♦N=13; 6 m, 7 f; age range: 50-75 (M: 61); R-handed; monolingual English ✤No history of neurological disease, trauma, or disorders. Normal cognitive and linguistic functioning. Patients with aphasia

✤N=4; 2 m, 2 f; age range: 55-67 (M: 59); R-handed; monolingual English CVA in LH with subsequent difficulties in word retrieval

Tasks

Word Judgment

Judged whether a word was abstract or concrete

Synonym Judgment

Decided whether or not a pair of abstract or concrete words were similar in meaning



- Verbal (linguistic): Abstract words are encoded into the semantic system with only verbal information





Patient 2







Patient 4





There was much overlap between abstract and concrete word processing in both neurologically healthy older adults and patients with aphasia.

These overlapping areas coincide with suggested areas of general semantic processing.

Differences between abstract and concrete words for both patients and healthy older adults included more instances of association areas for concrete > abstract words and more instances of language areas (and RH homologues) for abstract > concrete words.

There appears to be subtle differences between tasks such that synonym judgment appears to elicit deeper semantic processing.

Activation in patients with aphasia was largely perilesional, but also in the language homologues of the right hemisphere.

If the tissue was spared and was normally activated in non-neurologically impaired older adults, then it was used in persons with aphasia.

This coincides with high accuracy on the task, showing the importance of spared tissue in language recovery in chronic aphasia.

The effects of normal aging may contribute to the pattern of activation seen in patients.

References

Barry, C., & Gerhand, S. (2003). Both concreteness and age-of-acquisition affect reading accuracy but only concreteness affects comprehension in a deep dyslexic patient. Brain and Language, 84, 84-104.

Binder, J. R., Desai, R. H., Graves, W. W., & Conant, L. L. (2009). Where is the semantic system? A critical review and meta-analysis of 120 functional neuroimaging studies. Cerebral Cortex, 1-30. Cabeza, R. (2001). Cognitive neuroscience of aging: Contributions of functional neuroimaging. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 42, 277-286. Kiran, S., Sandberg, C., & Abbott (2009). Treatment for lexical retrieval using abstract and concrete words in persons with aphasia: Effect of

complexity. Aphasiology, 23, 835-853. Nickels, L., & Howard, D. (1995). Aphasic naming: What matters? Neuropsychologia, 33(10), 1281-1303. Paivio, A. (1991). Dual coding theory: Retrospect and current status. *Canadian Journal of Psychology, 45*(3), 255-287. Saur, D., et al. (2006). Dynamics of language reorganization after stroke. Brain, 129(6), 1371-1384.



BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Discussion